



UAA Institute of Social
and Economic Research
UNIVERSITY *of* ALASKA ANCHORAGE

Alaska Judicial Council

Judicial Selection Survey

Palmer Superior Court

Technical Report

Trang Tran, MPP, Research Professional
Rosylind Frazier, M.S., Senior Research Professional
Kate Saft, Undergraduate Research Assistant

December 17, 2018

Funded by Alaska Judicial Council

<https://iseralaska.org/>

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Table 1: Mean Ratings of Applicants	2
Introduction.....	3
Methodology	3
Table 2: Respondent Characteristics.....	4
Instrumentation	5
Confidentiality and Data Safety.....	5
Assurance of Non-Duplicate Responding.....	6
Data Management	6
Results.....	6
<i>Summary Tables</i>	
Table 3: Level of Experience with Applicants	8
Table 4: Summary of Overall Ratings	9
Table 5: Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating	10
Table 6: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice	11
Table 7: Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Alaska Practice	12
Table 8: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Caseload Handled	13
Table 9: Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Practice	14
Table 10: Mean Overall Ratings by Gender	15
<i>Applicant Tables</i>	
Table 11: Richard Kenneth Allen: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	16
Table 12: Richard Kenneth Allen: Detailed Responses.....	17
Table 13: John C. Cagle: Demographic Description of Respondents	18
Table 14: John C. Cagle: Detailed Responses	19
Table 15: Tara Logsdon: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	20
Table 16: Tara Logsdon: Detailed Responses	21
Table 17: Douglas C. Perkins: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	22
Table 18: Douglas C. Perkins: Detailed Responses.....	23
Table 19: Peter R. Ramgren: Demographic Description of Respondents	24
Table 20: Peter R. Ramgren: Detailed Responses	25
Table 21: Christina Rankin: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	26
Table 22: Christina Rankin: Detailed Responses	27
Table 23: Nicholas Spiropoulos: Demographic Description of Respondents	28
Table 24: Nicholas Spiropoulos: Detailed Responses	29
Table 25: Kristen C. Stohler: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	30
Table 26: Kristen C. Stohler: Detailed Responses.....	31
Table 27: Shawn Traini: Demographic Description of Respondents	32
Table 28: Shawn Traini: Detailed Responses	33
Table 29: Andrew Weinraub: Demographic Description of Respondents	34
Table 30: Andrew Weinraub: Detailed Responses	35
Table 31: Melissa Wininger-Howard: Demographic Description of Respondents	36
Table 32: Melissa Wininger-Howard: Detailed Responses.....	37

Executive Summary

This report presents findings from a selection survey conducted among Alaska Bar Association members for 2 judicial vacancies on the Palmer Superior Court created by the retirements of Judge Vanessa H. White and Judge Gregory L. Heath. By the application deadline, the Alaska Judicial Council received a total of 13 applications from the following individuals (presented in alphabetical order): Richard Kenneth Allen, John C. Cagle, Tara Logsdon, Erin M. McCrum, Douglas C. Perkins, Peter R. Ramgren, Christina Rankin, Daniel Schally, Nicholas Spiropoulos, Kristen C. Stohler, Shawn Traini, Andrew Weinraub, and Melissa Wininger-Howard. Erin M. McCrum and Daniel Schally withdrew their applications. Therefore, their survey results are not included in this report.

The Alaska Judicial Council asked bar members to evaluate applicants on six characteristics: *Professional Competence*, *Integrity*, *Fairness*, *Judicial Temperament*, *Suitability of this Applicant's Experience for this Vacancy*, and *Overall*. The rating scale ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5).

Table 1 shows the mean ratings for each applicant by respondents with direct professional experience on all six characteristics.

