



UAA Institute of Social
and Economic Research
UNIVERSITY *of* ALASKA ANCHORAGE

Alaska Judicial Council

Judicial Retention Survey: Peace and Probation Officers

Technical Report

Ashley Schroeder, MPH, Research Professional
Ashley Hannigan, MA, MPH, Research Professional

May 18, 2026

Funded by Alaska Judicial Council

www.iseralaska.org

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Table 1: Mean Ratings of Judges.....	2
Introduction.....	3
Methodology	3
Table 2: Respondent Characteristics.....	4
Instrumentation	5
Confidentiality and Data Safety.....	5
Results.....	5
Table 3: Level of Experience with Judges.....	7
Table 4: Summary of Overall Ratings	8
Table 5: Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating	9
Table 6: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Work	10
Table 7: Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Time as Alaskan Officer.....	11
Table 8: Mean Overall Ratings by Community Population	12
Table 9: Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Work	13
Table 10: Mean Overall Ratings by Gender	14
Table 11: Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti: Demographic Description of Respondents	15
Table 12: Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti: Detailed Responses.....	16
Table 13: Judge Daniel E. Doty: Demographic Description of Respondents	17
Table 14: Judge Daniel E. Doty: Detailed Responses	18
Table 15: Judge Katherine H. Lybrand: Demographic Description of Respondents	19
Table 16: Judge Katherine H. Lybrand: Detailed Responses	20
Table 17: Judge Kirsten L. Swanson: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	21
Table 18: Judge Kirsten L. Swanson: Detailed Responses.....	22
Table 19: Judge David L. Roghair: Demographic Description of Respondents	23
Table 20: Judge David L. Roghair: Detailed Responses	24
Table 21: Judge Kelly J. Lawson: Demographic Description of Respondents	25
Table 22: Judge Kelly J. Lawson: Detailed Responses	26
Table 23: Judge Jack R. McKenna: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	27
Table 24: Judge Jack R. McKenna: Detailed Responses.....	28
Table 25: Judge David A. Nesbett: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	29
Table 26: Judge David A. Nesbett: Detailed Responses	30
Table 27: Judge Christina Reigh: Demographic Description of Respondents	31
Table 28: Judge Christina Reigh: Detailed Responses	32
Table 29: Judge Ian Wheelles: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	33
Table 30: Judge Ian Wheelles: Detailed Responses	34
Table 31: Judge Jonathan A. Woodman: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	35
Table 32: Judge Jonathan A. Woodman: Detailed Responses.....	36
Table 33: Judge Chris Darnall: Demographic Description of Respondents	37
Table 34: Judge Chris Darnall: Detailed Responses.....	38
Table 35: Judge Martin Fallon: Demographic Description of Respondents	39
Table 36: Judge Martin Fallon: Detailed Responses	40
Table 37: Judge Shawn D. Traini: Demographic Description of Respondents	41
Table 38: Judge Shawn D. Traini: Detailed Responses.....	42
Table 39: Judge Kirk Schwalm: Demographic Description of Respondents	43
Table 40: Judge Kirk Schwalm: Detailed Responses	44
Table 41: Judge Amy Welch: Demographic Description of Respondents	45
Table 42: Judge Amy Welch: Detailed Responses	46
Table 43: Judge Benjamin A. Seekins: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	47

Executive Summary

Alaska statutes require the Alaska Judicial Council to evaluate Alaska judges eligible to stand for retention election. This survey was conducted among Alaska peace and probation officers to obtain information about their direct professional and other relevant experience with the judges, and their assessments of judicial performance. This 2026 survey included 22 judges eligible for retention. After the survey closed, Judge DiBenedetto resigned from his position; therefore, his results are not included here.

The Alaska Judicial Council asked peace and probation officers to evaluate judges on five characteristics: *Impartiality/Fairness*, *Integrity*, *Judicial Temperament*, *Diligence*, and *Overall*. The rating scale ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5).

Table 1 shows the mean ratings for each judge by respondents with direct professional experience on all five characteristics. Within each district, superior court judges appear first and are followed by district court judges.

Table 1
Mean Ratings of Judges

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti	18	2.4	2.8	2.6	2.4	2.3
Judge Daniel E. Doty	15	3.0	3.2	3.6	3.3	3.0
Judge Katherine H. Lybrand	13	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.1
Judge Kirsten L. Swanson	13	2.9	3.2	3.2	2.9	2.9
Judge David L. Roghair	16	3.3	3.8	3.8	3.4	3.5
Judge Kelly J. Lawson	11	4.1	4.2	3.8	3.7	4.0
Judge Jack R. McKenna	22	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.0
Judge David A. Nesbett	14	3.8	3.8	4.1	4.1	3.9
Judge Christina Reigh	12	2.0	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.2
Judge Ian Wheelles	10	2.8	3.1	2.9	3.0	2.9
Judge Jonathan A. Woodman	19	3.9	4.0	4.2	3.9	3.9
Judge Chris Darnall	23	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.0
Judge Martin Fallon	12	4.0	4.3	3.9	3.7	4.0
Judge Shawn D. Traini	18	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
Judge Kirk Schwalm	19	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.1
Judge Amy Welch	13	2.9	3.4	3.2	3.0	2.9
Judge Benjamin A. Seekins	26	3.5	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges. Ratings for judges with fewer than 10 respondents are not published.

2026 Judicial Retention Survey: Peace and Probation Officers

Introduction

Alaska statutes require that the Alaska Judicial Council (Council) evaluate judges standing for retention in an election year. The Council makes a recommendation to the State's voters to either retain or not retain each judge. As part of the information used to fulfill its mandate, the Council distributed surveys to Alaska peace and probation officers and asked them to rate judges on five characteristics: *Impartiality/Fairness*, *Integrity*, *Judicial Temperament*, *Diligence*, and *Overall*. Each survey also contained demographic questions about the respondents, including type of work, length of time as officer, community population, location of work, and gender.

To maintain objectivity, the Council contracted with the Institute of Social and Economic Research (ISER), a research workgroup at the University of Alaska Anchorage. ISER was responsible for all aspects of distribution and data collection for the survey as well as data analysis. ISER prepared this report summarizing survey procedures and results.

The 2026 retention survey for peace and probation officers included 22 judges eligible for retention. After the survey closed, Judge DiBenedetto resigned from his position; therefore, his results are not included here.

Methodology

State of Alaska peace and probation officers, including state troopers, airport police and fire officers, village public safety officers, and adult and juvenile probation officers, were invited via email to participate in an online survey.

