

UAA Institute of Social and Economic Research UNIVERSITY of ALASKA ANCHORAGE

Alaska Judicial Council

Judicial Evaluation Survey

Pro Tem Judges

Technical Report

Ashley Schroeder, MPH, Research Professional Ashley Hannigan, MA, Research Professional

February 28, 2025

Funded by Alaska Judicial Council

www.iseralaska.org

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Table 1: Mean Ratings of Judges	2
Introduction	3
Methodology	3
Table 2: Respondent Characteristics	4
Instrumentation	5
Confidentiality and Data Safety	5
Assurance of Non-Duplicate Responding	5
Data Management	6
Results	6
Table 3: Level of Experience with Judges	7
Table 4: Summary of Overall Ratings	8
Table 5: Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating	9
Table 6: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice	
Table 7: Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Alaska Practice	
Table 8: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Caseload Handled	13
Table 9: Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Practice	
Table 10: Mean Overall Ratings by Gender	
Table 11: Eric A. Aarseth: Demographic Description of Respondents	
Table 12: Eric A. Aarseth: Detailed Responses.	
Table 13: Joel H. Bolger: Demographic Description of Respondents	
Table 14: Joel H. Bolger: Detailed Responses	
Table 15: William B. Carey: Demographic Description of Respondents	
Table 16: William B. Carey: Detailed Responses	
Table 17: Robert L. Eastaugh: Demographic Description of Respondents	
Table 18: Robert L. Eastaugh: Detailed Responses	
Table 19: Dana Fabe: Demographic Description of Respondents	
Table 20: Dana Fabe: Detailed Responses	
Table 21: Natalie K. Finn: Demographic Description of Respondents	
Table 22: Natalie K. Finn: Detailed Responses	
Table 23: Donald D. Hopwood: Demographic Description of Respondents	
Table 24: Donald D. Hopwood: Detailed Responses	
Table 25: Michael A. MacDonald: Demographic Description of Respondents	
Table 26: Michael A. MacDonald: Detailed Responses	
Table 27: David Mannheimer: Demographic Description of Respondents	
Table 28: David Mannheimer: Detailed Responses	
Table 29: Warren W. Matthews: Demographic Description of Respondents	
Table 30: Warren W. Matthews: Detailed Responses	
Table 31: Michael P. McConahy: Demographic Description of Respondents	
Table 32: Michael P. McConahy: Detailed Responses	
Table 33: Patrick J. McKay: Demographic Description of Respondents	
Table 34: Patrick J. McKay: Detailed Responses	
Table 35: Gregory Miller: Demographic Description of Respondents	
Table 36: Gregory Miller: Detailed Responses	
Table 37: Nancy J. Nolan: Demographic Description of Respondents	
Table 38: Nancy J. Nolan: Detailed Responses	
Table 39: Philip M. Pallenberg: Demographic Description of Respondents	
Table 40: Philip M. Pallenberg: Detailed Responses	
Table 41: Eric Smith: Demographic Description of Respondents	
Table 42: Eric Smith: Detailed Responses	
1	

Table 43: Michael Spaan: Demographic Description of Respondents	
Table 44: Michael Spaan: Detailed Responses	49
Table 45: Trevor Stephens: Demographic Description of Respondents	50
Table 46: Trevor Stephens: Detailed Responses	
Table 47: Nelson Traverso: Demographic Description of Respondents	
Table 48: Nelson Traverso: Detailed Responses	
Table 49: Michael L. Wolverton: Demographic Description of Respondents	
Table 50: Michael L. Wolverton: Detailed Responses	55
Table 51: Mark I. Wood: Demographic Description of Respondents	
Table 52: Mark I. Wood: Detailed Responses	

Executive Summary

This report presents findings from a survey conducted among Alaska Bar Association members about the judicial performance of 21 retired pro tem judges. The 21 retired pro tem judges were (presented in alphabetical order): Eric A. Aarseth, Joel H. Bolger, William B. Carey, Robert L. Eastaugh, Dana Fabe, Natalie K. Finn, Donald D. Hopwood, Michael A. MacDonald, David Mannheimer, Warren W. Matthews, Michael P. McConahy, Patrick J. McKay, Gregory Miller, Nancy J. Nolan, Philip M. Pallenberg, Eric Smith, Michael Spaan, Trevor Stephens, Nelson Traverso, Michael L. Wolverton, and Mark I. Wood.

The Alaska Judicial Council asked bar members to evaluate the judges on six characteristics: *Legal Ability*, *Impartiality/Fairness*, *Integrity*, *Judicial Temperament*, *Diligence*, and *Overall*. The rating scale ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5).

Table 1 shows the mean ratings for each judge by respondents with direct professional experience on all six characteristics.

Table 1Mean Ratings of Judges

		Legal Ability	Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	n	M	М	M	M	M	M
Eric A. Aarseth	126	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
Joel H. Bolger	113	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7
William B. Carey	52	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.1	4.2	4.4
Robert L. Eastaugh	77	4.8	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.7
Dana Fabe	155	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Natalie K. Finn	53	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Donald D. Hopwood	78	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6
Michael A. MacDonald	71	4.3	3.9	4.4	3.8	4.4	4.1
David Mannheimer	56	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.5
Warren W. Matthews	77	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.7
Michael P. McConahy	43	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.2
Patrick J. McKay	72	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
Gregory Miller	79	4.0	4.0	4.2	3.9	4.3	4.0
Nancy J. Nolan	74	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
Philip M. Pallenberg	73	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5
Eric Smith	60	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3
Michael Spaan	37	4.4	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.4
Trevor Stephens	73	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Nelson Traverso	38	3.4	4.0	4.3	3.7	3.7	3.5
Michael L. Wolverton	79	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.2	4.5
Mark I. Wood	28	4.7	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.9

2025 Judicial Pro Tem Survey

Introduction

The Alaska Judicial Council evaluates the performance of retired pro tem judges every two years at the request of the Alaska Court System. The Chief Justice uses the Judicial Council's evaluations to determine eligibility for continued service as a retired judge pro tem pursuant to Administrative Rule 23(c). To gather information used to fulfill this purpose, the Council distributed surveys to Alaska Bar Association members and asked them to rate judges on six characteristics: *Legal Ability, Impartiality/Fairness, Integrity, Judicial Temperament, Diligence,* and *Overall.* Each survey also contained demographic questions about the respondents, including type of practice, length of Alaska practice, types of cases handled, primary location of practice, and gender.

To maintain objectivity, the Council contracted with the Institute of Social and Economic Research (ISER), a research workgroup at the University of Alaska Anchorage. ISER was responsible for all aspects of distribution and data collection related to the online version of the survey. Parallel paper surveys were printed and mailed by the Council but returned directly to ISER for processing, data entry, and analysis. ISER prepared this report summarizing survey procedures and results.

This survey was conducted among Alaska Bar Association members about the judicial performance of 21 retired pro tem judges. The 21 retired pro tem judges were (presented in alphabetical order): Eric A. Aarseth, Joel H. Bolger, William B. Carey, Robert L. Eastaugh, Dana Fabe, Natalie K. Finn, Donald D. Hopwood, Michael A. MacDonald, David Mannheimer, Warren W. Matthews, Michael P. McConahy, Patrick J. McKay, Gregory Miller, Nancy J. Nolan, Philip M. Pallenberg, Eric Smith, Michael Spaan, Trevor Stephens, Nelson Traverso, Michael L. Wolverton, and Mark I. Wood.

Methodology

All active in-state members of the Alaska Bar Association were invited to participate in this pro tem evaluation survey. Inactive and retired members and active out-of-state members were also invited to participate in the survey if the Council had email addresses for them. Of the 3,773 individuals invited to participate, the vast majority of individuals (3,760) received only an email invitation to complete the survey online. One individual received only a paper version of the survey and 12 individuals received both the paper and online versions of the survey.

Respondents initiated 824 online surveys. No surveys were excluded because the respondent answered "No" to the question certifying that they had complied with the ethical standards set out in Professional Rule 8.2; 18 surveys were excluded because the respondents did not progress far enough in the survey to reach the certification question; 12 surveys were excluded because the respondents did not answer any other questions but the certification question. One online survey was returned by an individual who also completed a paper survey. The paper survey was more complete and was retained while the online survey was removed from analyses. Therefore, 793 online surveys qualified for analysis.

Respondents also returned five paper surveys. Attorneys are required to sign the paper surveys to verify that they are the person completing the survey. No paper surveys were excluded because they were unsigned. No paper surveys were excluded because the respondent did not respond to the question certifying that they had complied with the ethical standards set out in Professional Rule 8.2. One paper survey was returned by an individual who also completed the online survey. The paper survey was more complete and was retained while the online survey was removed from analyses. One paper survey was signed and returned without a printed name or address on the return envelope. The signature on that survey had no discernable letters, and the

respondent could not be identified. That survey was excluded from data entry and analysis. Therefore, four paper surveys qualified for analysis.

The final analysis included 793 online surveys and four paper surveys, for a total of 797 surveys and a survey return rate of 21.2%. Of the 797 returned surveys, 376 (47.2%) did not rate any of the 21 judges. 421 respondents evaluated one or more judges. Table 2 summarizes the demographic characteristics of the respondents.

Table 2Respondent Characteristics

		All Resp	ondents	Responde Rated ≥	ents who 1 Judge
		n	%	n	%
	All respondents	797	100	421	52.8
Type of Practice					
	No response	7	0.9	6	1.4
	Private, solo	148	18.6	81	19.2
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	100	12.5	50	11.9
	Private, 6+ attorneys	97	12.2	48	11.4
	Private, corporate employee	15	1.9	10	2.4
	Judge or judicial officer	60	7.5	43	10.2
	Government	190	23.8	97	23.0
	Public service agency or organization	42	5.3	13	3.1
	Retired	120	15.1	63	15.0
	Other	18	2.3	10	2.4
Length of Alaska Practice	2				
	No response	91	11.4	49	11.6
	5 years or fewer	113	14.2	40	9.5
	6 to 10 years	81	10.2	42	10.0
	11 to 15 years	91	11.4	49	11.6
	16 to 20 years	74	9.3	35	8.3
	More than 20 years	347	43.5	206	48.9
Cases Handled					
	No response	11	1.4	6	1.4
	Prosecution	56	7.0	35	8.3
	Criminal	75	9.4	37	8.8
	Mixed criminal & civil	193	24.2	113	26.8
	Civil	414	51.9	209	49.6
	Other	48	6.0	21	5.0
Location of Practice					
	No response	14	1.8	6	1.4
	First District	106	13.3	66	15.7
	Second District	9	1.1	5	1.2
	Third District	564	70.8	293	69.6
	Fourth District	74	9.3	45	10.7
	Outside Alaska	30	3.8	6	1.4
Gender					
	No response	13	1.6	7	1.7
	Male	420	52.7	231	54.9
	Female	362	45.4	183	43.5
	Another identity	2	0.3	-	-

Instrumentation

The survey contained the names of the judges being evaluated, questions about demographic information for each respondent, six evaluation items for each judge, and space for respondents to provide additional comments regarding each judge. Both electronic and paper versions of the survey required a certification by the respondent that they had rated the judges truthfully as required by the bar's Professional Rule 8.2.

Respondents evaluated judges in six areas of performance included in the survey using a five-point Likert scale that ranged from Poor (1) to Excellent (5). Detailed descriptions of the meaning of each point on the Likert scale were provided for each of the performance areas.

Respondents evaluated judges in six areas of performance. Detailed instructions for each domain were provided:

- *Legal Ability:* Please evaluate the judge's legal and factual analysis including the judge's knowledge of substantive law, evidence, and procedure, and the judge's writing clarity and precision.
- *Impartiality/Fairness*: Please evaluate the judge's sense of basic fairness and justice and whether the judge treats all parties equally.
- *Integrity:* Please evaluate whether the judge's conduct is free from impropriety or appearance of impropriety and whether the judge makes decisions without regard to possible public criticism.
- *Judicial Temperament:* Please evaluate the judge's courtesy and freedom from arrogance and whether the judge manifests human understanding and compassion.
- *Diligence:* Please evaluate whether the judge is prepared for court proceedings, works diligently, and is reasonably prompt in making decisions.