Table 1
Mean Ratings of Applicants

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall Rating <i>M</i>
Richard Kenneth Allen	149	3.6	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.6
John C. Cagle	110	4.2	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.3
Tara Logsdon	100	3.5	4.0	3.9	3.7	3.5	3.5
Douglas C. Perkins	91	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.7
Peter R. Ramgren	130	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2
Christina Rankin	56	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.3
Nicholas Spiropoulos	64	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.1
Kristen C. Stohler	50	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1
Shawn Traini	83	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.8
Andrew Weinraub	64	3.6	4.0	3.8	3.1	3.3	3.2
Melissa Wininger-Howard	76	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.9

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

Judicial Selection Survey: Palmer Superior Court

Introduction

The State of Alaska Constitution and laws mandate that the Alaska Judicial Council (Council) evaluate all applicants for a judicial vacancy. The Council nominates the two or more most qualified applicants to the governor who must appoint from the Council's list. As part of the information used to fulfill its mandate, the Judicial Council distributed surveys to Alaska Bar Association members and asked them to rate applicants on six characteristics: *Professional Competence, Integrity, Fairness, Judicial Temperament, Suitability of this Applicant's Experience for this Vacancy, and Overall*. Each survey also contained demographic questions about the respondents, including type of practice, length of Alaska practice, types of cases handled, primary location of practice, and gender.

To maintain objectivity, the Council contracted with the Institute of Social and Economic Research (ISER), a research institute at the University of Alaska Anchorage. ISER was responsible for all aspects of distribution and data collection related to the online version of the survey. Parallel paper surveys were printed and mailed by the Council but returned directly to ISER for processing, data entry, and analysis. ISER prepared this report summarizing survey procedures and results.

A single selection survey was conducted for the following judicial vacancies: Anchorage District Court, Kodiak Superior Court, Palmer Superior Court, and Utqiagvik Superior Court. This report presents the findings of the survey for Palmer Superior Court, created by the retirements of Judge Vanessa H. White and Judge Gregory L. Heath. By the application deadline, the Council had received a total of 13 applications from the following individuals (presented in alphabetical order): Richard Kenneth Allen, John C. Cagle, Tara Logsdon, Erin M. McCrum, Douglas C. Perkins, Peter R. Ramgren, Christina Rankin, Daniel Schally, Nicholas Spiropoulos, Kristen C. Stohler, Shawn Traini, Andrew Weinraub, Melissa Winingers-Howard. Erin M. McCrum and Daniel Schally withdrew their applications. Therefore, their survey results are not included in this report.

Methodology

All active in-state members of the Alaska Bar Association were invited to participate in this selection survey. Inactive and retired members and active out-of-state members were also invited to participate in the survey if the Council had email addresses for them. Of the 3,280 individuals invited to participate, most individuals (3,258) received only an email invitation to complete the survey online. Twenty-two individuals received only a paper version of the survey and 34 individuals received both the paper and online versions of the survey.

Respondents initiated 1,004 online surveys. No surveys were excluded because the respondent answered "No" to the question certifying that they had complied with the ethical standards set out in Professional Rule 8.2; 34 surveys were excluded because the respondents did not progress far enough in the survey to reach the certification question; 9 surveys were excluded because the respondents did not answer any other questions but the certification question. No online surveys were returned by individuals who also completed a paper survey. Therefore, 961 online surveys qualified for analysis.

Respondents also returned 11 paper surveys. Attorneys are required to sign the paper surveys to verify that they are the person completing the survey. No paper survey was excluded because they were unsigned. No paper surveys were excluded because the respondents did not respond to the question certifying that they had complied with the ethical standards set out in Professional Rule 8.2. No paper surveys were returned by individuals who also completed the online survey. Therefore, all 11 paper surveys qualified for analysis.

The final analysis included 961 online surveys and 11 paper surveys, for a total of 972 surveys and a survey return rate of 29.6%. Of the 972 returned surveys, 269 did not rate any of the 33 applicants (nine Anchorage District Court applicants, six Kodiak Superior Court applicants, thirteen Palmer Superior Court applicants, five Utqiagvik Superior Court applicants); 703 (72.3%) respondents evaluated one or more applicants. Table 2 summarizes the demographic characteristics of the respondents.