Of the 1,659 officers invited via email to participate, 285 initiated an online survey for a return rate of 17.2%. Of the 285 initiated surveys, 108 did not rate any judges; 177 (62.1%) respondents evaluated one or more judges. Table 2 summarizes the demographic characteristics of the respondents.

Table 2
Respondent Characteristics

		All Respondents		Respondents who Rated ≥ 1 Judge	
		<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
All respondents		285	100	177	62.1
Type of Work					
	No response	1	0.4	1	0.6
	State law enforcement officer (LEO)	132	46.3	80	45.2
	Municipal/Borough LEO	95	33.3	56	31.6
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	2	0.7	-	-
	Probation/parole officer	39	13.7	32	18.1
	Other	16	5.6	8	4.5
Length of Time as Officer					
	No response	47	16.5	25	14.1
	5 years or fewer	64	22.5	36	20.3
	6 to 10 years	57	20.0	35	19.8
	11 to 15 years	31	10.9	22	12.4
	16 to 20 years	42	14.7	29	16.4
	More than 20 years	44	15.4	30	16.9
Community Population					
	No response	3	1.1	1	0.6
	Under 2,000	17	6.0	7	4.0
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	139	48.8	92	52.0
	Over 35,000	126	44.2	77	43.5
Location of Work					
	No response	6	2.1	1	0.6
	First District	51	17.9	33	18.6
	Second District	19	6.7	13	7.3
	Third District	161	56.5	95	53.7
	Fourth District	48	16.8	35	19.8
Gender					
	No response	6	2.1	1	0.6
	Male	231	81.1	140	79.1
	Female	45	15.8	33	18.6
	Another identity	3	1.1	3	1.7

Instrumentation

The survey contained the names of the judges eligible for retention, questions about demographic information for each respondent, five evaluation items for each judge, and space for respondents to provide additional comments regarding each judge.

Respondents evaluated judges in five areas of performance. Detailed instructions for each domain were provided:

Impartiality/Fairness: Please evaluate the judge's sense of basic fairness and justice and whether the judge treats all parties equally.

Integrity: Please evaluate whether the judge's conduct is free from impropriety or appearance of impropriety and whether the judge makes decisions without regard to possible public criticism.

Judicial Temperament: Please evaluate the judge's courtesy and freedom from arrogance and whether the judge manifests human understanding and compassion.

Diligence: Please evaluate whether the judge is prepared for court proceedings, works diligently, and is reasonably prompt in making decisions.

Overall Evaluation: Please provide your overall assessment of the judge's performance.

Respondents assigned ratings for each domain using a five-point Likert scale that ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5). Detailed descriptions of the meaning of each point on the Likert scale were provided:

(1) <i>Poor</i>	(2) <i>Deficient</i>	(3) <i>Acceptable</i>	(4) <i>Good</i>	(5) <i>Excellent</i>
Seldom meets minimum standards of performance for this court	Does not always meet minimum standards of performance for this court	Meets minimum standards of performance for this court	Often exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court	Consistently exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court

Confidentiality and Data Safety

The survey introduction included a statement that reassured respondents of the confidentiality of their responses. Confidentiality is also a paramount concern at ISER and translated into specific procedures related to data security. Because data such as those collected through the judicial retention survey are of a sensitive nature, ISER has rigorous procedures to protect data. Organizational policies and procedures highlight the requirement for confidentiality and ensure that only staff involved with the project have access to the data. All data are maintained on a secure server.

Each potential respondent was provided with a unique URL that could only be used once and only accessed from the e-mail address to which it was sent. Online data were downloaded from the survey website and imported into SPSS for analysis.

Results

Two sets of results are presented in this section of the report. First, respondents' level of experience with each judge is shown. Then, a summary table presents the ratings and comparisons of the judges. Many of the cross tabulations yield results based on small numbers of respondents. Results based on small numbers of respondents should be regarded with caution and more weight given to the overall results.

In the tables, judges appear in order based on district. Within each district, superior court judges appear first and are followed by district court judges.

Respondents' Level of Experience with Each Judge

All respondents were asked to describe the basis of their evaluation for each judge they rated, with options of direct professional experience, professional reputation, and other personal contacts.

Table 3 shows the type of experience of respondents for each judge.

Ratings of Judges

In the tables that follow, responses to the rating questions are shown in a variety of ways. Most tables show the number of respondents (*n*) and the average rating (*M*). Tables 4-10 present details on the *Overall* item. Table 4 compares all ratings to those from respondents with direct professional experience and includes the median rating (*Mdn*) and the standard deviation (*SD*) in addition to number of respondents and average. Tables 5-10 present data only from those respondents who indicated direct professional experience. Table 5 provides the distribution of responses on the *Overall* item. Table 6 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' type of work. Table 7 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' length of time as an Alaskan officer. Table 8 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by the population of the community in which respondents work. Table 9 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' location of work. Table 10 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' gender.

For each individual judge, Tables 11-44 provide a demographics summary of respondents and detailed information on ratings provided by respondent characteristic.

Summary Tables

Table 3

Level of Experience with Judges

	<i>n</i>	% of all respondents who rated judge	Percent of Respondents Basing Ratings on...		
			Direct Professional Experience	Professional Reputation	Other Personal Contacts
Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti	20	7.0	90.0	10.0	-
Judge Daniel E. Doty	16	5.6	93.0	6.3.0	-
Judge Katherine H. Lybrand	15	5.3	86.7	13.3	-
Judge Kirsten L. Swanson	16	5.6	81.3	18.8	-
Judge David L. Roghair	16	5.6	100.0	-	-
Judge Kelly J. Lawson	14	4.9	78.6	14.3	7.1
Judge Jack R. McKenna	27	9.5	81.5	18.5	-
Judge David A. Nesbett	20	7.0	70.0	30.0	-
Judge Christina Reigh	14	4.9	85.7	14.3	-
Judge Ian Wheelles	12	4.2	83.3	16.7	-
Judge Jonathan A. Woodman	21	7.4	90.5	9.5	-
Judge Chris Darnall	27	9.5	85.2	14.8	-
Judge Martin Fallon	14	4.9	85.7	14.3	-
Judge Shawn D. Traini	22	7.7	81.8	18.2	-
Judge Kirk Schwalm	25	8.8	76.0	24.0	-
Judge Amy Welch	17	6.0	76.5	23.5	-
Judge Benjamin A. Seekins	30	10.5	86.7	13.3	-

Note: Ratings for judges with fewer than 10 respondents are not published.