Overall Evaluation: Please provide your overall assessment of the judge's performance.

Confidentiality and Data Safety

The survey introduction included a statement from the Alaska Judicial Council that reassured respondents of the confidentiality of their responses. Confidentiality is also a paramount concern at ISER and translated into specific procedures related to data security. Because data such as those collected through the judicial evaluation survey are of a sensitive nature, ISER has rigorous procedures to protect data. Specifically, paper surveys are kept in a lockable file cabinet located in a secure data storage room. Data are kept locked at all times except when being used for data entry or related purposes. Organizational policies and procedures highlight the requirement for confidentiality and ensure that only staff involved with the project have access to the data. Online data and data that have been entered from paper surveys are maintained on a secure server.

Assurance of Non-Duplicate Responding

To ensure that as few duplicates or invalid surveys as possible were received, clear instructions were provided to potential paper survey respondents regarding how to handle the survey booklets:

"A postage-paid business reply envelope is enclosed for the return of your completed evaluations. Place the completed survey inside the envelope marked "Confidential," and seal the envelope. Then use the business reply envelope, being sure to sign in the space provided. The return envelope MUST BE SIGNED in order for your survey to be counted."

Based on these instructions, ISER implemented procedures to ensure that only one survey was counted for each respondent. For the surveys returned without a name on the outside envelope, the envelope was opened to ascertain whether the individual signed the comment section. One paper survey was signed and returned without a printed name or address on the return envelope. The signature on this survey had no discernable letters, and the respondent could not be identified. That survey was excluded from data entry and analysis and was not

reflected in the total number of valid surveys received. Signed names on the envelopes were compared to the mailing list, ensuring the individual was an eligible participant. Each individual's unique identifier was entered with the data, providing the ability to check for duplication with the completed online surveys. No surveys were signed by individuals who were not on the mailing list.

For the online data collection, each potential respondent was provided with a unique URL that could only be used once, and only accessed from the e-mail address to which it was sent. After merging online and entered paper data, ISER analyzed frequencies of the unique identifier variable to identify any duplicate responses. One duplicate survey was identified. The most complete survey data (the paper survey) was retained and the duplicate (online survey) removed, ensuring that only one survey per respondent was used in the data analysis.

Data Management

With the goal of virtually error-free data handling, ISER implemented rigorous data entry procedures to ensure the accuracy of data entry. Paper data was entered using an electronic system similar to the online survey that prevents out-of-range responses. After the paper surveys were entered, a second staff member verified all entries and corrected any mistakes, using paper data as verification. Online data were downloaded from the survey website and imported into SPSS for analysis. The paper survey responses were merged with the online responses in SPSS to create one data file of all responses.

Results

Two sets of results are presented in this section of the report. First, respondents' level of experience with each judge is shown. Then, a summary table presents the ratings and comparisons of the judges. Many of the cross tabulations yield results based on small numbers of respondents. Results based on small numbers of respondents should be regarded with caution and more weight given to the overall results.

Respondents' Level of Experience with Each Judge

All respondents were asked to describe the basis of their evaluation for each judge they rated, with options of direct professional experience, professional reputation, and other personal contacts.

Table 3 shows the type of experience of respondents for each judge.

Ratings of Judges

In the tables that follow, responses to the rating questions are shown in a variety of ways. Most tables show the number of respondents (*n*) and the average rating (*M*). Tables 4-10 present detail on the *Overall* item. Table 4 compares all judge ratings to those from respondents with direct professional experience and includes the median rating (*Mdn*) and the standard deviation (*SD*) in addition to number of respondents and average. Tables 5-10 present data only from those respondents who indicated direct professional experience. Table 5 provides the distribution of responses on the *Overall* item. Table 6 provides judges' mean scores broken down by respondents' type of practice. Table 7 provides judges' mean scores broken down by respondents' type of caseload handled. Table 9 provides judges' mean scores broken down by location of practice. Table 10 provides judges' mean scores broken down by respondents' gender.

For each individual judge, Tables 11-52 provide a demographics summary of respondents and detailed information on ratings provided by respondent characteristic.

Summary Tables

Table 3Level of Experience with the Judges

		% of all	Percent of Re	spondents Basing R	atings on
	n	respondents who rated judge	Direct Professional Experience	Professional Reputation	Other Personal Contacts
Eric A. Aarseth	152	19.1	82.9	11.8	5.3
Joel H. Bolger	147	18.4	76.9	19.7	3.4
William B. Carey	64	8.0	81.3	18.8	-
Robert L. Eastaugh	101	12.7	76.2	17.8	5.9
Dana Fabe	196	24.6	79.1	15.3	5.6
Natalie K. Finn	70	8.8	75.7	17.1	7.1
Donald D. Hopwood	90	11.3	86.7	7.8	5.6
Michael A. MacDonald	77	9.7	92.2	5.2	2.6
David Mannheimer	83	10.4	67.5	26.5	6.0
Warren W. Matthews	98	12.3	78.6	20.4	1.0
Michael P. McConahy	47	5.9	91.5	4.3	4.3
Patrick J. McKay	85	10.7	84.7	12.9	2.4
Gregory Miller	97	12.2	81.4	12.4	6.2
Nancy J. Nolan	101	12.7	73.3	12.9	13.9
Philip M. Pallenberg	82	10.3	89.0	7.3	3.7
Eric Smith	74	9.3	81.1	14.9	4.1
Michael Spaan	51	6.4	72.5	21.6	5.9
Trevor Stephens	80	10.0	91.3	7.5	1.3
Nelson Traverso	50	6.3	76.0	20.0	4.0
Michael L. Wolverton	97	12.2	81.4	15.5	3.1
Mark I. Wood	36	4.5	77.8	11.1	11.1

Table 4 Summary of Overall Ratings

		All Re	spondents		Respond		n Direct Pro erience	ofessional
	n	M	Mdn	SD	n	M	Mdn	SD
Eric A. Aarseth	145	4.4	5.0	0.9	120	4.4	5.0	0.9
Joel H. Bolger	144	4.7	5.0	0.7	110	4.7	5.0	0.7
William B. Carey	62	4.4	5.0	1.0	50	4.4	5.0	1.0
Robert L. Eastaugh	98	4.7	5.0	0.6	75	4.7	5.0	0.6
Dana Fabe	193	4.7	5.0	0.7	152	4.7	5.0	0.7
Natalie K. Finn	70	4.5	5.0	0.8	53	4.5	5.0	0.9
Donald D. Hopwood	88	4.6	5.0	0.7	77	4.6	5.0	0.7
Michael A. MacDonald	76	4.1	5.0	1.1	70	4.1	5.0	1.1
David Mannheimer	80	4.6	5.0	0.9	56	4.5	5.0	1.0
Warren W. Matthews	98	4.6	5.0	0.8	77	4.7	5.0	0.8
Michael P. McConahy	46	4.2	5.0	1.1	42	4.2	5.0	1.1
Patrick J. McKay	84	4.4	5.0	0.8	71	4.4	5.0	0.8
Gregory Miller	96	4.1	5.0	1.3	78	4.0	5.0	1.3
Nancy J. Nolan	100	4.7	5.0	0.6	73	4.7	5.0	0.6
Philip M. Pallenberg	81	4.5	5.0	1.0	72	4.5	5.0	1.0
Eric Smith	74	4.3	5.0	1.1	60	4.3	5.0	1.1
Michael Spaan	51	4.4	5.0	0.9	37	4.4	5.0	1.0
Trevor Stephens	80	4.7	5.0	0.7	73	4.7	5.0	0.7
Nelson Traverso	47	3.6	4.0	1.5	35	3.5	3.0	1.5
Michael L. Wolverton	96	4.4	5.0	0.8	79	4.5	5.0	0.7
Mark I. Wood	36	4.7	5.0	0.6	28	4.9	5.0	0.4

Table 5Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating

		P	oor	Def	ficient	Acce	ptable	Good		Excellent	
	n	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Eric A. Aarseth	120	1	0.8	5	4.2	12	10.0	27	22.5	75	62.5
Joel H. Bolger	110	1	0.9	3	2.7	3	2.7	11	10.0	92	83.6
William B. Carey	50	-	-	6	12.0	2	4.0	9	18.0	33	66.0
Robert L. Eastaugh	75	-	-	1	1.3	3	4.0	11	14.7	60	80.0
Dana Fabe	152	2	1.3	2	1.3	6	3.9	23	15.1	119	78.3
Natalie K. Finn	53	-	-	3	5.7	4	7.5	12	22.6	34	64.2
Donald D. Hopwood	77	-	-	2	2.6	3	3.9	18	23.4	54	70.1
Michael A. MacDonald	70	-	-	11	15.7	8	11.4	14	20.0	37	52.9
David Mannheimer	56	2	3.6	2	3.6	4	7.1	7	12.5	41	73.2
Warren W. Matthews	77	-	-	4	5.2	2	2.6	9	11.7	62	80.5
Michael P. McConahy	42	2	4.8	2	4.8	6	14.3	9	21.4	23	54.8
Patrick J. McKay	71	1	1.4	2	2.8	2	2.8	28	39.4	38	53.5
Gregory Miller	78	5	6.4	8	10.3	11	14.1	12	15.4	42	53.8
Nancy J. Nolan	73	-	-	1	1.4	4	5.5	11	15.1	57	78.1
Philip M. Pallenberg	72	3	4.2	2	2.8	5	6.9	11	15.3	51	70.8
Eric Smith	60	2	3.3	4	6.7	3	5.0	18	30.0	33	55.0
Michael Spaan	37	-	-	4	10.8	2	5.4	8	21.6	23	62.2
Trevor Stephens	73	1	1.4	1	1.4	3	4.1	8	11.0	60	82.2
Nelson Traverso	35	4	11.4	7	20.0	7	20.0	1	2.9	16	45.7
Michael L. Wolverton	79	-	-	2	2.5	6	7.6	25	31.6	46	58.2
Mark I. Wood	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	14.3	24	85.7