Table 2
Respondent Characteristics

	All respondents	All Respondents		Respondents who Rated ≥ 1 Applicant	
		n	%	n	%
	All respondents	972	100	703	100
Type of Practice					
	No response	4	0.4	4	0.6
	Private, solo	191	19.7	119	16.9
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	131	13.5	97	13.8
	Private, 6+ attorneys	99	10.2	75	10.7
	Private, corporate employee	24	2.5	14	2.0
	Judge or judicial officer	88	9.1	77	11.0
	Government	293	30.1	238	33.9
	Public service agency or organization	25	2.6	15	2.1
	Retired	21	2.2	12	1.7
	Other	96	9.9	52	7.4
Length of Alaska Practice					
	No response	9	0.9	8	1.1
	5 years or fewer	119	12.2	87	12.4
	6 to 10 years	143	14.7	113	16.1
	11 to 15 years	127	13.1	101	14.4
	16 to 20 years	90	9.3	63	9.0
	More than 20 years	484	49.8	331	47.1
Cases Handled					
	No response	4	0.4	4	0.6
	Prosecution	81	8.3	74	10.5
	Criminal	105	10.8	84	11.9
	Mixed criminal & civil	201	20.7	166	23.6
	Civil	490	50.4	322	45.8
	Other	91	9.4	53	7.5
Location of Practice					
	No response	5	0.5	5	0.7
	First District	94	9.7	41	5.8
	Second District	20	2.1	13	1.8
	Third District	716	73.7	552	78.5
	Fourth District	88	9.1	69	9.8
	Outside Alaska	49	5.0	23	3.3
Gender					
	No response	9	0.9	6	0.9
	Male	571	58.7	407	57.9
	Female	392	40.3	290	41.3

Instrumentation

The survey contained the names of the applicants for the vacancy, questions about demographic information for each respondent, six evaluation items for each applicant, and space for respondents to provide additional comments regarding each applicant. Some applicants applied for more than one vacancy; in these cases, the six evaluation items and space for comments appeared separately for each vacancy and respondents were asked to rate the applicant and comment based on each specific vacancy.

Both versions of the survey required a certification by the respondent that they had rated the applicants as required by the bar’s Professional Rule 8.2. Specific instructions regarding the certification were provided:

“Please refer to Professional Conduct Rule 8.2 concerning your obligation to provide truthful and candid opinions on the qualifications or integrity of these applicants.”

Respondents evaluated applicants in six areas of performance included in the survey using a five-point Likert scale that ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5). Detailed descriptions of the meaning of each point on the Likert scale were provided for each of the performance areas. The scale and instructions for respondents were:

“Please rate the applicant on each of the following qualities by selecting the number that best represents your evaluation. Applicants should be evaluated on each quality separately. Use the ends of the scales as well as the middle. The tendency to rate an applicant “excellent” or “poor” on every trait should be avoided since each person has strengths and weaknesses. If you cannot rate the applicant on any one quality, leave that one blank.”

	(1) <i>Poor</i>	(2) <i>Deficient</i>	(3) <i>Acceptable</i>	(4) <i>Good</i>	(5) <i>Excellent</i>
<i>Professional Competence</i>	Lacking in knowledge and/or effectiveness	Below-average performance occasionally	Possesses sufficient knowledge and required skills	Usually knowledgeable and effective	Meets the highest standards for knowledge and effectiveness
<i>Integrity</i>	Unconcerned with propriety and/or appearance, or acts in violation of codes of professional conduct	Appears lacking in knowledge of professional codes of conduct and/or unconcerned with propriety or appearance at times	Follows codes of professional conduct, respects propriety and appearance of propriety at all times	Above-average awareness of ethics, holds self to higher standard than most	Outstanding integrity and highest standards of conduct
<i>Fairness</i>	Often shows strong bias for or against some person or groups	Displays, verbally or otherwise, some bias for or against groups or persons	Free of substantial bias or prejudice against groups or persons	Above-average ability to treat all persons and groups impartially	Unusually fair and impartial to all groups
<i>Judicial Temperament</i>	Often lacks compassion, humility, or courtesy	Sometimes lacks compassion, humility, or courtesy	Possesses appropriate compassion, humility, and courtesy	Above-average compassion, humility, and courtesy	Outstanding compassion, humility, and courtesy
<i>Suitability of Experience</i>	Has little or no suitable experience	Has less than suitable experience	Has suitable experience	Has highly suitable experience	Has the most suitable experience for this position
<i>Overall Rating</i>	Has few qualifications for this position	Has insufficient qualifications for this position	Has suitable qualifications for this position	Has highly suitable qualifications for this position	Has exceptionally high qualifications for this position

Confidentiality and Data Safety

The survey introduction included a statement that reassured respondents of the confidentiality of their responses. Confidentiality is also a paramount concern at ISER and translated into specific procedures related to data security. Because data such as those collected through the judicial selection survey are of a sensitive nature, ISER has rigorous procedures to protect data. Specifically, paper surveys are kept in a lockable file cabinet located in a secure data storage room. Data are kept locked at all times except when being used for data entry or related purposes. Organizational policies and procedures highlight the requirement for confidentiality and ensure that only staff involved with the project have access to the data. Online data and data that have been entered from paper surveys are maintained on a secure server.