Table 4
Summary of Overall Ratings

	All Respondents				Respondents with Direct Professional Experience			
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>
Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti	18	2.4	2.0	1.3	16	2.3	2.0	1.3
Judge Daniel E. Doty	15	3.1	3.0	1.4	14	3.0	3.0	1.3
Judge Katherine H. Lybrand	15	4.1	4.0	1.1	13	4.1	4.0	1.2
Judge Kirsten L. Swanson	16	3.0	3.0	1.3	13	2.9	3.0	1.4
Judge David L. Roghair	16	3.5	4.0	1.2	16	3.5	4.0	1.2
Judge Kelly J. Lawson	13	4.0	5.0	1.6	10	4.0	5.0	1.6
Judge Jack R. McKenna	26	3.8	4.0	1.2	21	4.0	4.0	1.2
Judge David A. Nesbett	20	3.9	4.0	1.3	14	3.9	4.0	1.4
Judge Christina Reigh	14	2.1	2.0	1.0	12	2.2	2.0	1.1
Judge Ian Wheelles	12	3.0	3.0	1.3	10	2.9	3.0	1.2
Judge Jonathan A. Woodman	21	3.9	4.0	1.2	19	3.9	4.0	1.2
Judge Chris Darnall	26	3.9	4.0	1.2	22	4.0	4.0	1.2
Judge Martin Fallon	14	3.9	4.0	1.2	12	4.0	4.0	1.1
Judge Shawn D. Traini	22	4.2	5.0	1.2	18	4.3	5.0	1.1
Judge Kirk Schwalm	23	4.0	4.0	1.2	18	4.1	4.5	1.2
Judge Amy Welch	16	2.9	3.0	1.4	12	2.9	3.0	1.3
Judge Benjamin A. Seekins	29	3.4	3.0	1.2	25	3.5	3.0	1.3

Note: Ratings for judges with fewer than 10 respondents are not published.

Table 5
Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating

		Poor		Deficient		Acceptable		Good		Excellent	
	<i>n</i>	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti	16	6	37.5	4	25.0	3	18.8	2	12.5	1	6.3
Judge Daniel E. Doty	14	3	21.4	1	7.1	4	28.6	5	35.7	1	7.1
Judge Katherine H. Lybrand	13	1	7.7	-	-	2	15.4	4	30.8	6	46.2
Judge Kirsten L. Swanson	13	4	30.8	-	-	3	23.1	5	38.5	1	7.7
Judge David L. Roghair	16	1	6.3	2	12.5	4	25.0	6	37.5	3	18.8
Judge Kelly J. Lawson	10	2	20.0	-	-	2	20.0	6	60.0	-	-
Judge Jack R. McKenna	21	2	9.5	-	-	4	19.0	6	28.6	9	42.9
Judge David A. Nesbett	14	2	14.3	-	-	2	14.3	4	28.6	6	42.9
Judge Christina Reigh	12	4	33.3	4	33.3	2	16.7	2	16.7	-	-
Judge Ian Wheelles	10	2	20.0	-	-	6	60.0	1	10.0	1	10.0
Judge Jonathan A. Woodman	19	2	10.5	-	-	2	10.5	9	47.4	6	31.6
Judge Chris Darnall	22	1	4.5	2	9.1	2	9.1	8	36.4	9	40.9
Judge Martin Fallon	12	1	8.3	-	-	1	8.3	6	50.0	4	33.3
Judge Shawn D. Traini	18	1	5.6	-	-	2	11.1	4	22.2	11	61.1
Judge Kirk Schwalm	18	1	5.6	2	11.1	-	-	6	33.3	9	50.0
Judge Amy Welch	12	2	16.7	2	16.7	5	41.7	1	8.3	2	16.7
Judge Benjamin A. Seekins	25	2	8.0	3	12.0	8	32.0	5	20.0	7	28.0

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges. Ratings for judges with fewer than 10 respondents are not published.

Table 6
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Work

	State law enforcement officer		Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer		Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)		Probation/Parole officer		Other		Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti	2	1.0	9	2.1	-	-	5	3.0	-	-	2.3
Judge Daniel E. Doty	7	3.3	5	2.8	-	-	1	3.0	1	2.0	3.0
Judge Katherine H. Lybrand	5	4.2	7	4.0	-	-	1	4.0	-	-	4.1
Judge Kirsten L. Swanson	3	2.0	9	3.1	-	-	1	4.0	-	-	2.9
Judge David L. Roghair	6	2.2	2	2.5	-	-	3	1.7	-	-	3.5
Judge Kelly J. Lawson	3	2.3	2	4.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.0
Judge Jack R. McKenna	4	2.8	3	4.7	-	-	3	5.0	-	-	4.0
Judge David A. Nesbett	6	4.3	6	4.0	-	-	9	3.7	-	-	3.9
Judge Christina Reigh	5	3.0	2	3.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.2
Judge Ian Wheeles	9	2.1	3	2.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.9
Judge Jonathan A. Woodman	3	2.3	1	4.0	-	-	6	3.0	-	-	3.9
Judge Chris Darnall	10	3.6	4	4.0	-	-	5	4.4	-	-	4.0
Judge Martin Fallon	8	3.8	12	4.2	-	-	2	4.0	-	-	4.0
Judge Shawn D. Traini	7	3.4	3	5.0	-	-	2	4.5	-	-	4.3
Judge Kirk Schwalm	10	4.3	1	5.0	6	3.7	1	4.0	10	4.3	4.1
Judge Amy Welch	6	3.5	-	-	5	2.4	1	2.0	6	3.5	2.9
Judge Benjamin A. Seekins	17	3.7	2	4.0	5	3.0	1	1.0	17	3.7	3.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges. Ratings for judges with fewer than 10 respondents are not published.