Table 6Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice

		vate, olo	Priv 2- attor	-5	Priv 6 attor	+	corp	vate, orate loyee	Judg judi offi	cial	Gover	nment	ser	blic vice cy/org	Ret	ired	Ot	ther	Overall
	n	M	n	M	n	M	n	M	п	M	n	M	n	M	n	M	n	M	M
Eric A. Aarseth	22	4.0	15	4.1	16	4.3	3	5.0	19	5.0	23	4.5	2	4.0	19	4.5	1	5.0	4.4
Joel H. Bolger	13	4.8	4	4.3	10	4.4	2	4.5	22	4.9	31	4.9	-	-	24	4.8	2	5.0	4.7
William B. Carey	13	4.2	7	4.0	1	2.0	1	5.0	7	4.7	11	4.4	-	-	10	4.8	-	-	4.4
Robert L. Eastaugh	16	4.8	5	4.4	7	4.7	2	5.0	7	4.9	11	4.8	-	-	25	4.7	1	5.0	4.7
Dana Fabe	37	4.8	19	4.1	23	4.7	6	4.8	12	4.8	16	4.8	2	5.0	33	4.8	3	4.3	4.7
Natalie K. Finn	7	4.7	5	3.8	1	5.0	2	4.5	12	4.8	9	3.9	-	-	15	4.5	1	5.0	4.5
Donald D. Hopwood	18	4.3	11	4.7	7	4.6	1	4.0	17	5.0	4	4.8	1	5.0	17	4.4	-	-	4.6
Michael A. MacDonald	8	3.9	8	3.1	5	3.6	-	-	18	4.8	21	3.9	1	5.0	9	4.4	-	-	4.1
David Mannheimer	7	5.0	2	4.0	4	4.0	1	5.0	9	4.4	13	4.4	-	-	17	4.5	2	4.5	4.7
Warren W. Matthews	15	4.9	4	4.0	10	4.2	2	5.0	9	5.0	12	4.8	-	-	23	4.6	1	5.0	4.7
Michael P. McConahy	9	4.3	5	4.4	2	3.0	-	-	8	4.4	11	3.7	-	-	7	4.6	-	-	4.2
Patrick J. McKay	15	4.3	12	4.3	6	4.3	1	4.0	10	4.7	11	4.5	1	5.0	15	4.5	-	-	4.4
Gregory Miller	13	3.9	11	3.3	11	4.2	4	4.3	13	4.8	13	3.7	3	3.3	9	4.2	-	-	4.0
Nancy J. Nolan	13	4.5	13	4.7	8	5.0	1	4.0	10	5.0	12	4.4	2	4.0	13	4.8	-	-	4.7
Philip M. Pallenberg	16	3.9	4	4.5	5	4.4	1	5.0	9	4.8	19	4.6	1	5.0	13	4.8	4	4.0	4.5
Eric Smith	11	3.4	6	4.7	5	3.8	1	5.0	9	4.9	11	4.4	-	-	13	4.4	3	4.7	4.3
Michael Spaan	6	3.5	3	3.7	3	4.7	1	5.0	4	5.0	8	4.3	1	5.0	11	4.6	-	-	4.4
Trevor Stephens	14	4.6	6	4.8	4	4.0	-	-	15	4.9	17	4.5	1	5.0	12	4.9	3	5.0	4.7
Nelson Traverso	2	3.0	5	4.0	2	4.0	-	-	8	4.9	15	2.5	1	2.0	2	5.0	-	-	3.5

Table 6 (cont.)Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice

		vate, olo		nte, 2-5 rneys	(vate, 5+ rneys	cor	ivate, porate ployee	jud	ge or icial icer	Gover	nment	serv	blic vice cy/org	Ret	ired	Oth	ner	Overall
	n	Μ	n	Μ	n	Μ	n	Μ	n	Μ	n	Μ	n	Μ	n	Μ	n	Μ	M
Michael L. Wolverton	10	4.6	4	4.3	5	4.8	2	4.5	10	4.8	25	4.2	1	5.0	20	4.5	2	4.0	4.5
Mark I. Wood	4	5.0	7	4.7	1	5.0	-	-	5	5.0	5	4.8	-	-	6	4.8	-	-	4.9

	-	ars or wer		o 10 ars		to 15 ars		to 20 ars	21 years or more		Overall
	n	М	n	M	n	М	n	М	n	M	М
Eric A. Aarseth	8	4.8	6	4.5	15	4.6	9	4.7	65	4.4	4.4
Joel H. Bolger	4	4.8	10	4.8	16	4.8	8	5.0	57	4.7	4.7
William B. Carey	4	4.8	1	2.0	4	4.5	7	4.6	27	4.4	4.4
Robert L. Eastaugh	-	-	1	5.0	6	5.0	4	4.8	54	4.7	4.7
Dana Fabe	5	4.4	12	4.6	9	5.0	7	4.7	101	4.7	4.7
Natalie K. Finn	2	3.0	2	5.0	1	5.0	3	4.0	36	4.6	4.5
Donald D. Hopwood	1	5.0	3	5.0	4	5.0	7	4.6	50	4.5	4.6
Michael A. MacDonald	5	4.4	9	4.1	6	4.0	8	3.4	32	4.1	4.1
David Mannheimer	3	3.0	2	4.5	6	4.7	1	5.0	37	4.5	4.7
Warren W. Matthews	-	-	3	4.7	6	5.0	4	4.8	50	4.7	4.7
Michael P. McConahy	1	5.0	4	4.8	4	4.3	6	4.0	23	4.1	4.2
Patrick J. McKay	1	4.0	3	5.0	14	4.8	5	4.2	40	4.2	4.4
Gregory Miller	4	3.3	4	4.0	13	3.3	5	4.6	40	4.2	4.0
Nancy J. Nolan	8	4.3	4	4.0	10	4.9	1	5.0	42	4.8	4.7
Philip M. Pallenberg	4	4.8	3	4.7	6	4.7	4	4.5	50	4.5	4.5
Eric Smith	1	5.0	5	4.6	2	3.5	5	4.2	41	4.3	4.3
Michael Spaan	-	-	1	5.0	6	4.3	2	4.5	21	4.1	4.4
Trevor Stephens	5	4.8	-	-	12	4.3	9	4.8	38	4.8	4.7
Nelson Traverso	3	2.0	5	2.4	7	3.0	4	3.0	14	4.4	3.5
Michael L. Wolverton	7	4.4	9	4.4	9	4.4	2	4.5	41	4.5	4.5
Mark I. Wood	1	5.0	-	-	1	5.0	3	4.3	19	4.9	4.9

Table 7Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Alaska Practice

	Prose	cution	Cri	ninal	Ci	Civil Other					
	n	M	n	М	n	nal/civil <i>M</i>	n	M	n	M	Overall M
Eric A. Aarseth	7	4.7	8	4.5	39	4.6	60	4.3	б	4.3	4.4
Joel H. Bolger	11	4.9	13	4.8	39	4.8	45	4.6	1	5.0	4.7
William B. Carey	4	4.8	7	3.9	22	4.6	16	4.2	1	4.0	4.4
Robert L. Eastaugh	3	4.7	1	5.0	21	4.8	44	4.7	4	4.8	4.7
Dana Fabe	1	4.0	7	4.6	36	4.7	101	4.7	5	5.0	4.7
Natalie K. Finn	5	4.2	4	3.3	25	4.6	14	4.6	4	4.8	4.5
Donald D. Hopwood	2	5.0	4	4.0	28	4.7	39	4.6	3	4.7	4.6
Michael A. MacDonald	9	4.4	6	4.3	34	4.0	17	3.9	4	4.8	4.1
David Mannheimer	3	4.0	7	4.6	30	4.4	14	4.6	2	5.0	4.7
Warren W. Matthews	-	-	7	5.0	23	4.9	42	4.6	3	4.7	4.7
Michael P. McConahy	3	3.7	2	5.0	19	4.2	15	4.1	3	4.3	4.2
Patrick J. McKay	4	4.5	5	4.8	21	4.4	38	4.3	3	5.0	4.4
Gregory Miller	5	3.4	5	4.0	24	4.5	41	3.9	3	3.3	4.0
Nancy J. Nolan	5	4.2	13	4.5	23	4.8	27	4.8	4	5.0	4.7
Philip M. Pallenberg	9	4.7	7	4.9	19	4.6	34	4.2	3	4.7	4.5
Eric Smith	1	5.0	8	4.1	23	4.4	26	4.2	2	4.5	4.3
Michael Spaan	4	4.8	5	4.2	12	4.7	13	4.3	2	3.5	4.4
Trevor Stephens	7	4.6	7	4.7	30	4.8	25	4.6	3	4.7	4.7
Nelson Traverso	5	1.8	5	3.2	16	4.2	7	3.6	2	3.0	3.5
Michael L. Wolverton	14	4.4	14	4.1	25	4.6	24	4.5	2	5.0	4.5
Mark I. Wood	1	5.0	2	5.0	12	4.8	11	4.8	2	5.0	4.9

Table 8Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Caseload Handled

		First District		cond strict		nird trict		urth trict	Outside Alaska		Overall	
	n	М	n	М	n	М	n	М	n	М	М	
Eric A. Aarseth	6	4.3	1	5.0	107	4.4	5	5.0	-	-	4.4	
Joel H. Bolger	17	4.9	1	5.0	85	4.8	5	4.4	1	5.0	4.7	
William B. Carey	28	4.5	-	-	20	4.2	2	4.5	-	-	4.4	
Robert L. Eastaugh	6	4.8	1	5.0	65	4.7	2	5.0	-	-	4.7	
Dana Fabe	13	4.8	3	5.0	126	4.7	7	4.3	2	5.0	4.7	
Natalie K. Finn	2	5.0	-	-	47	4.4	4	4.8	-	-	4.5	
Donald D. Hopwood	6	4.8	1	5.0	68	4.6	1	5.0	-	-	4.6	
Michael A. MacDonald	11	4.0	1	2.0	30	4.2	28	4.1	-	-	4.1	
David Mannheimer	3	4.3	1	5.0	46	4.6	5	3.4	1	5.0	4.7	
Warren W. Matthews	6	4.7	1	5.0	65	4.6	4	5.0	-	-	4.7	
Michael P. McConahy	12	4.3	1	2.0	14	4.4	14	3.9	1	5.0	4.2	
Patrick J. McKay	3	4.3	1	5.0	66	4.4	-	-	1	5.0	4.4	
Gregory Miller	2	4.5	-	-	75	4.0	1	5.0	-	-	4.0	
Nancy J. Nolan	2	4.5	1	5.0	70	4.7	-	-	-	-	4.7	
Philip M. Pallenberg	38	4.5	-	-	32	4.4	2	5.0	-	-	4.5	
Eric Smith	4	5.0	1	2.0	51	4.3	3	4.7	1	2.0	4.3	
Michael Spaan	-	-	1	5.0	36	4.3	-	-	-	-	4.4	
Trevor Stephens	35	4.8	1	4.0	33	4.6	3	5.0	-	-	4.7	
Nelson Traverso	2	5.0	1	2.0	9	2.8	22	3.8	1	3.0	3.5	
Michael L. Wolverton	3	4.3	2	3.5	72	4.5	2	4.5	-	-	4.5	
Mark I. Wood	2	5.0	-	-	10	5.0	15	4.7	1	5.0	4.9	

Table 9Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Practice

Table 10Mean Overall Ratings by Gender

	Μ	ale	Fei	nale		other ntity	Overall	
	n	М	n	М	n	М	М	
Eric A. Aarseth	65	4.5	55	4.3	-	-	4.4	
Joel H. Bolger	62	4.7	46	4.8	-	-	4.7	
William B. Carey	30	4.4	18	4.4	-	-	4.4	
Robert L. Eastaugh	52	4.7	22	4.8	-	-	4.7	
Dana Fabe	97	4.6	52	4.8	-	-	4.7	
Natalie K. Finn	32	4.5	21	4.3	-	-	4.5	
Donald D. Hopwood	52	4.6	25	4.6	-	-	4.6	
Michael A. MacDonald	40	4.3	30	3.9	-	-	4.1	
David Mannheimer	32	4.4	24	4.6	-	-	4.7	
Warren W. Matthews	53	4.6	23	4.9	-	-	4.7	
Michael P. McConahy	25	4.2	17	4.1	-	-	4.2	
Patrick J. McKay	41	4.4	29	4.5	-	-	4.4	
Gregory Miller	50	4.1	27	3.7	-	-	4.0	
Nancy J. Nolan	37	4.7	36	4.7	-	-	4.7	
Philip M. Pallenberg	42	4.3	28	4.7	-	-	4.5	
Eric Smith	30	4.2	30	4.4	-	-	4.3	
Michael Spaan	21	4.4	16	4.3	-	-	4.4	
Trevor Stephens	43	4.7	27	4.8	-	-	4.7	
Nelson Traverso	22	3.9	13	2.9	-	-	3.5	
Michael L. Wolverton	45	4.4	34	4.6	-	_	4.5	
Mark I. Wood	19	4.9	9	4.7	-	-	4.9	

		n	%
	All respondents	152	100
Experience with Judge			
	Direct professional experience	126	82.9
	Professional reputation	18	11.8
	Other personal contacts	8	5.3
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 2 years)	60	49.2
	Substantial amount of experience	28	23.0
	Moderate amount of experience	60	49.2
	Limited amount of experience	34	27.9
Type of Practice			
	No response	1	0.7
	Private, solo	24	15.8
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	19	12.5
	Private, 6+ attorneys	21	13.8
	Private, corporate employee	3	2.0
	Judge or judicial officer	27	17.8
	Government	28	18.4
	Public service agency or organization	3	2.0
	Retired	24	15.8
	Other	2	13.
Length of Alaska Practice	Other	2	1
Length of Alaska I factice	No response	20	13.2
	5 years or fewer	9	5.9
	6 to 10 years	9	5.9
	11 to 15 years	21	13.8
	•	13	8.0
	16 to 20 years More than 20 years	80	52.0
Cases Handled	More than 20 years	80	52.0
Cases Handled	No momento	1	0 '
	No response	1 9	0.7
	Prosecution	-	5.9
	Criminal	9	5.9
	Mixed criminal & civil	49	32.2
	Civil	76	50.0
	Other	8	5.3
Location of Practice			
	No response	2	1.3
	First District	11	7.2
	Second District	1	0.
	Third District	132	86.8
	Fourth District	6	3.9
	Outside Alaska	-	
Gender			
	No response	1	0.2
	Male	82	53.9
	Female	69	45.4
	Another identity	-	