Assurance of Non-Duplicate Responding

To ensure that as few duplicates or invalid surveys as possible were received, clear instructions were provided to potential paper survey respondents regarding how to handle the survey booklets:

“A postage-paid business reply envelope is enclosed for the return of your completed evaluations. Place the completed survey inside the envelope marked “Confidential,” and seal the envelope. Then use the business reply envelope, being sure to sign in the space provided. The return envelope MUST BE SIGNED in order for your survey to be counted.”

Based on these instructions, ISER implemented procedures to ensure that only one survey was counted for each respondent. For the surveys returned without a name on the outside envelope, the envelope was opened to ascertain whether the individual signed the comment section. No paper surveys were returned without a name on the outside of the envelope. Signed names on the envelopes were compared to the mailing list, ensuring the individual was an eligible participant. Each individual’s unique identifier was entered with the data, providing the ability to check for duplication with the completed online surveys. No surveys were signed by individuals who were not on the mailing list.

For the online data collection, each potential respondent was provided with a unique URL that could only be used once. After merging online and entered paper data, ISER analyzed frequencies of the unique identifier variable to identify any duplicate responses. No duplicate surveys were identified. Had any duplicates been identified, the most complete survey data would have been retained and the duplicate removed, ensuring that only one survey per respondent was used in the data analysis.

Data Management

With the goal of virtually error-free data handling, ISER implemented rigorous data entry procedures to ensure the accuracy of data entry. Paper survey responses were verified by one staff and later entered by the second staff. Paper data was entered using an electronic system similar to the online survey that prevents out-of-range responses. After the paper survey were entered, the first staff member verified all entries and corrected any mistakes, using paper data as verification. Online and paper data were downloaded from the survey website and imported into SPSS for analysis. The paper survey responses were merged with the online responses to create one data file of all responses.

Results

Two sets of results are presented in this section of the report. First, respondents’ level of experience with each applicant rated is shown. Then, a summary table presents the ratings and comparisons of the applicants. Many of the cross tabulations yield results based on small numbers of respondents. Results based on small numbers of respondents should be regarded with caution and more weight given to the overall results.

Respondents’ Level of Experience with Each Applicant

All respondents were asked to describe the basis of their evaluation for each applicant they rated, with options of direct professional experience, professional reputation, and other personal contacts.

Table 3 shows the type of experience of respondents for each applicant.

Ratings of Applicants

In the tables that follow, responses to the rating questions are shown in a variety of ways. Most tables show the number of respondents (*n*) and the average rating (*M*). Tables 4-10 present details on the *Overall* item. Table 4 compares all applicants to those with direct professional experience and includes the median rating (*Mdn*) and the standard deviation (*SD*) in addition to number of respondents and average. Tables 5-10 present data only from those respondents who indicated direct professional experience. Table 5 provides the distribution of responses. Table 6 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' type of practice. Table 7 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' length of Alaska practice. Table 8 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' type of caseload handled. Table 9 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' location of practice. Table 10 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' gender.

For each individual applicant, Tables 11-32 provide a demographics summary of respondents and detailed information on ratings provided by respondent characteristic.