Table 7
Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Time as Alaskan Officer

	5 years or fewer		6 to 10 years		11 to 15 years		16 to 20 years		21 years or more		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti	6	1.8	1	3.0	-	-	2	1.5	5	2.8	2.3
Judge Daniel E. Doty	4	2.0	2	4.5	1	4.0	1	4.0	5	3.0	3.0
Judge Katherine H. Lybrand	3	3.3	3	5.0	-	-	2	5.0	5	3.6	4.1
Judge Kirsten L. Swanson	5	2.6	2	3.5	-	-	3	4.0	3	2.0	2.9
Judge David L. Roghair	2	2.5	4	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	3	2.7	3.5
Judge Kelly J. Lawson	1	5.0	2	4.5	-	-	2	5.0	4	3.0	4.0
Judge Jack R. McKenna	1	3.0	1	4.0	4	3.5	3	4.7	9	3.9	4.0
Judge David A. Nesbett	1	1.0	1	4.0	3	4.3	4	4.5	3	3.7	3.9
Judge Christina Reigh	3	2.3	4	2.0	-	-	-	-	3	1.3	2.2
Judge Ian Wheelles	1	3.0	2	3.0	1	3.0	-	-	3	1.7	2.9
Judge Jonathan A. Woodman	1	5.0	5	4.0	2	3.5	5	4.0	5	3.4	3.9
Judge Chris Darnall	2	3.5	3	4.3	3	4.0	7	4.0	4	4.0	4.0
Judge Martin Fallon	1	4.0	2	3.5	2	4.5	2	5.0	4	3.3	4.0
Judge Shawn D. Traini	2	4.0	5	4.6	3	4.3	4	4.8	2	2.5	4.3
Judge Kirk Schwalm	5	4.2	3	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.0	2	2.5	4.1
Judge Amy Welch	4	2.3	1	3.0	1	3.0	2	3.5	2	2.0	2.9
Judge Benjamin A. Seekins	6	3.3	5	4.2	5	3.4	3	3.3	2	3.0	3.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges. Ratings for judges with fewer than 10 respondents are not published.

Table 8
Mean Overall Ratings by Community Population

	Under 2,000		Between 2,000 and 35,000		Over 35,000		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti	1	3.0	11	1.9	4	3.0	2.3
Judge Daniel E. Doty	1	3.0	11	3.1	2	2.5	3.0
Judge Katherine H. Lybrand	1	4.0	11	4.4	1	1.0	4.1
Judge Kirsten L. Swanson	-	-	11	3.1	2	2.0	2.9
Judge David L. Roghair	-	-	13	3.7	3	2.7	3.5
Judge Kelly J. Lawson	1	1.0	7	4.7	2	3.0	4.0
Judge Jack R. McKenna	-	-	1	4.0	20	4.0	4.0
Judge David A. Nesbett	1	1.0	1	5.0	12	4.0	3.9
Judge Christina Reigh	1	3.0	9	2.2	2	1.5	2.2
Judge Ian Wheelles	-	-	-	-	10	2.9	2.9
Judge Jonathan A. Woodman	-	-	6	4.0	13	3.8	3.9
Judge Chris Darnall	-	-	1	4.0	21	4.0	4.0
Judge Martin Fallon	-	-	10	4.2	2	3.0	4.0
Judge Shawn D. Traini	-	-	7	4.6	11	4.2	4.3
Judge Kirk Schwalm	2	3.0	6	4.2	10	4.3	4.1
Judge Amy Welch	1	2.0	5	2.4	6	3.5	2.9
Judge Benjamin A. Seekins	2	3.5	9	3.4	14	3.5	3.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.
Ratings for judges with fewer than 10 respondents are not published.

Table 9
Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Work

	First District		Second District		Third District		Fourth District		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti	14	2.1	-	-	2	3.0	-	-	2.3
Judge Daniel E. Doty	12	3.1	-	-	2	2.5	-	-	3.0
Judge Katherine H. Lybrand	12	4.3	-	-	1	1.0	-	-	4.1
Judge Kirsten L. Swanson	12	3.1	-	-	1	1.0	-	-	2.9
Judge David L. Roghair	1	3.0	10	3.8	2	2.0	3	3.7	3.5
Judge Kelly J. Lawson	-	-	-	-	10	4.0	-	-	4.0
Judge Jack R. McKenna	-	-	-	-	21	4.0	-	-	4.0
Judge David A. Nesbett	-	-	-	-	14	3.9	-	-	3.9
Judge Christina Reigh	-	-	-	-	11	2.2	1	2.0	2.2
Judge Ian Wheelles	-	-	-	-	10	2.9	-	-	2.9
Judge Jonathan A. Woodman	-	-	-	-	19	3.9	-	-	3.9
Judge Chris Darnall	-	-	-	-	21	4.0	1	4.0	4.0
Judge Martin Fallon	-	-	-	-	12	4.0	-	-	4.0
Judge Shawn D. Traini	-	-	-	-	18	4.3	-	-	4.3
Judge Kirk Schwalm	-	-	-	-	2	3.0	16	4.3	4.1
Judge Amy Welch	-	-	-	-	2	2.0	10	3.1	2.9
Judge Benjamin A. Seekins	-	-	1	5.0	2	2.5	22	3.5	3.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.
Ratings for judges with fewer than 10 respondents are not published.

Table 10
Mean Overall Ratings by Gender

	Male		Female		Another identity		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti	14	2.1	2	3.0	-	-	2.3
Judge Daniel E. Doty	11	3.0	3	3.0	-	-	3.0
Judge Katherine H. Lybrand	11	4.1	2	4.0	-	-	4.1
Judge Kirsten L. Swanson	12	2.8	1	4.0	-	-	2.9
Judge David L. Roghair	12	3.4	4	3.8	-	-	3.5
Judge Kelly J. Lawson	8	3.8	2	5.0	-	-	4.0
Judge Jack R. McKenna	15	3.9	6	4.2	-	-	4.0
Judge David A. Nesbett	11	3.8	3	4.0	-	-	3.9
Judge Christina Reigh	9	1.9	2	3.5	+	+	2.2
Judge Ian Wheelles	9	2.9	1	3.0	-	-	2.9
Judge Jonathan A. Woodman	15	3.7	3	4.3	+	+	3.9
Judge Chris Darnall	18	4.1	4	3.5	-	-	4.0
Judge Martin Fallon	10	3.9	2	4.5	-	-	4.0
Judge Shawn D. Traini	17	4.4	1	4.0	-	-	4.3
Judge Kirk Schwalm	14	4.2	4	3.8	-	-	4.1
Judge Amy Welch	9	3.1	3	2.3	-	-	2.9
Judge Benjamin A. Seekins	20	3.5	5	3.4	-	-	3.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.
Ratings for judges with fewer than 10 respondents are not published.
+Too few respondents to report.