Table 12Eric A. AarsethDetailed Responses

	n	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness M	Integrity M	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence M	Overall M
All respondents	152	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	126	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
Experience within last 2 years	60	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Experience not within last 2 years	62	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.4
Substantial amount of experience	28	4.5	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	60	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4
Limited amount of experience	34	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Professional reputation	18	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.3
Other personal contacts	8	4.8	4.9	5.0	4.9	4.9	4.9
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	23	4.1	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	18	4.0	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	16	4.3	4.2	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.3
Private, corporate employee	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.3	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	20	4.9	4.9	5.0	5.0	4.9	5.0
Government	23	4.5	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Public service agency or organization	2	4.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Retired	20	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.5
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	8	4.9	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.8
6 to 10 years	7	4.5	4.8	5.0	4.7	4.2	4.5
11 to 15 years	15	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.7	4.6
16 to 20 years	10	4.6	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.3	4.7
More than 20 years	69	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.4
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	7	4.7	4.9	5.0	4.7	4.7	4.7
Criminal	9	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5
Mixed criminal & civil	41	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
Civil	63	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.3
Other	6	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3
Location of Practice*							
First District	7	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.3
Second District	1	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	112	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4
Fourth District	5	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	70	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.5
Female	56	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

	All voor on don to	<u>n</u> 147	<u>%</u>
E-manianas with Indes	All respondents	147	100
Experience with Judge	Direct professional experience	113	76 (
	Direct professional experience		76.9
	Professional reputation	29	19.7
	Other personal contacts	5	3.4
Detailed Experience*		50	50.5
	Recent experience (within last 2 years)	59	52.7
	Substantial amount of experience	32	28.0
	Moderate amount of experience	43	38.4
	Limited amount of experience	37	33.
Type of Practice			
	No response	4	2.
	Private, solo	17	11.
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	4	2.
	Private, 6+ attorneys	17	11.
	Private, corporate employee	4	2.
	Judge or judicial officer	29	19.
	Government	37	25.
	Public service agency or organization	2	1.
	Retired	29	19.
	Other	4	2.
Length of Alaska Practice			
0	No response	20	13.
	5 years or fewer	5	3.
	6 to 10 years	14	9.
	11 to 15 years	20	13.
	16 to 20 years	10	6.
	More than 20 years	78	53.
Cases Handled		10	001
	No response	3	2.
	Prosecution	14	9.:
	Criminal	13	8.
	Mixed criminal & civil	51	34.
	Civil	61	41.
	Other	5	3.4
Location of Practice	Other	5	5.
	No response	3	2.0
	First District	22	15.0
	Second District		0.
		110	
	Third District	110	74.
	Fourth District	9	6.
	Outside Alaska	2	1.4
Gender		·	
	No response	4	2.
	Male	84	57.
	Female	59	40.
	Another identity	-	

Table 14 Joel H. Bolger Detailed Responses

	n	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness M	Integrity M	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence M	Overall M
All respondents	147	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7
Basis for Evaluation	117		,				1.7
Direct professional experience	113	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7
Experience within last 2 years	59	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.7
Experience not within last 2 years	53	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.7
Substantial amount of experience	32	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	43	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.6
Limited amount of experience	37	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8
Professional reputation	29	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Other personal contacts	5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	13	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.8	4.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	4	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	10	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.4
Private, corporate employee	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Judge or judicial officer	22	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
Government	32	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	24	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Other	3	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	4	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8
6 to 10 years	10	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.9	4.8	4.8
11 to 15 years	16	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.8
16 to 20 years	8	4.9	5.0	4.9	5.0	5.0	5.0
More than 20 years	58	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	12	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.9
Criminal	13	4.8	4.8	4.9	5.0	4.8	4.8
Mixed criminal & civil	39	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Civil	45	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.6
Other	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	18	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.9
Second District	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	86	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Fourth District	5	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.4
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	63	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7
Female	47	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

		n	%
	All respondents	64	100
Experience with Judge			
	Direct professional experience	52	81.3
	Professional reputation	12	18.8
	Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 2 years)	30	57.7
	Substantial amount of experience	13	25.0
	Moderate amount of experience	17	32.7
	Limited amount of experience	22	42.3
Type of Practice			
	No response	-	-
	Private, solo	14	21.9
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	7	10.9
	Private, 6+ attorneys	2	3.1
	Private, corporate employee	2	3.1
	Judge or judicial officer	9	14.1
	Government	15	23.4
	Public service agency or organization	_	-
	Retired	13	20.3
	Other	2	3.1
Length of Alaska Practice		_	
	No response	8	12.5
	5 years or fewer	4	6.3
	6 to 10 years	3	4.7
	11 to 15 years	5	7.8
	16 to 20 years	7	10.9
	More than 20 years	37	57.8
Cases Handled	Wore than 20 years	51	57.0
Cases Handred	No response	-	
	Prosecution	6	9.4
	Criminal	8	12.5
	Mixed criminal & civil	26	40.6
	Civil	20	35.9
	Other	1	1.6
Location of Practice	Ottlei	1	1.0
Location of Fractice	No momonoo		
	No response	-	50 (
	First District	32	50.0
	Second District	-	4.5.5
	Third District	29	45.3
	Fourth District	3	4.7
	Outside Alaska	-	
Gender		-	
	No response	2	3.1
	Male	37	57.8
	Female	25	39.1
	Another identity	-	-

Table 16 William B. Carey Detailed Responses

	n	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness M	Integrity M	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence M	Overall M
All respondents	64	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.2	4.3	4.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	52	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.1	4.2	4.4
Experience within last 2 years	30	4.2	4.3	4.4	3.9	4.3	4.2
Experience not within last 2 years	22	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.5	4.2	4.7
Substantial amount of experience	13	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.1	4.3	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	17	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.1	4.5
Limited amount of experience	22	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.0	4.3	4.2
Professional reputation	12	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.6
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	14	4.4	4.1	4.2	3.9	4.1	4.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	7	4.0	4.0	4.1	3.6	3.6	4.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	1	-	-	-	2.0	-	2.0
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	7	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.6	4.7	4.7
Government	12	4.1	4.4	4.7	4.1	4.5	4.4
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	10	4.3	4.8	5.0	4.6	4.3	4.8
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.8
6 to 10 years	1	2.0	3.0	5.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
11 to 15 years	5	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.0	4.5	4.5
16 to 20 years	7	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.0	4.7	4.6
More than 20 years	28	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.2	4.4
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	5	4.5	4.8	5.0	4.5	4.8	4.8
Criminal	7	3.6	4.1	4.6	3.6	3.6	3.9
Mixed criminal & civil	22	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.3	4.3	4.6
Civil	17	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.2
Other	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	29	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.1	4.3	4.5
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	20	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.2	4.2
Fourth District	3	4.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	4.5
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	30	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.0	4.2	4.4
Female	20	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.4
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

		n	%
	All respondents	101	100
Experience with Judge			
	Direct professional experience	77	76.2
	Professional reputation	18	17.8
	Other personal contacts	6	5.9
Detailed Experience*		1 =	
	Recent experience (within last 2 years)	17	22.4
	Substantial amount of experience	19	25.0
	Moderate amount of experience	29	38.2
	Limited amount of experience	28	36.8
Type of Practice			
	No response	1	1.(
	Private, solo	18	17.8
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	5	5.0
	Private, 6+ attorneys	11	10.9
	Private, corporate employee	5	5.0
	Judge or judicial officer	11	10.9
	Government	16	15.8
	Public service agency or organization	-	
	Retired	31	30.2
	Other	3	3.0
Length of Alaska Practice		-	
	No response	17	16.8
	5 years or fewer	-	10.0
	6 to 10 years	4	4.(
	11 to 15 years	8	7.9
	16 to 20 years	5	5.0
	More than 20 years	67	66.
Cases Handled	Wore than 20 years	07	00
Cases nanuleu	No monoro	2	2.0
	No response	2	2.0
	Prosecution	3	3.0
	Criminal	3	3.0
	Mixed criminal & civil	28	27.7
	Civil	60	59.4
	Other	5	5.0
Location of Practice			
	No response	1	1.(
	First District	11	10.9
	Second District	1	1.0
	Third District	85	84.2
	Fourth District	3	3.0
	Outside Alaska	-	
Gender			
	No response	2	2.0
	Male	66	65.3
	Female	33	32.7
	Another identity	_	

Table 18 Robert L. Eastaugh Detailed Responses

	п	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness M	Integrity M	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence M	Overall M
All respondents	101	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.7
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	77	4.8	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.7
Experience within last 2 years	17	4.9	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.9
Experience not within last 2 years	59	4.7	4.5	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
Substantial amount of experience	19	4.7	4.4	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.6
Moderate amount of experience	29	4.9	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8
Limited amount of experience	28	4.8	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.8
Professional reputation	18	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.7
Other personal contacts	6	5.0	4.6	4.8	4.4	5.0	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	16	4.9	4.4	4.9	4.6	4.8	4.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	5	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.2	4.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	7	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.9	4.6	4.7
Private, corporate employee	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	7	4.9	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.9
Government	12	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.8
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	26	4.7	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.7
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 to 10 years	1	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
11 to 15 years	7	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
16 to 20 years	4	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.3	4.5	4.8
More than 20 years	54	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Criminal	1	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Mixed criminal & civil	22	4.9	4.6	5.0	4.9	4.8	4.8
Civil	45	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Other	4	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8
Location of Practice*							
First District	6	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.7	4.8	4.8
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	67	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Fourth District	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	53	4.8	4.6	4.9	4.7	4.7	4.7
Female	23	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

		<u>n</u>	%
	All respondents	196	100
Experience with Judge		1 5 5	70.1
	Direct professional experience	155	79.1
	Professional reputation	30	15.3
	Other personal contacts	11	5.6
Detailed Experience*			15.0
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	70	45.2
	Substantial amount of experience	41	26.5
	Moderate amount of experience	65	41.9
	Limited amount of experience	49	31.6
Type of Practice			
	No response	1	0.5
	Private, solo	44	22.4
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	23	11.7
	Private, 6+ attorneys	29	14.8
	Private, corporate employee	7	3.6
	Judge or judicial officer	17	8.7
	Government	21	10.7
	Public service agency or organization	4	2.0
	Retired	43	21.9
	Other	7	3.6
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No response	21	10.7
	5 years or fewer	6	3.1
	6 to 10 years	15	7.7
	11 to 15 years	14	7.1
	16 to 20 years	8	4.1
	More than 20 years	132	67.3
Cases Handled	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	No response	2	1.0
	Prosecution	3	1.5
	Criminal	8	4.1
	Mixed criminal & civil	44	22.4
	Civil	128	65.3
	Other	11	5.6
Location of Practice			
	No response	1	0.5
	First District	19	9.7
	Second District	3	1.5
	Third District	161	82.1
	Fourth District	9	4.6
	Outside Alaska	3	1.5
Gender	Outside Maska	5	1.0
Genuti	No response	3	1.5
	Male	116	59.2
	Female	77	39.2
		//	37.3
	Another identity porting direct professional experience with the judge.	-	-