Table 3
Level of Experience with Applicants

	<i>n</i>	Percent of Respondents Basing Ratings on...			
		% of all respondents who rated applicant	Direct Professional Experience	Professional Reputation	Other Personal Contacts
Richard Kenneth Allen	187	19.2	79.7	16.6	3.7
John C. Cagle	121	12.4	90.9	6.6	2.5
Tara Logsdon	119	12.2	84.0	9.2	6.7
Douglas C. Perkins	124	12.8	73.4	19.4	7.3
Peter R. Ramgren	160	16.5	81.3	11.9	6.9
Christina Rankin	85	8.7	65.9	15.3	18.8
Nicholas Spiropoulos	87	9.0	73.6	21.8	4.6
Kristen C. Stohler	81	8.3	61.7	23.5	14.8
Shawn Traini	99	10.2	83.8	14.1	2.0
Andrew Weinraub	79	8.1	81.0	13.9	5.1
Melissa Wininger-Howard	83	8.5	91.6	6.0	2.4

Table 4
Summary of Overall Ratings

	All Respondents				Respondents with Direct Professional Experience			
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>
Richard Kenneth Allen	185	3.5	4.0	1.2	149	3.6	4.0	1.2
John C. Cagle	117	4.2	4.0	0.9	107	4.3	4.0	0.8
Tara Logsdon	117	3.5	4.0	1.3	99	3.5	4.0	1.2
Douglas C. Perkins	121	3.8	4.0	1.2	90	3.7	4.0	1.2
Peter R. Ramgren	157	4.2	4.0	1.0	127	4.2	4.0	0.9
Christina Rankin	83	4.3	5.0	1.0	55	4.3	5.0	1.0
Nicholas Spiropoulos	87	3.9	4.0	1.2	64	4.1	4.5	1.1
Kristen C. Stohler	80	4.1	4.5	1.1	50	4.1	5.0	1.2
Shawn Traini	98	3.7	4.0	1.1	83	3.8	4.0	1.0
Andrew Weinraub	77	3.2	3.0	1.1	63	3.2	3.0	1.1
Melissa Wininger-Howard	81	3.8	4.0	1.1	74	3.9	4.0	1.1

Table 5
Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating

	<i>n</i>	Poor		Deficient		Acceptable		Good		Excellent	
		<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
Richard Kenneth Allen	149	8	5.4	26	17.4	34	22.8	36	24.2	45	30.2
John C. Cagle	107	-	-	2	1.9	14	13.1	38	35.5	53	49.5
Tara Logsdon	99	4	4.0	23	23.2	20	20.2	23	23.2	29	29.3
Douglas C. Perkins	90	3	3.3	14	15.6	16	17.8	27	30.0	30	33.3
Peter R. Ramgren	127	3	2.4	5	3.9	13	10.2	52	40.9	54	42.5
Christina Rankin	55	2	3.6	1	1.8	5	9.1	18	32.7	29	52.7
Nicholas Spiropoulos	64	3	4.7	2	3.1	10	15.6	17	26.6	32	50.0
Kristen C. Stohler	50	2	4.0	4	8.0	7	14.0	10	20.0	27	54.0
Shawn Traini	83	-	-	9	10.8	22	26.5	26	31.3	26	31.3
Andrew Weinraub	63	2	3.2	16	25.4	20	31.7	17	27.0	8	12.7
Melissa Winger-Howard	74	2	2.7	7	9.5	16	21.6	22	29.7	27	36.5

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

Table 6
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice

	Private, solo		Private, 2-5 attorneys		Private, 6+ attorneys		Private, corporate employee		Judge or judicial officer		Government		Public service agency/org		Retired		Other		Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
Richard Kenneth Allen	14	4.1	8	3.5	4	4.5	2	4.0	22	4.1	88	3.3	-	-	2	4.0	8	3.8	3.6
John C. Cagle	8	4.4	4	4.0	4	4.5	-	-	16	4.1	70	4.4	-	-	1	4.0	3	3.7	4.3
Tara Logsdon	25	4.0	16	3.4	4	2.8	-	-	15	4.2	27	2.5	2	4.5	3	4.7	7	3.9	3.5
Douglas C. Perkins	20	3.9	23	3.4	13	3.8	5	4.6	10	3.9	8	3.3	-	-	1	2.0	9	4.3	3.7
Peter R. Ramgren	13	4.0	10	4.1	5	4.6	3	3.7	31	4.4	57	4.1	-	-	-	-	6	4.2	4.2
Christina Rankin	6	3.5	15	4.7	10	4.5	-	-	6	4.3	11	4.3	1	4.0	2	4.5	4	3.5	4.3
Nicholas Spiropoulos	11	3.9	11	4.2	12	3.8	1	5.0	8	4.1	13	4.5	-	-	2	3.5	5	4.4	4.1
Kristen C. Stohler	8	4.6	7	4.1	2	2.5	-	-	8	4.6	20	3.9	-	-	2	4.5	3	3.7	4.1
Shawn Traini	13	4.1	7	3.4	7	4.0	-	-	11	3.7	37	3.9	-	-	2	2.5	5	3.6	3.8
Andrew Weinraub	7	3.6	5	3.6	3	4.7	-	-	10	3.6	33	2.9	-	-	2	2.5	3	2.7	3.2
Melissa Wininger-Howard	8	3.0	7	3.3	4	3.8	-	-	8	4.3	43	4.0	-	-	2	5.0	1	3.0	3.9