Table 11
Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	20	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	18	90.0
Professional reputation	2	10.0
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	18	100
Substantial amount of experience	1	5.6
Moderate amount of experience	14	77.8
Limited amount of experience	3	16.7
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	3	15.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	11	55.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	5	25.0
Other	1	5.0
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	2	10
5 years or fewer	8	40.0
6 to 10 years	1	5.0
11 to 15 years	-	-
16 to 20 years	3	15.0
More than 20 years	6	30.0
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	1	5.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	14	70.0
Over 35,000	5	25.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	16	80.0
Second District	-	-
Third District	4	20.0
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	18	90.0
Female	2	10.0
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 12
Judge Marianna C. Carpeneti
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	20	2.5	2.9	2.7	2.5	2.4
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	18	2.4	2.8	2.6	2.4	2.3
Experience within last 5 years	18	2.4	2.8	2.6	2.4	2.3
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	1	2.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	1.0
Moderate amount of experience	14	2.5	2.9	2.6	2.6	2.4
Limited amount of experience	3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Professional reputation	2	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	10	2.3	2.9	2.4	2.4	2.1
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	5	3.0	3.4	3.4	2.8	3.0
Other	1	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	7	2.2	2.5	2.3	2.0	1.8
6 to 10 years	1	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
11 to 15 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 to 20 years	3	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	1.5
More than 20 years	5	2.6	3.0	2.8	2.8	2.8
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	1	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	12	2.1	2.5	2.4	2.2	1.9
Over 35,000	5	3.0	3.3	3.0	2.8	3.0
Location of Work*						
First District	15	2.3	2.8	2.5	2.3	2.1
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	3	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	16	2.3	2.7	2.5	2.3	2.1
Female	2	3.0	3.5	3.0	3.0	3.0
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 13
Judge Daniel E. Doty
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	16	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	15	93.8
Professional reputation	1	6.3
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	15	100
Substantial amount of experience	4	26.7
Moderate amount of experience	6	40.0
Limited amount of experience	5	33.3
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	9	56.3
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	5	31.3
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	6.3
Other	1	6.3
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	1	6.3
5 years or fewer	5	31.3
6 to 10 years	2	12.5
11 to 15 years	1	6.3
16 to 20 years	1	6.3
More than 20 years	6	37.5
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	1	6.3
Between 2,000 and 35,000	13	81.3
Over 35,000	2	12.5
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	14	87.5
Second District	-	-
Third District	2	12.5
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	13	81.3
Female	3	18.8
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 14
Judge Daniel E. Doty
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	16	3.1	3.4	3.7	3.4	3.1
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	15	3.0	3.2	3.6	3.3	3.0
Experience within last 5 years	15	3.0	3.2	3.6	3.3	3.0
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	4	3.3	3.5	4.3	4.5	3.5
Moderate amount of experience	6	2.2	2.6	2.4	2.2	2.3
Limited amount of experience	5	3.8	3.8	4.5	3.8	3.5
Professional reputation	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	8	3.3	3.8	3.8	3.4	3.3
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	5	2.8	3.0	3.6	3.2	2.8
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0
Other	1	2.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	2.0
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	5	2.7	2.3	3.0	2.0	2.0
6 to 10 years	2	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
11 to 15 years	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
16 to 20 years	1	3.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
More than 20 years	5	2.8	3.0	3.6	3.4	3.0
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	1	2.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	12	3.2	3.4	3.7	3.4	3.1
Over 35,000	2	2.5	2.5	3.0	2.5	2.5
Location of Work*						
First District	13	3.1	3.4	3.7	3.4	3.1
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	2	2.5	2.5	3.0	2.5	2.5
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	12	3.0	3.2	3.5	3.1	3.0
Female	3	3.0	3.3	4.0	4.0	3.0
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 15
Judge Katherine H. Lybrand
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	15	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	13	86.7
Professional reputation	2	13.3
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	13	100
Substantial amount of experience	2	15.4
Moderate amount of experience	7	53.8
Limited amount of experience	4	30.8
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	6	40.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	8	53.3
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	6.7
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	3	20.0
6 to 10 years	3	20.0
11 to 15 years	-	-
16 to 20 years	3	20.0
More than 20 years	6	40.0
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	1	6.7
Between 2,000 and 35,000	13	86.7
Over 35,000	1	6.7
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	14	93.3
Second District	-	-
Third District	1	6.7
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	13	86.7
Female	2	13.3
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 16
Judge Katherine H. Lybrand
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	15	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.1
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	13	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.1
Experience within last 5 years	13	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	7	3.7	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.9
Limited amount of experience	4	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.0
Professional reputation	2	4.5	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.5
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	5	3.8	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	7	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	3	3.7	3.7	4.0	4.0	3.3
6 to 10 years	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
11 to 15 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 to 20 years	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
More than 20 years	5	3.4	3.6	3.6	3.8	3.6
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	11	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.4
Over 35,000	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Location of Work*						
First District	12	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.3
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	11	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.1
Female	2	4.0	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.0
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 17
Judge Kirsten L. Swanson
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	16	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	13	81.3
Professional reputation	3	18.8
Other personal contacts		
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	13	100
Substantial amount of experience	1	7.7
Moderate amount of experience	10	76.9
Limited amount of experience	2	15.4
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	4	25.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	10	62.5
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	2	12.5
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	1	6.3
5 years or fewer	6	37.5
6 to 10 years	2	12.5
11 to 15 years	-	-
16 to 20 years	3	18.8
More than 20 years	4	25.0
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	13	81.3
Over 35,000	3	18.8
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	14	87.5
Second District	-	-
Third District	2	12.5
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	15	93.8
Female	1	6.3
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 18
Judge Kirsten L. Swanson
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	16	2.9	3.2	3.2	3.0	3.0
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	13	2.9	3.2	3.2	2.9	2.9
Experience within last 5 years	13	2.9	3.2	3.2	2.9	2.9
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	1	3.0	3.0	4.0	2.0	3.0
Moderate amount of experience	10	2.9	3.2	3.1	3.0	2.9
Limited amount of experience	2	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Professional reputation	3	3.0	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	3	1.7	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	9	3.2	3.3	3.2	3.0	3.1
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	5	2.8	3.0	2.8	2.6	2.6
6 to 10 years	2	3.0	4.0	4.5	3.5	3.5
11 to 15 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 to 20 years	3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
More than 20 years	3	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	11	3.1	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.1
Over 35,000	2	2.0	2.0	2.5	1.5	2.0
Location of Work*						
First District	12	3.1	3.3	3.3	3.1	3.1
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	12	2.8	3.1	3.0	2.8	2.8
Female	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 19
Judge David L. Roghair
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	16	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	16	100
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	16	100
Substantial amount of experience	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	11	68.8
Limited amount of experience	5	31.3
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	9	56.3
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	2	12.5
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	4	25.0
Other	1	6.3
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	2	12.5
6 to 10 years	4	25.0
11 to 15 years	2	12.5
16 to 20 years	2	12.5
More than 20 years	3	18.8
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	13	81.3
Over 35,000	3	18.8
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	1	6.3
Second District	10	62.5
Third District	2	12.5
Fourth District	3	18.8
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	12	75.0
Female	4	25.0
Another identity	-	-