Table 20 Dana Fabe Detailed Responses

	n	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness M	Integrity M	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence M	Overall M
All respondents	196	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	155	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Experience within last 2 years	70	4.8	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Experience not within last 2 years	85	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.7
Substantial amount of experience	41	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	65	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.7
Limited amount of experience	49	4.7	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Professional reputation	30	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7
Other personal contacts	11	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.7	5.0	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	38	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	19	4.3	3.9	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	23	4.7	4.4	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7
Private, corporate employee	6	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Judge or judicial officer	12	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Government	17	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Public service agency or organization	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Retired	34	4.8	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8
Other	3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	5.0	4.3
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	5	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.4
6 to 10 years	12	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.6
11 to 15 years	10	4.9	4.9	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
16 to 20 years	7	4.7	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7
More than 20 years	103	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.7
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Criminal	7	4.7	4.4	4.6	4.6	5.0	4.6
Mixed criminal & civil	36	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
Civil	103	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.7
Other	6	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	13	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.9	4.8
Second District	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	129	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.7
Fourth District	7	4.4	4.0	4.6	4.7	4.1	4.3
Outside Alaska	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	99	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
Female	53	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

		<u>n</u>	<u>%</u>
	All respondents	70	100
Experience with Judge			
	Direct professional experience	53	75.7
	Professional reputation	12	17.1
	Other personal contacts	5	7.1
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 2 years)	20	37.7
	Substantial amount of experience	12	22.6
	Moderate amount of experience	21	39.6
	Limited amount of experience	20	37.7
Type of Practice			
	No response	1	1.4
	Private, solo	13	18.6
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	7	10.0
	Private, 6+ attorneys	4	5.7
	Private, corporate employee	3	4.3
	Judge or judicial officer	13	18.6
	Government	10	14.3
	Public service agency or organization	-	-
	Retired	18	25.7
	Other	1	1.4
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No response	12	17.1
	5 years or fewer	2	2.9
	6 to 10 years	4	5.7
	11 to 15 years	1	1.4
	16 to 20 years	3	4.3
	More than 20 years	48	68.6
Cases Handled			
	No response	1	1.4
	Prosecution	6	8.6
	Criminal	4	5.7
	Mixed criminal & civil	26	37.1
	Civil	27	38.6
	Other	6	8.6
Location of Practice	outer	Ū	0.0
	No response	-	-
	First District	4	5.7
	Second District	-	5.7
	Third District	60	85.7
	Fourth District	5	7.1
	Outside Alaska	1	1.4
Gender	Outside Alaska	1	1.4
Gender	No response		
	Male	42	60.0
	Female	28	40.0
		20	40.0
	Another identity	-	-

Table 22 Natalie K. Finn Detailed Responses

		Legal Ability	Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<u>n</u>	<u>M</u>	<u> </u>	<u>M</u>	M	<u>M</u>	<u>M</u>
All respondents	70	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5
Basis for Evaluation	50		1.6	1.6	4 7		4.5
Direct professional experience	53	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Experience within last 2 years	20	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.4	4.5
Experience not within last 2 years	33	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5
Substantial amount of experience	12	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.8
Moderate amount of experience	21	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
Limited amount of experience	20	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.2	4.3
Professional reputation	12	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7
Other personal contacts	5	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	7	4.9	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	5	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Private, corporate employee	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Judge or judicial officer	12	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.9	4.8
Government	9	4.0	4.4	4.4	4.0	3.9	3.9
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	15	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.5
Other	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	2	3.5	4.5	4.0	3.5	3.0	3.0
6 to 10 years	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
11 to 15 years	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
16 to 20 years	3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.7	4.0
More than 20 years	36	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	5	4.2	4.8	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.2
Criminal	4	3.5	3.3	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.3
Mixed criminal & civil	25	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.6
Civil	14	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6
Other	4	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.8
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	47	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4
Fourth District	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.8
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	32	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5
Female	21	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.3
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

		n	%
	All respondents	90	100
Experience with Judge			
	Direct professional experience	78	86.7
	Professional reputation	7	7.8
	Other personal contacts	5	5.6
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	36	46.2
	Substantial amount of experience	20	25.6
	Moderate amount of experience	34	43.6
	Limited amount of experience	24	30.8
Type of Practice			
	No response	2	2.2
	Private, solo	19	21.1
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	11	12.2
	Private, 6+ attorneys	8	8.9
	Private, corporate employee	1	1.1
	Judge or judicial officer	21	23.3
	Government	5	5.0
	Public service agency or organization	1	1.
	Retired	22	24.4
	Other	-	2
Length of Alaska Practice	oulor		
Length of Musika Fractice	No response	14	15.0
	5 years or fewer	1	1.
	6 to 10 years	4	4.4
	11 to 15 years	6	6.
	16 to 20 years	8	8.9
	More than 20 years	57	63.
Cases Handled	Wore than 20 years	57	05
Cases Halluleu	No receptor	2	2 /
	No response	2	2.2
	Prosecution	2	2.2
	Criminal	5	5.0
	Mixed criminal & civil	36	40.0
	Civil	40	44.4
	Other	5	5.0
Location of Practice			
	No response	2	2.2
	First District	8	8.9
	Second District	2	2.2
	Third District	75	83.
	Fourth District	3	3.
	Outside Alaska	-	
Gender			
	No response	1	1.
	Male	59	65.0
	Female	30	33.
	Another identity	-	

Table 24 Donald D. Hopwood Detailed Responses

	п	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness M	Integrity M	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence M	Overall M
All respondents	90	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	78	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6
Experience within last 2 years	36	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
Experience not within last 2 years	42	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4
Substantial amount of experience	20	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Moderate amount of experience	34	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.7	4.7
Limited amount of experience	24	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.4	4.6
Professional reputation	7	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.8	4.9
Other personal contacts	5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	19	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.4	4.3	4.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	11	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	7	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.3	4.6
Private, corporate employee	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	17	4.9	4.9	4.9	5.0	5.0	5.0
Government	4	4.5	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8
Public service agency or organization	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Retired	17	4.2	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.4
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
6 to 10 years	3	4.7	4.3	5.0	4.7	4.7	5.0
11 to 15 years	4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.8	4.8	5.0
16 to 20 years	8	4.4	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.6
More than 20 years	50	4.4	4.5	4.8	4.6	4.5	4.5
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	2	4.5	4.5	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0
Criminal	4	3.5	3.8	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Mixed criminal & civil	29	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.7
Civil	39	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.6
Other	3	4.3	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.5	4.7
Location of Practice*							
First District	7	4.6	4.4	4.7	4.5	4.8	4.8
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	68	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.6
Fourth District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	53	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.6
Female	25	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

		n	%
	All respondents	77	100
Experience with Judge			
	Direct professional experience	71	92.2
	Professional reputation	4	5.2
	Other personal contacts	2	2.6
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 2 years)	44	62.9
	Substantial amount of experience	19	27.1
	Moderate amount of experience	27	38.6
	Limited amount of experience	24	34.3
Type of Practice			
	No response	-	
	Private, solo	8	10.4
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	8	10.4
	Private, 6+ attorneys	5	6.5
	Private, corporate employee	-	
	Judge or judicial officer	22	28.6
	Government	22	28.6
	Public service agency or organization	2	2.0
	Retired	10	13.0
	Other	-	
Length of Alaska Practice			
0	No response	10	13.0
	5 years or fewer	5	6.5
	6 to 10 years	9	11.7
	11 to 15 years	9	11.7
	16 to 20 years	10	13.0
	More than 20 years	34	44.2
Cases Handled			
	No response	-	
	Prosecution	9	11.
	Criminal	6	7.8
	Mixed criminal & civil	38	49.4
	Civil	19	24.
	Other	5	6.5
Location of Practice	ould	5	0
	No response	-	
	First District	12	15.6
	Second District	12	1.3
	Third District	33	42.9
	Fourth District	31	40.3
	Outside Alaska	51	40
Gender	Outside Alaska	-	
Gender	No response		
	No response	-	EEG
	Male	43	55.8
	Female	34	44.2
	Another identity	-	

Table 26 Michael A. MacDonald Detailed Responses

	n	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness M	Integrity M	Judicial Temperament M	Diligence M	Overall M
All respondents	77	4.3	3.9	4.4	3.8	4.4	4.1
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	71	4.3	3.9	4.4	3.8	4.4	4.1
Experience within last 2 years	44	4.4	4.1	4.6	4.1	4.6	4.3
Experience not within last 2 years	26	4.1	3.6	4.2	3.5	4.1	3.7
Substantial amount of experience	19	4.5	4.1	4.4	3.8	4.4	4.1
Moderate amount of experience	27	4.2	3.8	4.4	4.0	4.5	4.2
Limited amount of experience	24	4.2	3.8	4.4	3.7	4.3	4.0
Professional reputation	4	3.5	3.0	3.8	3.0	4.3	3.5
Other personal contacts	2	5.0	4.5	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	8	4.1	3.8	4.4	3.9	4.0	3.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	8	3.3	2.9	3.8	2.8	4.0	3.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	4.8	3.6	4.2	3.2	4.2	3.6
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	18	4.8	4.7	5.0	4.5	5.0	4.8
Government	22	4.1	3.5	4.2	3.7	4.3	3.9
Public service agency or organization	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Retired	9	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.2	4.3	4.4
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	5	4.2	4.2	4.8	4.4	4.6	4.4
6 to 10 years	9	4.7	3.6	4.3	3.7	4.6	4.1
11 to 15 years	6	4.3	3.7	4.5	3.3	4.5	4.0
16 to 20 years	9	3.6	3.0	3.6	3.1	4.0	3.4
More than 20 years	32	4.3	4.1	4.5	4.0	4.4	4.1
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	9	4.4	4.0	4.7	4.1	4.7	4.4
Criminal	6	4.3	4.2	4.8	4.3	4.3	4.3
Mixed criminal & civil	35	4.2	3.8	4.4	3.7	4.4	4.0
Civil	17	4.3	3.8	4.1	3.6	4.1	3.9
Other	4	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.8
Location of Practice*							
First District	11	4.4	3.9	4.3	3.9	4.3	4.0
Second District	1	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0
Third District	30	4.4	4.0	4.5	3.9	4.5	4.2
Fourth District	29	4.2	3.8	4.5	3.8	4.4	4.1
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	40	4.5	4.1	4.5	4.0	4.5	4.3
Female	31	4.1	3.7	4.3	3.6	4.3	3.9
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

		п	%
	All respondents	83	100
Experience with Judge			
	Direct professional experience	56	67.5
	Professional reputation	22	26.5
	Other personal contacts	5	6.0
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 2 years)	26	46.4
	Substantial amount of experience	26	46.4
	Moderate amount of experience	19	33.9
	Limited amount of experience	11	19.6
Type of Practice			
	No response	3	3.6
	Private, solo	10	12.0
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	2	2.4
	Private, 6+ attorneys	8	9.6
	Private, corporate employee	2	2.4
	Judge or judicial officer	13	15.7
	Government	15	18.1
	Public service agency or organization	-	
	Retired	26	31.3
	Other	4	4.8
Length of Alaska Practice			
8	No response	15	18.1
	5 years or fewer	4	4.8
	6 to 10 years	2	2.4
	11 to 15 years	7	8.4
	16 to 20 years	2	2.4
	More than 20 years	53	63.9
Cases Handled			
	No response	2	2.4
	Prosecution	5	6.0
	Criminal	8	9.6
	Mixed criminal & civil	38	45.8
	Civil	27	32.5
	Other	3	3.6
Location of Practice	other	5	5.0
	No response	2	2.4
	First District	7	8.4
	Second District	1	1.2
	Third District	64	77.1
	Fourth District	7	8.4
	Outside Alaska	2	2.4
Gender		2	2.2
Ochuci	No response	2	2.4
	No response Male	49	2.2 59.0
	Female	49 32	38.6
		32	38.0
	Another identity	-	-