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

Table 7
Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Alaska Practice

	5 years or fewer		6 to 10 years		11 to 15 years		16 to 20 years		21 years or more		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Richard Kenneth Allen	22	3.5	30	3.1	31	3.5	18	3.9	47	3.8	3.6
John C. Cagle	16	4.5	29	4.6	21	4.3	10	4.1	30	4.1	4.3
Tara Logsdon	14	3.2	15	3.3	16	3.4	12	2.8	42	3.9	3.5
Douglas C. Perkins	3	2.7	6	3.7	7	3.4	7	3.4	64	3.8	3.7
Peter R. Ramgren	7	4.4	23	4.5	29	4.3	13	3.8	53	4.0	4.2
Christina Rankin	7	4.7	2	4.5	10	4.4	8	4.6	28	4.0	4.3
Nicholas Spiropoulos	2	5.0	3	3.7	7	4.1	9	4.4	41	4.0	4.1
Kristen C. Stohler	10	4.0	6	3.8	10	4.4	6	4.0	18	4.2	4.1
Shawn Traini	12	3.6	13	3.7	14	4.1	12	3.8	31	3.8	3.8
Andrew Weinraub	11	2.6	12	2.9	12	3.2	9	3.4	19	3.6	3.2
Melissa Winger-Howard	10	3.8	15	4.5	15	3.7	12	3.7	21	3.7	3.9

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

Table 8
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Caseload Handled

	Prosecution		Criminal		Mixed criminal/civil		Civil		Other		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Richard Kenneth Allen	35	2.7	41	3.9	47	3.7	22	3.7	3	4.3	3.6
John C. Cagle	35	4.5	27	4.3	32	4.1	9	4.4	3	4.7	4.3
Tara Logsdon	7	2.0	9	2.7	42	3.8	34	3.6	7	4.0	3.5
Douglas C. Perkins	1	3.0	2	3.5	23	3.8	54	3.7	9	3.8	3.7
Peter R. Ramgren	18	4.3	14	4.4	47	4.3	41	3.8	6	4.5	4.2
Christina Rankin	1	5.0	2	3.0	12	4.6	36	4.3	4	4.0	4.3
Nicholas Spiropoulos	-	-	4	3.8	20	3.8	35	4.4	4	4.3	4.1
Kristen C. Stohler	4	3.5	6	5.0	23	4.1	15	3.9	2	5.0	4.1
Shawn Traini	20	3.9	19	3.9	31	3.8	11	3.6	1	2.0	3.8
Andrew Weinraub	10	2.4	15	3.3	26	3.4	10	3.3	2	3.0	3.2
Melissa Winger-Howard	20	4.4	16	3.8	25	3.5	8	3.6	4	4.3	3.9

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

Table 9
Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Practice

	First District		Second District		Third District		Fourth District		Outside Alaska		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Richard Kenneth Allen	4	4.0	-	-	135	3.5	7	4.4	2	5.0	3.6
John C. Cagle	2	4.5	2	4.5	97	4.3	3	4.7	1	5.0	4.3
Tara Logsdon	2	3.5	2	4.0	90	3.5	2	5.0	3	3.3	3.5
Douglas C. Perkins	1	4.0	-	-	85	3.8	1	1.0	2	4.0	3.7
Peter R. Ramgren	1	5.0	1	4.0	116	4.2	6	4.7	2	3.5	4.2
Christina Rankin	2	5.0	-	-	48	4.2	5	4.6	-	-	4.3
Nicholas Spiropoulos	3	4.0	1	5.0	52	4.1	8	4.5	-	-	4.1
Kristen C. Stohler	-	-	-	-	49	4.1	-	-	1	5.0	4.1
Shawn Traini	1	4.0	-	-	78	3.8	2	4.0	2	4.0	3.8
Andrew Weinraub	2	3.5	-	-	57	3.1	2	5.0	2	3.0	3.2
Melissa Wininger-Howard	1	4.0	-	-	70	3.8	1	5.0	2	4.5	3.9