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 20
Judge David L. Roghair
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	16	3.3	3.8	3.8	3.4	3.5
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	16	3.3	3.8	3.8	3.4	3.5
Experience within last 5 years	16	3.3	3.8	3.8	3.4	3.5
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	11	3.5	3.8	3.7	3.5	3.6
Limited amount of experience	5	3.0	3.6	3.8	3.2	3.2
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	9	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.2	3.4
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	2	2.5	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	4	4.0	4.5	4.3	4.0	4.3
Other	1	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	2	2.5	3.5	2.5	3.0	2.5
6 to 10 years	4	3.8	3.8	4.3	3.8	4.0
11 to 15 years	2	3.5	4.0	5.0	4.5	4.0
16 to 20 years	2	4.0	5.0	5.0	3.5	4.0
More than 20 years	3	2.7	3.0	2.7	2.7	2.7
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	13	3.5	3.9	4.0	3.5	3.7
Over 35,000	3	2.7	3.0	2.7	3.0	2.7
Location of Work*						
First District	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Second District	10	3.5	3.9	3.9	3.6	3.8
Third District	2	2.0	3.0	3.0	1.5	2.0
Fourth District	3	3.7	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.7
Gender*						
Male	12	3.3	3.8	3.6	3.4	3.4
Female	4	3.5	3.5	4.3	3.3	3.8
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 21
Judge Kelly J. Lawson
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	14	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	11	78.6
Professional reputation	2	14.3
Other personal contacts	1	7.1
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	11	100
Substantial amount of experience	2	18.2
Moderate amount of experience	8	72.7
Limited amount of experience	1	9.1
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	4	28.6
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	5	35.7
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	5	35.7
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	1	7.1
5 years or fewer	1	7.1
6 to 10 years	2	14.3
11 to 15 years	2	14.3
16 to 20 years	3	21.4
More than 20 years	5	35.7
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	1	7.1
Between 2,000 and 35,000	9	64.3
Over 35,000	4	28.6
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	14	100
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	10	71.4
Female	3	21.4
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 22
Judge Kelly J. Lawson
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	14	3.9	4.2	3.8	3.8	4.0
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	11	4.1	4.2	3.8	3.7	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	11	4.1	4.2	3.8	3.7	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	2	4.5	5.0	4.0	4.5	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	8	4.4	4.4	4.1	3.9	4.3
Limited amount of experience	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Professional reputation	2	3.0	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Other personal contacts	1	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	4	2.8	3.0	2.5	2.8	2.8
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	4	5.0	5.0	4.7	3.7	4.7
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	3	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	5.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
6 to 10 years	2	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.5
11 to 15 years	1	-	-	-	-	-
16 to 20 years	2	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5	5.0
More than 20 years	4	3.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.0
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	8	4.9	5.0	4.6	4.3	4.7
Over 35,000	2	3.0	3.0	2.5	3.0	3.0
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	11	4.1	4.2	3.8	3.7	4.0
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	9	3.9	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.8
Female	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 23
Judge Jack R. McKenna
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	27	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	22	81.5
Professional reputation	5	18.5
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	22	100
Substantial amount of experience	3	13.6
Moderate amount of experience	8	36.4
Limited amount of experience	11	50.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	7	25.9
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	8	29.6
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	11	40.7
Other	1	3.7
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	4	14.8
5 years or fewer	3	11.1
6 to 10 years	3	11.1
11 to 15 years	4	14.8
16 to 20 years	4	14.8
More than 20 years	9	33.3
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3	11.1
Over 35,000	24	88.9
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	27	100
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	18	66.7
Female	8	29.6
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 24
Judge Jack R. McKenna
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	27	3.7	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.8
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	22	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	22	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	3	5.0	4.7	4.7	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	8	3.5	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.6
Limited amount of experience	11	3.9	4.2	3.9	3.9	3.9
Professional reputation	5	3.0	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.2
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	6	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	7	4.0	4.3	3.8	4.2	4.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	9	3.6	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.7
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	1	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0
6 to 10 years	1	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0
11 to 15 years	4	3.8	4.0	3.3	3.5	3.5
16 to 20 years	3	4.3	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.7
More than 20 years	9	3.9	3.8	3.8	4.0	3.9
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
Over 35,000	21	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.0
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	22	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.0
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	15	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.9	3.9
Female	7	4.0	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.2
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 25
Judge David A. Nesbett
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	20	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	14	70.0
Professional reputation	6	30.0
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	14	100
Substantial amount of experience	3	21.4
Moderate amount of experience	4	28.6
Limited amount of experience	7	50.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	6	30.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	10	50.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	2	10.0
Other	2	10.0
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	3	15.0
5 years or fewer	3	15.0
6 to 10 years	2	10.0
11 to 15 years	3	15.0
16 to 20 years	5	25.0
More than 20 years	4	20.0
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	1	5.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	10.0
Over 35,000	17	85.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	20	100
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	14	70.0
Female	5	25.0
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 26
Judge David A. Nesbett
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	20	3.8	3.9	4.2	4.1	3.9
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	14	3.8	3.8	4.1	4.1	3.9
Experience within last 5 years	14	3.8	3.8	4.1	4.1	3.9
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	3	3.3	3.7	4.0	3.3	3.0
Moderate amount of experience	4	3.5	3.5	3.8	3.8	3.5
Limited amount of experience	7	4.1	4.0	4.4	4.6	4.4