Table 28 David Mannheimer Detailed Responses

	n	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness M	Integrity M	Judicial Temperament M	Diligence M	Overall M
All respondents	83	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.6
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	56	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.5
Experience within last 2 years	26	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.2	4.3
Experience not within last 2 years	30	4.8	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.9	4.6
Substantial amount of experience	26	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.3	4.4	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	19	4.8	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.7	4.4
Limited amount of experience	11	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.7	4.7
Professional reputation	22	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.8
Other personal contacts	5	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	7	5.0	4.9	5.0	5.0	4.9	5.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	2	4.5	3.5	4.0	3.5	4.5	4.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	9	4.6	4.4	4.7	4.1	4.4	4.4
Government	13	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.2	4.4
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	17	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.8	4.5
Other	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	2.7	3.0
6 to 10 years	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5
11 to 15 years	6	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.7
16 to 20 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
More than 20 years	37	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.3	3.7	4.0
Criminal	7	4.7	4.7	4.9	4.4	4.6	4.6
Mixed criminal & civil	30	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.4
Civil	14	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.6	4.6
Other	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	3	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.3
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	46	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.6
Fourth District	5	3.8	3.2	3.6	2.8	3.2	3.4
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	32	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.6	4.4
Female	24	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.6
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

		п	%
	All respondents	98	100
Experience with Judge			
	Direct professional experience	77	78.6
	Professional reputation	20	20.4
	Other personal contacts	1	1.0
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	17	22.1
	Substantial amount of experience	29	37.7
	Moderate amount of experience	18	23.4
	Limited amount of experience	30	39.0
Type of Practice			
	No response	1	1.0
	Private, solo	18	18.4
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	4	4.1
	Private, 6+ attorneys	12	12.2
	Private, corporate employee	6	6.1
	Judge or judicial officer	11	11.2
	Government	15	15.3
	Public service agency or organization	1	1.0
	Retired	27	27.6
	Other	3	3.1
Length of Alaska Practice	Other	5	5.
Length of Alaska I factice	No response	18	18.4
	5 years or fewer	10	10
	6 to 10 years	4	4.1
	11 to 15 years	8	4.1
	•	4	
	16 to 20 years	64	4.1 65.3
Cases Handled	More than 20 years	04	05.3
Cases Handled	N	2	2 (
	No response	2	2.0
	Prosecution	3	3.1
	Criminal	7	7.1
	Mixed criminal & civil	26	26.5
	Civil	54	55.
	Other	6	6.1
Location of Practice			
	No response	1	1.(
	First District	11	11.2
	Second District	1	1.0
	Third District	81	82.7
	Fourth District	4	4.1
	Outside Alaska	-	
Gender			
	No response	2	2.0
	Male	64	65.3
	Female	32	32.7
	Another identity	-	

Table 30 Warren W. Matthews Detailed Responses

		Legal Ability	Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	n	M	M	M	M	M	M
All respondents	98	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.6
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	77	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.7
Experience within last 2 years	17	4.9	4.9	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.9
Experience not within last 2 years	60	4.8	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
Substantial amount of experience	29	4.8	4.6	4.9	4.6	4.7	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	18	4.7	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.7	4.5
Limited amount of experience	30	4.8	4.6	4.9	4.7	4.6	4.7
Professional reputation	20	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.5
Other personal contacts	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	4.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	15	4.9	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.7	4.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	4	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	10	4.5	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.2	4.2
Private, corporate employee	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	9	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Government	12	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.8
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	23	4.9	4.4	4.8	4.5	4.6	4.6
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 to 10 years	3	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.7
11 to 15 years	6	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0
16 to 20 years	4	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.8
More than 20 years	50	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.7
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Criminal	7	5.0	4.9	5.0	4.6	5.0	5.0
Mixed criminal & civil	23	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.9
Civil	42	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.6
Other	3	5.0	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.7	4.7
Location of Practice*							
First District	6	5.0	4.7	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.7
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	65	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.6
Fourth District	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Gender*							
Male	53	4.8	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.6
Female	23	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.9
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

		п	%
	All respondents	47	100
Experience with Judge			
	Direct professional experience	43	91.5
	Professional reputation	2	4.3
	Other personal contacts	2	4.3
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 2 years)	19	44.2
	Substantial amount of experience	17	39.5
	Moderate amount of experience	14	32.6
	Limited amount of experience	12	27.9
Type of Practice			
	No response	-	-
	Private, solo	9	19.1
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	5	10.6
	Private, 6+ attorneys	2	4.3
	Private, corporate employee	_	
	Judge or judicial officer	11	23.4
	Government	12	25.5
	Public service agency or organization	_	
	Retired	8	17.0
	Other	-	
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No response	6	12.8
	5 years or fewer	1	2.1
	6 to 10 years	4	8.5
	11 to 15 years	5	10.6
	16 to 20 years	6	12.8
	More than 20 years	25	53.2
Cases Handled	More than 20 years	25	55.2
	No response	-	
	Prosecution	3	6.4
	Criminal	3	6.4
	Mixed criminal & civil	22	46.8
	Civil	15	31.9
	Other	4	8.5
Location of Practice	Other	4	0
	No response	-	
	First District	13	27.7
	Second District	13	27.
	Third District	14	29.8
	Fourth District	18	38.3
Condon	Outside Alaska	1	2.
Gender	N.		
	No response	-	
	Male	27	57.4
	Female	20	42.6
	Another identity eporting direct professional experience with the judge.	-	

Table 32 Michael P. McConahy Detailed Responses

	n	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness M	Integrity M	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence M	Overall M
All respondents	47	4.2	4.1	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.2
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	43	4.2	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.2
Experience within last 2 years	19	4.1	4.1	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.3
Experience not within last 2 years	24	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.1
Substantial amount of experience	17	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.1
Moderate amount of experience	14	4.1	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.3
Limited amount of experience	12	4.1	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.1
Professional reputation	2	4.0	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other personal contacts	2	5.0	4.5	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	9	4.2	4.2	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	5	4.2	4.2	4.6	4.4	4.8	4.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	2	5.0	3.5	3.5	3.0	4.0	3.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	8	4.4	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.4
Government	12	3.7	3.6	4.2	3.7	4.2	3.7
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	7	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.4	4.6
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
6 to 10 years	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.8
11 to 15 years	4	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3
16 to 20 years	6	4.2	4.0	4.3	3.8	4.5	4.0
More than 20 years	23	4.1	4.0	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.1
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	3	3.3	3.7	4.7	4.0	4.0	3.7
Criminal	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Mixed criminal & civil	19	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.2
Civil	15	4.2	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.1
Other	3	4.3	4.0	4.7	4.0	5.0	4.3
Location of Practice*							
First District	13	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.3
Second District	1	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	4.0	2.0
Third District	14	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4
Fourth District	14	4.0	3.9	4.3	3.8	4.2	3.9
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	25	4.3	4.2	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.2
Female	18	4.1	3.9	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.1
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 33Patrick J. McKayDemographic Description of Respondents

		<u>n</u>	%
	All respondents	85	100
Experience with Judge		= 2	045
	Direct professional experience	72	84.7
	Professional reputation	11	12.9
	Other personal contacts	2	2.4
Detailed Experience*		• •	
	Recent experience (within last 2 years)	20	28.2
	Substantial amount of experience	19	26.8
	Moderate amount of experience	28	39.4
	Limited amount of experience	24	33.8
Type of Practice			
	No response	-	
	Private, solo	18	21.2
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	14.
	Private, 6+ attorneys	9	10.0
	Private, corporate employee	1	1.
	Judge or judicial officer	11	12.
	Government	13	15.
	Public service agency or organization	2	2.4
	Retired	19	22.4
	Other	-	
Length of Alaska Practice			
Length of Thuska I factice	No response	9	10.
	5 years or fewer	2	2.4
	6 to 10 years	4	4.
	11 to 15 years	15	17.0
	16 to 20 years	7	8.
	More than 20 years	48	56.:
	More than 20 years	48	30
Cases Handled	NT		
	No response	-	~ .
	Prosecution	5	5.9
	Criminal	6	7.
	Mixed criminal & civil	24	28.2
	Civil	47	55.
	Other	3	3.:
Location of Practice			
	No response	-	
	First District	3	3.:
	Second District	1	1.
	Third District	78	91.
	Fourth District	1	1.
	Outside Alaska	2	2.4
Gender			
	No response	1	1.
	Male	49	57.0
	Female	35	41.2
	2 chimic	55	11.4

Table 34 Patrick J. McKay Detailed Responses

		Legal Ability	Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	n	M	M	M	M	M	M
All respondents	85	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	72	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
Experience within last 2 years	20	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.5
Experience not within last 2 years	51	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
Substantial amount of experience	19	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	28	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3
Limited amount of experience	24	4.1	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3
Professional reputation	11	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.4
Other personal contacts	2	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	15	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	6	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.3
Private, corporate employee	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	10	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.9	4.5	4.7
Government	11	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Public service agency or organization	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Retired	15	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.5
Other	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
6 to 10 years	4	4.3	5.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0
11 to 15 years	14	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.8
16 to 20 years	5	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.2
More than 20 years	40	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.2
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	4	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.5
Criminal	5	4.6	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Mixed criminal & civil	21	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.4
Civil	39	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3
Other	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	3	4.0	4.3	4.7	4.3	3.7	4.3
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	66	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	42	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.4
Female	29	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

		<u>n</u>	%
	All respondents	97	100
Experience with Judge		20	01.4
	Direct professional experience	79	81.4
	Professional reputation	12	12.4
	Other personal contacts	6	6.2
Detailed Experience*		2.1	10.0
	Recent experience (within last 2 years)	34	43.0
	Substantial amount of experience	24	30.4
	Moderate amount of experience	36	45.6
	Limited amount of experience	19	24.1
Type of Practice			
	No response	2	2.1
	Private, solo	13	13.4
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	13	13.4
	Private, 6+ attorneys	12	12.4
	Private, corporate employee	6	6.2
	Judge or judicial officer	18	18.0
	Government	17	17.5
	Public service agency or organization	4	4.
	Retired	12	12.4
	Other	-	
Length of Alaska Practice			
0	No response	17	17.5
	5 years or fewer	5	5.2
	6 to 10 years	6	6.2
	11 to 15 years	16	16.
	16 to 20 years	8	8.2
	More than 20 years	45	46.4
Cases Handled			
	No response	1	1.0
	Prosecution	7	7.2
	Criminal	6	6.2
	Mixed criminal & civil	31	32.0
	Civil	49	50.5
	Other	3	3.1
Location of Practice	other	3	5.
	No response	1	1.(
	First District	4	4.2
	Second District	-	т.
	Third District	91	93.8
	Fourth District		
		1	1.0
Condon	Outside Alaska	-	
Gender	Namanana	2	2
	No response	2	2.1
	Male	56	57.7
	Female	39	40.2
	Another identity	-	