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

Table 10
Mean Overall Ratings by Gender

	Male		Female		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Richard Kenneth Allen	80	3.7	68	3.4	3.6
John C. Cagle	63	4.4	43	4.3	4.3
Tara Logsdon	52	3.6	47	3.4	3.5
Douglas C. Perkins	64	3.9	25	3.4	3.7
Peter R. Ramgren	73	4.1	51	4.3	4.2
Christina Rankin	39	4.1	16	4.7	4.3
Nicholas Spiropoulos	40	4.0	22	4.4	4.1
Kristen C. Stohler	20	4.5	30	3.9	4.1
Shawn Traini	46	4.0	36	3.6	3.8
Andrew Weinraub	32	3.5	31	2.9	3.2
Melissa Winger-Howard	41	3.8	32	4.0	3.9

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

Table 11
Richard Kenneth Allen
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	%
All respondents	187	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	149	79.7
Professional reputation	31	16.6
Other personal contacts	7	3.7
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	138	92.6
Substantial amount of experience	59	39.6
Moderate amount of experience	55	36.9
Limited amount of experience	35	23.5
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.5
Private, solo	19	10.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	13	7.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	8	4.3
Private, corporate employee	3	1.6
Judge or judicial officer	25	13.4
Government	106	56.7
Public service agency or organization	-	-
Retired	2	1.1
Other	10	5.3
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	2	1.1
5 years or fewer	25	13.4
6 to 10 years	35	18.7
11 to 15 years	41	21.9
16 to 20 years	21	11.2
More than 20 years	63	33.7
Cases Handled		
No response	2	1.1
Prosecution	41	21.9
Criminal	46	24.6
Mixed criminal & civil	52	27.8
Civil	39	20.9
Other	7	3.7
Location of Practice		
No response	2	1.1
First District	4	2.1
Second District	-	-
Third District	172	92.0
Fourth District	7	3.7
Outside Alaska	2	1.1
Gender		
No response	2	1.1
Male	98	52.4
Female	87	46.5

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 12
Richard Kenneth Allen
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	187	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.5
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	149	3.6	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.6
Experience within last 5 years	138	3.7	3.7	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.6
Experience not within last 5 years	11	3.3	3.5	3.4	3.6	3.2	3.4
Substantial amount of experience	59	3.6	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.7	3.6
Moderate amount of experience	55	3.7	3.6	3.8	3.8	3.5	3.5
Limited amount of experience	35	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.5
Professional reputation	31	3.3	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.3
Other personal contacts	7	3.6	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.7	3.3
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	14	4.1	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	8	3.3	3.3	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.5
Private, corporate employee	2	3.5	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.5	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	22	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.2	3.7	4.1
Government	88	3.4	3.4	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.3
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	2	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0
Other	8	3.9	4.1	4.4	4.1	3.9	3.8
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	22	3.6	3.6	4.0	3.9	3.6	3.5
6 to 10 years	30	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.1
11 to 15 years	31	3.5	3.5	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.5
16 to 20 years	18	3.9	3.9	4.0	4.1	3.5	3.9
More than 20 years	47	3.8	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.8
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	35	2.8	3.0	3.3	3.3	3.0	2.7
Criminal	41	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.0	3.9
Mixed criminal & civil	47	3.8	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.6	3.7
Civil	22	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.7
Other	3	4.3	4.7	5.0	5.0	4.3	4.3
Location of Practice*							
First District	4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.3	4.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	135	3.6	3.6	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.5
Fourth District	7	4.4	4.4	4.7	4.4	4.1	4.4
Outside Alaska	2	5.0	4.5	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	80	3.8	3.9	4.1	4.0	3.8	3.7
Female	68	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.4	3.4