Professional reputation	6	3.7	4.0	4.2	4.0	4.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	5	3.6	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.6
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	8	3.9	3.8	4.3	4.3	4.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	1	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	1.0
6 to 10 years	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
11 to 15 years	3	4.0	3.3	4.3	4.7	4.3
16 to 20 years	4	4.5	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.5
More than 20 years	3	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	1	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	1.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Over 35,000	12	3.9	3.9	4.3	4.2	4.0
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	14	3.8	3.8	4.1	4.1	3.9
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	11	3.7	3.7	4.1	4.0	3.8
Female	3	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.0
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 27
Judge Christina Reigh
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	14	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	12	85.7
Professional reputation	2	14.3
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	12	100
Substantial amount of experience	8	66.7
Moderate amount of experience	2	16.7
Limited amount of experience	2	16.7
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	9	64.3
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	3	21.4
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	7.1
Other	1	7.1
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	3	21.4
6 to 10 years	4	28.6
11 to 15 years	-	-
16 to 20 years	2	14.3
More than 20 years	3	21.4
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	1	7.1
Between 2,000 and 35,000	10	71.4
Over 35,000	3	21.4
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	13	92.9
Fourth District	1	7.1
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	10	71.4
Female	2	14.3
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 28
Judge Christina Reigh
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	14	2.0	2.4	2.7	2.6	2.1
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	12	2.0	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.2
Experience within last 5 years	12	2.0	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.2
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	8	1.8	2.1	2.8	2.8	2.0
Moderate amount of experience	2	1.5	2.0	1.5	1.5	1.5
Limited amount of experience	2	3.5	4.5	4.0	4.0	3.5
Professional reputation	2	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.0	2.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	9	1.9	2.2	2.7	2.8	2.1
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	3	2.3	3.3	3.0	2.7	2.3
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	3	2.3	3.0	2.7	3.0	2.3
6 to 10 years	4	1.8	2.3	3.3	3.3	2.0
11 to 15 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 to 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
More than 20 years	3	1.3	1.7	1.3	1.3	1.3
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	1	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	9	2.1	2.6	2.9	2.8	2.2
Over 35,000	2	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.5	1.5
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	11	2.0	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.2
Fourth District	1	2.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	2.0
Gender*						
Male	9	1.8	2.1	2.7	2.6	1.9
Female	2	3.0	4.0	3.5	4.0	3.5
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 29
Judge Ian Wheeles
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	12	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	10	83.3
Professional reputation	2	16.7
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	10	100
Substantial amount of experience	2	20.0
Moderate amount of experience	4	40.0
Limited amount of experience	4	40.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	3	25.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	2	16.7
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	7	58.3
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	3	25.0
5 years or fewer	1	8.3
6 to 10 years	2	16.7
11 to 15 years	1	8.3
16 to 20 years	2	16.7
More than 20 years	3	25.0
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	-	-
Over 35,000	12	100
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	12	100
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	10	83.3
Female	1	8.3
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 30
Judge Ian Wheelles
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	12	2.9	3.2	3.0	3.1	3.0
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	10	2.8	3.1	2.9	3.0	2.9
Experience within last 5 years	10	2.8	3.1	2.9	3.0	2.9
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	2	3.5	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0
Moderate amount of experience	4	1.8	2.0	2.3	2.3	2.0
Limited amount of experience	4	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.3	3.3
Professional reputation	2	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	6	2.8	3.3	3.0	3.2	3.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
6 to 10 years	2	2.0	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.0
11 to 15 years	1	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
16 to 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
More than 20 years	3	1.7	1.7	1.3	1.7	1.7
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Over 35,000	10	2.8	3.1	2.9	3.0	2.9
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	10	2.8	3.1	2.9	3.0	2.9
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	9	2.9	3.0	2.9	3.0	2.9
Female	1	2.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 31
Judge Jonathan A. Woodman
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	21	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	19	90.5
Professional reputation	2	9.5
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	19	100
Substantial amount of experience	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	11	57.9
Limited amount of experience	8	42.1
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	12	57.1
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	4	19.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	5	23.8
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	1	4.8
5 years or fewer	3	14.3
6 to 10 years	5	23.8
11 to 15 years	2	9.5
16 to 20 years	5	23.8
More than 20 years	5	23.8
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	7	33.3
Over 35,000	14	66.7
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	21	100
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	17	81.0
Female	3	14.3
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 32
Judge Jonathan A. Woodman
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	21	3.9	4.0	4.1	3.9	3.9
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	19	3.9	4.0	4.2	3.9	3.9
Experience within last 5 years	19	3.9	4.0	4.2	3.9	3.9
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	11	4.1	4.2	4.2	3.9	3.9
Limited amount of experience	8	3.6	3.8	4.1	3.9	3.9
Professional reputation	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	10	3.7	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.6
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	4	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	5	4.2	4.2	4.6	4.2	4.4
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
6 to 10 years	5	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.0	4.0
11 to 15 years	2	3.5	3.5	4.0	4.5	3.5
16 to 20 years	5	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.0
More than 20 years	5	3.2	3.2	3.6	3.2	3.4
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	6	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.0
Over 35,000	13	3.8	3.9	4.1	3.7	3.8
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	19	3.9	4.0	4.2	3.9	3.9
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	15	3.7	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.7
Female	3	4.3	4.3	5.0	4.0	4.3
Another identity	+	+	+	+	+	+