Table 36 Gregory Miller Detailed Responses

		Legal Ability	Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	п	M	M	M	M	M	M
All respondents	97	4.0	4.0	4.3	3.9	4.3	4.1
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	79	4.0	4.0	4.2	3.9	4.3	4.0
Experience within last 2 years	34	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.5	4.2
Experience not within last 2 years	45	3.7	3.9	4.1	3.8	4.1	3.9
Substantial amount of experience	24	3.9	3.9	4.2	3.8	4.1	4.0
Moderate amount of experience	36	4.0	4.0	4.1	3.8	4.2	3.9
Limited amount of experience	19	4.0	4.0	4.4	4.1	4.5	4.1
Professional reputation	12	4.2	3.9	4.4	4.0	3.9	4.1
Other personal contacts	6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	5.0	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	13	3.8	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.2	3.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.5	3.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	11	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.2
Private, corporate employee	4	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3
Judge or judicial officer	13	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.2	4.8	4.8
Government	13	3.8	3.5	3.8	3.5	4.3	3.7
Public service agency or organization	3	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.3	4.0	3.3
Retired	9	4.1	4.1	4.5	4.0	4.5	4.2
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	5	3.3	3.3	3.3	2.8	3.5	3.3
6 to 10 years	4	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.0
11 to 15 years	13	3.4	3.5	3.7	3.2	3.8	3.3
16 to 20 years	5	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.6	4.6
More than 20 years	40	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.2
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	5	3.5	3.2	3.8	3.2	4.0	3.4
Criminal	5	3.8	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.0
Mixed criminal & civil	25	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.1	4.6	4.5
Civil	41	3.8	3.9	4.2	3.8	4.1	3.9
Other	3	4.3	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.7	3.3
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.5	4.5
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	76	3.9	3.9	4.2	3.8	4.2	4.0
Fourth District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	50	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.1
Female	28	3.7	3.6	3.9	3.5	4.2	3.7
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

	All respondents	<u>n</u> 101	<u>%</u> 100
Experience with Judge	micspondents	101	100
	Direct professional experience	74	73.3
	Professional reputation	13	12.9
	Other personal contacts	14	13.9
Detailed Experience*			
•	Recent experience (within last 2 years)	42	56.8
	Substantial amount of experience	22	29.7
	Moderate amount of experience	31	41.
	Limited amount of experience	21	28.4
Type of Practice	1		
	No response	3	3.
	Private, solo	16	15.
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	16	15.
	Private, 6+ attorneys	10	9.
	Private, corporate employee	4	4.
	Judge or judicial officer	15	14.
	Government	14	13.
	Public service agency or organization	3	3.
	Retired	19	18.
	Other	1	1.
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No response	14	13.
	5 years or fewer	10	9.
	6 to 10 years	5	5.
	11 to 15 years	11	10.
	16 to 20 years	2	2.
	More than 20 years	59	58.4
Cases Handled			
	No response	3	3.
	Prosecution	5	5.
	Criminal	15	14.
	Mixed criminal & civil	32	31.
	Civil	42	41.
	Other	4	4.0
Location of Practice			
	No response	2	2.
	First District	3	3.
	Second District	1	1.
	Third District	91	90.
	Fourth District	3	3.
	Outside Alaska	1	1.
Gender			
	No response	2	2.
	Male	50	49.
	Female	49	48.
		12	10.

Table 38 Nancy J. Nolan Detailed Responses

		Legal Ability	Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
<u>A 11 m m m d m d m</u>	<u>n</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>M</u>	<u>M</u>
All respondents Basis for Evaluation	101	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
	74	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	16	4.7
Direct professional experience	74 42	4.0 4.6	4. 7 4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
Experience within last 2 years	42 32	4.0	4.0	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
Experience not within last 2 years	32 22	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.8
Substantial amount of experience	31	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.8		4.7
Moderate amount of experience						4.7	
Limited amount of experience	21	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6
Professional reputation	13	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.8
Other personal contacts	14	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7
Type of Practice*	10	4.5	1.0	4 7	4.5	4 4	4.5
Private, solo	13	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	13	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	8	4.9	4.9	5.0	5.0	4.9	5.0
Private, corporate employee	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	10	4.7	4.9	5.0	5.0	4.9	5.0
Government	13	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
Public service agency or organization	2	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.5	4.0
Retired	13	4.8	4.9	5.0	4.8	4.7	4.8
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	9	4.0	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.3
6 to 10 years	4	4.3	3.8	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.0
11 to 15 years	10	4.9	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
16 to 20 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
More than 20 years	42	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.8
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	5	4.2	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.0	4.2
Criminal	14	4.2	4.5	4.8	4.6	4.5	4.5
Mixed criminal & civil	23	4.7	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.8
Civil	27	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Other	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	71	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.7
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	37	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.7
Female	37	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7
Another identity	-	-	_	-	-	-	-

		n	%
	All respondents	82	100
Experience with Judge			
	Direct professional experience	73	89.0
	Professional reputation	6	7.3
	Other personal contacts	3	3.7
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 2 years)	37	50.7
	Substantial amount of experience	22	30.1
	Moderate amount of experience	27	37.0
	Limited amount of experience	24	32.9
Type of Practice	•		
	No response	1	1.2
	Private, solo	18	22.0
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	4	4.9
	Private, 6+ attorneys	6	7.3
	Private, corporate employee	1	1.2
	Judge or judicial officer	11	13.4
	Government	22	26.8
	Public service agency or organization	1	1.2
	Retired	14	17.1
	Other	4	4.9
Length of Alaska Practice	ould	-	т.,
Length of Alaska I factice	No response	6	7.3
	5 years or fewer	4	4.9
	6 to 10 years	3	3.7
	11 to 15 years	8	9.8
	•	8 4	
	16 to 20 years More than 20 years	57	4.9 69.5
Cases Handled	More than 20 years	57	09
Cases Handled	Nama	1	1 (
	No response	1	1.2
	Prosecution	10	12.2
	Criminal	7	8.5
	Mixed criminal & civil	22	26.8
	Civil	39	47.0
	Other	3	3.7
Location of Practice			
	No response	1	1.2
	First District	43	52.4
	Second District	-	
	Third District	36	43.9
	Fourth District	2	2.4
	Outside Alaska	-	
Gender			
	No response	3	3.7
	Male	47	57.3
	Female	32	39.0
	Another identity	-	

Table 40 Philip M. Pallenberg Detailed Responses

	п	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness M	Integrity M	Judicial Temperament M	Diligence M	Overall M
All respondents	82	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	73	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5
Experience within last 2 years	37	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.5
Experience not within last 2 years	36	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4
Substantial amount of experience	22	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	27	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Limited amount of experience	24	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
Professional reputation	6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Other personal contacts	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	16	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	4	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	6	4.4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.2	4.4
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	9	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.8
Government	19	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.6
Public service agency or organization	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Retired	13	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.4	4.8
Other	4	4.0	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	4.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	4	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.8
6 to 10 years	3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
11 to 15 years	6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
16 to 20 years	4	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.5
More than 20 years	51	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.5
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	9	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Criminal	7	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.9
Mixed criminal & civil	19	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
Civil	35	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.2
Other	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0	4.7
Location of Practice*							
First District	39	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.5
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	32	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
Fourth District	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	43	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.3
Female	28	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.7
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 41Eric SmithDemographic Description of Respondents

		<u>n</u>	%
	All respondents	74	100
Experience with Judge	Direct professional experience	60	01.1
	Direct professional experience	60	81.1
	Professional reputation	11	14.9
Detailed Furneries as*	Other personal contacts	3	4.1
Detailed Experience*	Desent experience (within last 2 years)	16	26 '
	Recent experience (within last 2 years)	16	26.
	Substantial amount of experience	14	23.
	Moderate amount of experience	23	38.
Type of Dreatice	Limited amount of experience	23	38.
Type of Practice	Na manana	2	2
	No response	2	2.
	Private, solo	15	20.
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	8	10.
	Private, 6+ attorneys	8	10.
	Private, corporate employee	2	2.
	Judge or judicial officer	10	13.
	Government	12	16.
	Public service agency or organization	-	
	Retired	14	18.
	Other	3	4.
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No response	11	14.
	5 years or fewer	1	1.
	6 to 10 years	6	8.
	11 to 15 years	3	4.
	16 to 20 years	5	6.
	More than 20 years	48	64.
Cases Handled			
	No response	1	1.
	Prosecution	2	2.
	Criminal	9	12.
	Mixed criminal & civil	25	33.
	Civil	35	47.
	Other	2	2.
Location of Practice		_	2.
	No response	1	1.
	First District	5	6.
	Second District	1	1.
	Third District	62	83.
	Fourth District	3	65. 4.
	Outside Alaska	2	4.
Gender	Outside Alaska	2	2.
Genuer	No magnones	1	1
	No response	1	1.
	Male	37	50.
	Female	36	48.
	Another identity	-	

Table 42 Eric Smith Detailed Responses

	n	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness M	Integrity M	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence M	Overall M
All respondents	74	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3
Basis for Evaluation	, .						
Direct professional experience	60	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3
Experience within last 2 years	16	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.5
Experience not within last 2 years	44	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2
Substantial amount of experience	14	4.4	4.1	4.2	3.9	4.3	4.1
Moderate amount of experience	23	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.0
Limited amount of experience	23	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.7
Professional reputation	11	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.3
Other personal contacts	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	11	3.8	3.5	3.7	3.4	3.6	3.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	6	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.3	4.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	4.4	4.0	4.0	4.2	4.4	3.8
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	9	4.9	4.7	4.9	4.7	4.9	4.9
Government	11	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.4
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Retired	13	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.2	4.3	4.4
Other	3	4.0	4.7	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.7
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
6 to 10 years	5	4.2	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.4	4.6
11 to 15 years	2	3.5	3.0	3.5	3.0	4.0	3.5
16 to 20 years	5	4.2	4.2	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.2
More than 20 years	41	4.5	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.4	4.3
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Criminal	8	4.5	4.1	4.3	3.8	4.4	4.1
Mixed criminal & civil	23	4.4	4.3	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.4
Civil	26	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2
Other	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	5.0	4.5
Location of Practice*							
First District	4	4.8	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	1	2.0	2.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	2.0
Third District	51	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3
Fourth District	3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.7
Outside Alaska	1	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	3.0	2.0
Gender*							
Male	30	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.2
Female	30	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.4
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

		<u>n</u>	%
	All respondents	51	100
Experience with Judge		27	= = =
	Direct professional experience	37	72.5
	Professional reputation	11	21.6
	Other personal contacts	3	5.9
Detailed Experience*			0.1
	Recent experience (within last 2 years)	3	8.1
	Substantial amount of experience	18	48.6
	Moderate amount of experience	10	27.0
	Limited amount of experience	9	24.3
Type of Practice			
	No response	1	2.0
	Private, solo	7	13.7
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	4	7.8
	Private, 6+ attorneys	4	7.8
	Private, corporate employee	4	7.8
	Judge or judicial officer	4	7.8
	Government	9	17.6
	Public service agency or organization	2	3.9
	Retired	16	31.4
	Other	_	-
Length of Alaska Practice			
6	No response	9	17.6
	5 years or fewer	_	_
	6 to 10 years	2	3.9
	11 to 15 years	6	11.8
	16 to 20 years	3	5.9
	More than 20 years	31	60.8
Cases Handled	11010 than 20 years	51	0010
	No response	1	2.0
	Prosecution	5	9.8
	Criminal	5	9.8
	Mixed criminal & civil	14	27.5
	Civil	24	47.1
	Other	24	3.9
Location of Practice	Other	2	5.9
Location of Tractice	No response		
	No response First District	-	-
		-	
	Second District	1	2.0
	Third District	48	94.1
	Fourth District	2	3.9
	Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender			
	No response	-	-
	Male	30	58.8
	Female	21	41.2
	Another identity	-	-

