Table 25 Herman G. Walker Demographic Description of Respondents

		n	%
	All respondents	254	100
<b>Experience with Judge</b>			
	Direct professional experience	220	86.6
	Professional reputation	24	9.4
	Other personal contacts	10	3.9
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	193	89.8
	Substantial amount of experience	57	26.3
	Moderate amount of experience	93	42.9
	Limited amount of experience	67	30.9
<b>Type of Practice</b>	·		
	No response	1	0.4
	Private, solo	62	24.4
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	42	16.5
	Private, 6+ attorneys	50	19.7
	Private, corporate employee	2	0.8
	Judge or judicial officer	37	14.6
	Government	34	13.4
	Public service agency or organization	5	2.0
	Retired	20	7.9
	Other	1	0.4
Length of Alaska Practice	Other	•	0.
Deligon of Franklia Francisco	No response	35	13.8
	5 years or fewer	25	9.8
	6 to 10 years	15	5.9
	11 to 15 years	24	9.4
	16 to 20 years	25	9.8
	More than 20 years	130	51.2
Cases Handled	More than 20 years	130	31.2
Cuses Hundred	No response	2	0.8
	Prosecution	4	1.6
	Criminal	19	7.5
	Mixed criminal & civil	73	28.7
	Civil	149	58.7
	Other	7	2.8
<b>Location of Practice</b>	Other	,	2.0
Location of Fractice	No response	1	0.4
	First District	7	2.8
	Second District	1	0.4
	Third District	233	91.7
	Fourth District		
		8	3.1
Condon	Outside Alaska	4	1.6
Gender	No magnanga	2	1 /
	No response	3	1.2
	Male	154	60.6
	Female	97	38.2
	Another identity	-	

<sup>\*</sup>Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 26 Herman G. Walker **Detailed Responses** 

	n	Legal Ability M	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence M	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	254	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.0	4.2
Basis for Evaluation	23 1	1.1	1.5	1.5	1. 1	1.0	1.2
Direct professional experience	220	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.0	4.2
Experience within last 5 years	193	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.0	4.2
Experience not within last 5 years	22	3.9	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.0	4.1
Substantial amount of experience	57	4.1	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.0	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	93	4.0	4.3	4.5	4.3	3.9	4.1
Limited amount of experience	67	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.3
Professional reputation	24	4.3	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.1	4.3
Other personal contacts	10	4.2	4.4	4.7	4.3	4.3	4.3
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	54	3.9	4.1	4.2	4.2	3.8	4.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	36	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.3	3.9	4.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	45	4.1	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.2	4.4
Private, corporate employee	2	4.5	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	31	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.7
Government	31	3.8	4.1	4.2	4.0	3.6	4.0
Public service agency or organization	4	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.3	4.3
Retired	15	4.1	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.1	4.2
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	23	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.0	3.8	4.0
6 to 10 years	14	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.4
11 to 15 years	22	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.0	4.2
16 to 20 years	21	3.8	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.0	4.0
More than 20 years	109	4.1	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.0	4.2
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	4	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.0
Criminal	14	3.9	4.1	4.2	3.9	3.6	4.1
Mixed criminal & civil	65	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.0	4.3
Civil	128	4.0	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.0	4.2
Other	7	4.3	4.9	5.0	4.9	4.3	4.7
Location of Practice*							
First District	4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.3
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	204	4.1	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.0	4.2
Fourth District	6	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.0	4.3
Outside Alaska	4	3.0	3.5	3.3	3.5	2.8	3.3
Gender*							
Male	134	4.1	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.1	4.3
Female	83	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.3	3.9	4.1
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>\*</sup>Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 19 Herman G. Walker Description of Respondents' Experience

		n	%
	All respondents	37	100
Experience with Judge			
	Direct professional experience	31	83.8
	Professional reputation	5	13.5
	Other personal contacts	1	2.7
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	30	96.8
	Substantial amount of experience	5	16.1
	Moderate amount of experience	16	51.6
	Limited amount of experience	10	32.3

<sup>\*</sup>Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 20 Herman G. Walker **Detailed Responses** 

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	n	M	M	M	M	M
All respondents	37	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>						
Direct professional experience	31	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Experience within last 5 years	30	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	5	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.2
Moderate amount of experience	16	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.4
Limited amount of experience	10	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.9
Professional reputation	5	3.8	3.8	4.0	3.5	3.8
Other personal contacts	1	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0

## Juror Survey Results 2024 Retention Evaluation: Walker, Herman G.

Survey Category	Mean	Poor (1)	Deficient (2)	Acceptable (3)	Good (4)	Excellent (5)	Total Responses
Impartiality/Fairness	5.0	0	0	0	1	19	20
Respectful/Courteous	5.0	0	0	0	0	20	20
Attentive During Proceedings	4.9	0	0	0	2	18	20
Control Over Proceedings	5.0	0	0	0	1	19	20
Intelligence/Skill as a Judge	4.9	0	0	0	2	18	20
Overall Evaluation	4.9	0	0	0	2	18	20