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 33
Judge Chris Darnall
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	27	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	23	85.2
Professional reputation	4	14.8
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	22	100
Substantial amount of experience	2	8.7
Moderate amount of experience	10	43.5
Limited amount of experience	11	47.8
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	9	33.3
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	14	51.9
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	4	14.8
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	3	11.1
5 years or fewer	2	7.4
6 to 10 years	4	14.8
11 to 15 years	5	18.5
16 to 20 years	8	29.6
More than 20 years	5	18.5
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	7.4
Over 35,000	25	92.6
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	26	96.3
Fourth District	1	3.7
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	20	74.1
Female	5	18.5
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 34
Judge Chris Darnall
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	27	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.9
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	23	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	22	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	10	3.7	3.8	3.6	3.7	3.6
Limited amount of experience	11	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3
Professional reputation	4	3.3	3.5	3.3	3.5	3.5
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	8	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.9	3.8
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	13	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	2	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	2	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.5
6 to 10 years	3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
11 to 15 years	4	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.0
16 to 20 years	7	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.0
More than 20 years	4	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Over 35,000	22	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.0
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	22	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.0
Fourth District	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Gender*						
Male	19	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1
Female	4	3.8	4.3	3.8	3.8	3.5
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 35
Judge Martin Fallon
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	14	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	12	85.7
Professional reputation	2	14.3
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	11	91.7
Substantial amount of experience	2	16.7
Moderate amount of experience	5	41.7
Limited amount of experience	5	41.7
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	7	50.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	4	28.6
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	3	21.4
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	1	7.1
5 years or fewer	1	7.1
6 to 10 years	3	21.4
11 to 15 years	2	14.3
16 to 20 years	3	21.4
More than 20 years	4	28.6
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	11	78.6
Over 35,000	3	21.4
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	14	100
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	11	78.6
Female	2	14.3
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 36
Judge Martin Fallon
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	14	3.9	4.1	3.9	3.6	3.9
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	12	4.0	4.3	3.9	3.7	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	11	4.0	4.3	3.9	3.6	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	2.5	3.5
Moderate amount of experience	5	3.6	4.0	3.6	3.6	3.6
Limited amount of experience	5	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.2	4.6
Professional reputation	2	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	7	3.6	3.9	3.6	3.1	3.4
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	3	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.7	5.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	2	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.5
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	1	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
6 to 10 years	2	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
11 to 15 years	2	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.5
16 to 20 years	2	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5	5.0
More than 20 years	4	3.5	3.8	3.8	2.8	3.3
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	10	4.2	4.5	4.2	3.9	4.2
Over 35,000	2	3.0	3.0	2.5	2.5	3.0
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	12	4.0	4.3	3.9	3.7	4.0
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	10	3.9	4.2	3.8	3.5	3.9
Female	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 37
Judge Shawn D. Traini
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	22	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	18	81.8
Professional reputation	4	18.2
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	18	100
Substantial amount of experience	4	22.2
Moderate amount of experience	8	44.4
Limited amount of experience	6	33.3
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	14	63.6
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	6	27.3
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	2	9.1
Other	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	2	9.1
5 years or fewer	2	9.1
6 to 10 years	5	22.7
11 to 15 years	4	18.2
16 to 20 years	6	27.3
More than 20 years	3	13.6
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	9	40.9
Over 35,000	13	59.1
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	22	100
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	19	86.4
Female	2	9.1
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 38
Judge Shawn D. Traini
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	22	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	18	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	18	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	8	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
Limited amount of experience	6	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.8
Professional reputation	4	3.0	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.8
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	13	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	5	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	2	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0
6 to 10 years	5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.6
11 to 15 years	3	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.3
16 to 20 years	4	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
More than 20 years	2	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	7	4.6	4.4	4.7	4.6	4.6
Over 35,000	11	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.2
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	18	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	17	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4
Female	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 39
Judge Kirk Schwalm
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	25	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	19	76.0
Professional reputation	6	24.0
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	18	100
Substantial amount of experience	3	16.7
Moderate amount of experience	14	77.8
Limited amount of experience	1	5.6
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	14	56.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	1	4.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	8	32.0
Other	2	8.0
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	5	20.0
5 years or fewer	6	24.0
6 to 10 years	4	16.0
11 to 15 years	3	12.0
16 to 20 years	5	20.0
More than 20 years	2	8.0
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	2	8.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	7	28.0
Over 35,000	16	64.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	3	12.0
Fourth District	22	88.0
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	18	72.0
Female	6	24.0
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 40
Judge Kirk Schwalm
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	25	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.0
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	19	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.1
Experience within last 5 years	18	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	3	4.7	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	14	4.0	4.2	3.9	4.1	3.9
Limited amount of experience	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Professional reputation	6	3.4	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	11	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.3
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	6	3.7	4.0	3.7	4.0	3.7
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	5	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.4	4.2
6 to 10 years	3	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.3
11 to 15 years	3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
16 to 20 years	4	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.0
More than 20 years	2	2.5	2.5	2.0	3.0	2.5
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	2	3.0	3.5	3.0	3.5	3.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	6	4.3	4.5	4.0	4.5	4.2
Over 35,000	11	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	2	3.0	3.0	2.5	3.0	3.0
Fourth District	17	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3
Gender*						
Male	15	4.2	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.2
Female	4	3.8	4.0	3.8	4.0	3.8
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 41
Judge Amy Welch
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	17	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	13	76.5
Professional reputation	4	23.5
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	13	100
Substantial amount of experience	3	23.1
Moderate amount of experience	8	61.5
Limited amount of experience	2	15.4
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	8	47.1
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	-	-
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	8	47.1
Other	1	5.9
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	3	17.6
5 years or fewer	5	29.4
6 to 10 years	3	17.6
11 to 15 years	1	5.9
16 to 20 years	3	17.6
More than 20 years	2	11.8
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	1	5.9
Between 2,000 and 35,000	5	29.4
Over 35,000	11	64.7
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	3	17.6
Fourth District	14	82.4
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	11	64.7
Female	5	29.4
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 42
Judge Amy Welch
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	17	2.8	3.3	3.0	2.9	2.9
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	13	2.9	3.4	3.2	3.0	2.9
Experience within last 5 years	13	2.9	3.4	3.2	3.0	2.9
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	3	3.7	4.0	3.7	3.7	3.7
Moderate amount of experience	8	2.6	3.3	3.0	2.7	2.6
Limited amount of experience	2	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Professional reputation	4	2.5	2.8	2.5	2.8	2.8
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	6	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.3	3.5
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	6	2.4	3.6	2.8	2.8	2.4
Other	1	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	4	2.3	3.0	2.5	2.3	2.3
6 to 10 years	2	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
11 to 15 years	1	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	3.0
16 to 20 years	2	3.5	4.0	3.5	4.0	3.5
More than 20 years	2	1.5	2.5	2.5	2.0	2.0
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	1	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	5	2.4	3.0	2.6	2.6	2.4
Over 35,000	7	3.5	3.8	3.8	3.3	3.5
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	2	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Fourth District	11	3.1	3.7	3.4	3.2	3.1
Gender*						
Male	9	3.1	3.4	3.3	3.1	3.1
Female	4	2.3	3.3	2.7	2.7	2.3
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 43
Judge Benjamin A. Seekins
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	30	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	26	86.7
Professional reputation	4	13.3
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	25	96.2
Substantial amount of experience	3	11.5
Moderate amount of experience	13	50.0
Limited amount of experience	10	38.5
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	19	63.3
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	2	6.7
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	7	23.3
Other	2	6.7
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	5	16.7
5 years or fewer	7	23.3
6 to 10 years	6	20.0
11 to 15 years	5	16.7
16 to 20 years	5	16.7
More than 20 years	2	6.7
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	3	10.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	10	33.3
Over 35,000	17	56.7
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	1	3.3
Third District	3	10.0
Fourth District	26	86.7
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	22	73.3
Female	7	23.3
Another identity	+	+

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

+Too few respondents to report.

Table 44
Judge Benjamin A. Seekins
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	30	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.4
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	26	3.5	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.5
Experience within last 5 years	25	3.5	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.5
Experience not within last 5 years	1	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	13	3.0	3.2	3.1	2.9	2.8
Limited amount of experience	10	3.8	3.9	3.9	4.1	3.9
Professional reputation	4	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.0	3.3
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	18	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.7
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	5	3.0	3.4	3.4	3.2	3.0
Other	1	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	6	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.3	3.3
6 to 10 years	5	4.2	4.4	4.0	4.6	4.2
11 to 15 years	5	3.4	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.4
16 to 20 years	4	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.3
More than 20 years	2	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	3	3.5	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.5
Between 2,000 and 35,000	9	3.3	3.8	3.4	3.4	3.4
Over 35,000	14	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.5
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	2	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.5
Fourth District	23	3.5	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.5
Gender*						
Male	21	3.6	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.5
Female	5	3.4	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.4
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.