Table 44 Michael Spaan Detailed Responses

	п	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness M	Integrity M	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence M	Overall M
All respondents	51	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	37	4.4	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.4
Experience within last 2 years	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Experience not within last 2 years	34	4.4	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3
Substantial amount of experience	18	4.7	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.6	4.6
Moderate amount of experience	10	3.6	3.7	4.2	4.3	3.6	3.7
Limited amount of experience	9	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.7	4.7	4.7
Professional reputation	11	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
Other personal contacts	3	5.0	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	6	3.5	3.5	4.3	4.3	3.7	3.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	3	3.7	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.7
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Government	8	4.6	3.8	4.4	3.9	4.4	4.3
Public service agency or organization	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Retired	11	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6 to 10 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
11 to 15 years	6	4.5	3.7	4.3	3.7	4.5	4.3
16 to 20 years	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.5
More than 20 years	21	4.3	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.1	4.1
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	4	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.3	4.5	4.8
Criminal	5	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.2
Mixed criminal & civil	12	4.7	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Civil	13	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.3
Other	2	5.0	2.5	4.0	3.0	4.5	3.5
Location of Practice*							
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0
Third District	36	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.3
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Gender*							
Male	21	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.4
Female	16	4.6	4.1	4.7	4.3	4.4	4.3
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

		n	%
	All respondents	80	100
Experience with Judge			
	Direct professional experience	73	91.3
	Professional reputation	6	7.5
	Other personal contacts	1	1.3
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	46	63.0
	Substantial amount of experience	20	27.4
	Moderate amount of experience	22	30.1
	Limited amount of experience	31	42.5
Type of Practice			
	No response	1	1.3
	Private, solo	14	17.5
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	6	7.5
	Private, 6+ attorneys	6	7.5
	Private, corporate employee	-	
	Judge or judicial officer	16	20.0
	Government	20	25.0
	Public service agency or organization	1	1.
	Retired	12	15.0
	Other	4	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No response	10	12.5
	5 years or fewer	5	6.3
	6 to 10 years	1	1.
	11 to 15 years	14	17.
	16 to 20 years	9	11.
	More than 20 years	41	51.
Cases Handled	More than 20 years	11	01
	No response	1	1.
	Prosecution	8	10.0
	Criminal	8	10.
	Mixed criminal & civil	31	38.
	Civil	29	36.3
	Other	3	3.3
Location of Practice	Other	5	5.0
Location of Fractice	No response	1	1.3
	First District	39	48.8
	Second District		
		1	1.
	Third District	36	45.0
	Fourth District	3	3.
	Outside Alaska	-	
Gender	NY .	2	
	No response	3	3.8
	Male	47	58.8
	Female	30	37.5
	Another identity	-	

Table 46 Trevor Stephens Detailed Responses

	п	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness M	Integrity M	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence M	Overall M
All respondents	80	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	73	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Experience within last 2 years	46	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Experience not within last 2 years	27	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.8
Substantial amount of experience	20	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	5.0	4.8
Moderate amount of experience	22	4.8	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.8
Limited amount of experience	31	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Professional reputation	6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.5
Other personal contacts	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	14	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	6	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.0	4.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	15	4.9	4.9	5.0	4.9	5.0	4.9
Government	17	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5
Public service agency or organization	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Retired	12	4.8	4.9	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.9
Other	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
6 to 10 years	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 to 15 years	12	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
16 to 20 years	9	4.8	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.8
More than 20 years	38	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	7	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.6	4.6
Criminal	7	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Mixed criminal & civil	30	4.8	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8
Civil	25	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6
Other	3	5.0	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.7	4.7
Location of Practice*							
First District	35	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8
Second District	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0
Third District	33	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6
Fourth District	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	43	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.7
Female	27	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Another identity	-	-	_	-	-	_	-

		n	%
	All respondents	50	100
Experience with Judge			
	Direct professional experience	38	76.0
	Professional reputation	10	20.0
	Other personal contacts	2	4.0
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 2 years)	26	70.3
	Substantial amount of experience	13	35.1
	Moderate amount of experience	12	32.4
	Limited amount of experience	12	32.4
Type of Practice			
	No response	-	-
	Private, solo	3	6.0
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	7	14.0
	Private, 6+ attorneys	3	6.0
	Private, corporate employee	-	-
	Judge or judicial officer	11	22.0
	Government	20	40.0
	Public service agency or organization	2	4.0
	Retired	4	8.0
	Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice			
0	No response	4	8.0
	5 years or fewer	6	12.0
	6 to 10 years	8	16.0
	11 to 15 years	8	16.0
	16 to 20 years	6	12.0
	More than 20 years	18	36.0
Cases Handled			
	No response	-	-
	Prosecution	7	14.0
	Criminal	7	14.0
	Mixed criminal & civil	20	40.0
	Civil	13	26.0
	Other	3	6.0
Location of Practice		U	010
	No response	-	-
	First District	2	4.0
	Second District	3	6.0
	Third District	15	30.0
	Fourth District	29	58.0
	Outside Alaska	1	2.0
Gender	Outside / Haska	1	2.0
Geneti	No response	_	
	Male	27	54.0
	Female	27	46.0
		23	40.0
	Another identity	-	-

Table 48 Nelson Traverso Detailed Responses

	п	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness M	Integrity M	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence M	Overall M
All respondents	50	3.6	4.0	4.3	3.7	3.7	3.6
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	38	3.4	4.0	4.3	3.7	3.7	3.5
Experience within last 2 years	26	3.3	4.1	4.5	3.8	3.5	3.5
Experience not within last 2 years	11	3.6	3.8	4.0	3.5	3.9	3.6
Substantial amount of experience	13	3.0	3.8	4.4	3.3	3.0	3.0
Moderate amount of experience	12	3.6	4.0	4.2	3.9	4.1	3.6
Limited amount of experience	12	3.7	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.0	4.0
Professional reputation	10	3.9	4.2	4.1	3.6	3.8	3.9
Other personal contacts	2	4.5	3.5	4.5	3.5	4.5	4.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	2	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	5	3.8	4.0	4.4	4.0	4.2	4.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	2	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	8	4.6	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
Government	17	2.5	3.6	4.1	2.9	2.7	2.5
Public service agency or organization	2	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0
Retired	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	4	2.7	4.0	4.3	3.0	2.0	2.0
6 to 10 years	6	2.2	3.4	4.0	2.4	2.4	2.4
11 to 15 years	7	2.9	3.6	4.0	3.4	3.3	3.0
16 to 20 years	4	2.5	3.3	3.8	3.5	3.8	3.0
More than 20 years	14	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	6	2.6	4.0	4.4	2.8	2.2	1.8
Criminal	6	2.6	4.0	4.3	3.2	2.6	3.2
Mixed criminal & civil	16	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.2
Civil	8	3.3	3.7	3.9	3.6	4.0	3.6
Other	2	3.0	3.0	4.5	3.0	3.0	3.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	1	2.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	2.0
Third District	11	2.7	3.3	3.9	3.2	3.3	2.8
Fourth District	23	3.7	4.3	4.5	3.9	3.7	3.8
Outside Alaska	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Gender*							
Male	24	3.8	4.3	4.5	4.1	4.0	3.9
Female	14	2.8	3.5	3.9	3.1	3.1	2.9
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

		n	%
	All respondents	97	100
Experience with Judge		70	01.4
	Direct professional experience	79	81.4
	Professional reputation	15	15.5
	Other personal contacts	3	3.1
Detailed Experience*		20	25
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	28	35.4
	Substantial amount of experience	29	36.
	Moderate amount of experience	26	32.9
	Limited amount of experience	24	30.4
Type of Practice			
	No response	1	1.0
	Private, solo	13	13.4
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	4	4.
	Private, 6+ attorneys	8	8.2
	Private, corporate employee	3	3.
	Judge or judicial officer	13	13.4
	Government	28	28.
	Public service agency or organization	1	1.
	Retired	24	24.
	Other	2	2.
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No response	14	14.4
	5 years or fewer	7	7.2
	6 to 10 years	11	11.
	11 to 15 years	10	10.
	16 to 20 years	4	4.
	More than 20 years	51	52.0
Cases Handled	Wore than 20 years	51	52.
Cases Hanuleu	No response	1	1.0
	No response Prosecution	15	15.:
	Criminal	13	13.
	Mixed criminal & civil	33	34.0
	Civil	32	33.0
	Other	2	2.
Location of Practice	N	1	1
	No response	1	1.0
	First District	6	6.2
	Second District	2	2.
	Third District	85	87.0
	Fourth District	3	3.
	Outside Alaska	-	
Gender			
	No response	1	1.0
	Male	56	57.
	Female	40	41.2
	Another identity	-	

Table 50 Michael L. Wolverton Detailed Responses

	п	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness M	Integrity M	Judicial Temperament M	Diligence M	Overall M
All respondents	97	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.2	4.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	79	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.2	4.5
Experience within last 2 years	28	4.3	4.4	4.7	4.2	4.3	4.5
Experience not within last 2 years	51	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.2	4.5
Substantial amount of experience	29	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.2	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	26	4.2	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.2	4.3
Limited amount of experience	24	4.3	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.3	4.5
Professional reputation	15	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.3
Other personal contacts	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	10	4.4	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.2	4.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	4	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	4.6	4.8	5.0	4.6	4.6	4.8
Private, corporate employee	2	4.5	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Judge or judicial officer	10	4.6	4.8	5.0	4.9	4.7	4.8
Government	25	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.1	4.2
Public service agency or organization	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Retired	20	4.4	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.1	4.5
Other	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	7	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.1	4.3	4.4
6 to 10 years	9	4.3	4.2	4.7	4.4	4.4	4.4
11 to 15 years	9	4.2	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.1	4.4
16 to 20 years	2	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.5
More than 20 years	41	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.2	4.5
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	14	4.2	4.3	4.6	4.2	4.1	4.4
Criminal	14	4.1	4.1	4.4	3.9	3.9	4.1
Mixed criminal & civil	25	4.4	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.4	4.6
Civil	24	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.2	4.5
Other	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	3	4.0	4.0	4.7	4.0	4.3	4.3
Second District	2	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.0	2.5	3.5
Third District	72	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.3	4.5
Fourth District	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	45	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.4
Female	34	4.4	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.3	4.6
Another identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

		п	%
	All respondents	36	100
Experience with Judge			
	Direct professional experience	28	77.8
	Professional reputation	4	11.1
	Other personal contacts	4	11.1
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 2 years)	7	25.0
	Substantial amount of experience	8	28.6
	Moderate amount of experience	12	42.9
	Limited amount of experience	8	28.0
Type of Practice			
	No response	-	
	Private, solo	5	13.9
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	7	19.4
	Private, 6+ attorneys	2	5.0
	Private, corporate employee	-	
	Judge or judicial officer	7	19.4
	Government	7	19.4
	Public service agency or organization	-	
	Retired	8	22.3
	Other	-	
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No response	5	13.9
	5 years or fewer	1	2.8
	6 to 10 years	-	2.0
	11 to 15 years	2	5.0
	16 to 20 years	3	8.
	More than 20 years	25	69.4
Cases Handled	White than 20 years	25	09.
Cases Handled	No response	-	
	Prosecution	- 1	2.3
	Criminal	2	2.0 5.0
	Mixed criminal & civil	15	41.
	Civil		
		15	41.
Leasting of Duration	Other	3	8.
Location of Practice	N		
	No response	-	7
	First District	2	5.0
	Second District	-	26
	Third District	13	36.
	Fourth District	19	52.
~ .	Outside Alaska	2	5.
Gender			
	No response	-	
	Male	22	61.
	Female	14	38.9
	Another identity	-	

Table 52 Mark I. Wood Detailed Responses

	п	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness M	Integrity M	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence M	Overall M
All respondents	36	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	28	4.7	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.9
Experience within last 2 years	7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Experience not within last 2 years	21	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Substantial amount of experience	8	4.9	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.9
Moderate amount of experience	12	4.8	4.9	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.9
Limited amount of experience	8	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.8
Professional reputation	4	4.5	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.5
Other personal contacts	4	3.5	3.3	4.3	4.0	4.3	3.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	4	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.8	5.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	7	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Government	5	4.4	4.4	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.8
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	6	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
6 to 10 years	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 to 15 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
16 to 20 years	3	4.3	4.0	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.3
More than 20 years	19	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.9
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	1	3.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Criminal	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Mixed criminal & civil	12	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Civil	11	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.8
Other	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	4.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	10	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.9	5.0
Fourth District	15	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	19	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.9
Female	9	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Another identity	-	-	-	-	_	_	-