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 13
John C. Cagle
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	121	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	110	90.9
Professional reputation	8	6.6
Other personal contacts	3	2.5
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	105	95.5
Substantial amount of experience	39	35.5
Moderate amount of experience	53	48.2
Limited amount of experience	18	16.4
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.8
Private, solo	10	8.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	6	5.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	6	5.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	16	13.2
Government	78	64.5
Public service agency or organization	-	-
Retired	1	0.8
Other	3	2.5
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	1	0.8
5 years or fewer	18	14.9
6 to 10 years	29	24.0
11 to 15 years	26	21.5
16 to 20 years	13	10.7
More than 20 years	34	28.1
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.8
Prosecution	36	29.8
Criminal	32	26.4
Mixed criminal & civil	33	27.3
Civil	14	11.6
Other	5	4.1
Location of Practice		
No response	2	1.7
First District	3	2.5
Second District	2	1.7
Third District	110	90.9
Fourth District	3	2.5
Outside Alaska	1	0.8
Gender		
No response	1	0.8
Male	73	60.3
Female	47	38.8

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 14
John C. Cagle
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	121	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.2
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	110	4.2	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	105	4.2	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	5	4.0	4.8	4.8	4.4	4.2	4.2
Substantial amount of experience	39	4.4	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.6
Moderate amount of experience	53	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.3
Limited amount of experience	18	3.9	4.2	4.0	4.2	3.9	3.8
Professional reputation	8	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.0	3.1	3.0
Other personal contacts	3	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.3	3.3	3.3
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	8	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	4	4.0	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.0	4.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	4.0	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.0	4.5
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	16	4.2	4.6	4.4	4.4	3.9	4.1
Government	73	4.2	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.4
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0
Other	3	3.3	4.0	4.0	3.7	4.0	3.7
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	17	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.5
6 to 10 years	29	4.4	4.7	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.6
11 to 15 years	23	4.2	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.1	4.3
16 to 20 years	10	4.0	4.4	4.3	4.2	3.8	4.1
More than 20 years	30	4.0	4.5	4.3	4.3	3.9	4.1
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	36	4.3	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.5
Criminal	29	4.2	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3
Mixed criminal & civil	32	4.1	4.5	4.4	4.3	3.8	4.1
Civil	9	4.2	4.6	4.3	4.7	4.4	4.4
Other	3	4.3	4.7	4.7	5.0	4.3	4.7
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	4.5
Second District	2	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Third District	100	4.2	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.3
Fourth District	3	4.0	4.7	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.7
Outside Alaska	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	65	4.2	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.4
Female	44	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.0	4.3

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 15
Tara Logsdon
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	119	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	100	84.0
Professional reputation	11	9.2
Other personal contacts	8	6.7
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	94	94.0
Substantial amount of experience	35	35.4
Moderate amount of experience	41	41.4
Limited amount of experience	23	23.2
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.8
Private, solo	28	23.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	18	15.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	6	5.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	18	15.1
Government	35	29.4
Public service agency or organization	3	2.5
Retired	3	2.5
Other	7	5.9
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	1	0.8
5 years or fewer	15	12.6
6 to 10 years	18	15.1
11 to 15 years	18	15.1
16 to 20 years	15	12.6
More than 20 years	52	43.7
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.8
Prosecution	8	6.7
Criminal	10	8.4
Mixed criminal & civil	48	40.3
Civil	44	37.0
Other	8	6.7
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	4	3.4
Second District	2	1.7
Third District	106	89.1
Fourth District	4	3.4
Outside Alaska	3	2.5
Gender		
No response	1	0.8
Male	61	51.3
Female	57	47.9

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 16
Tara Logsdon
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	119	3.5	4.0	3.9	3.7	3.5	3.5
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	100	3.5	4.0	3.9	3.7	3.5	3.5
Experience within last 5 years	94	3.4	4.0	3.9	3.7	3.5	3.5
Experience not within last 5 years	6	4.2	4.6	4.2	4.0	3.7	3.8
Substantial amount of experience	35	3.5	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.6	3.6
Moderate amount of experience	41	3.5	4.1	3.9	3.6	3.5	3.4
Limited amount of experience	23	3.5	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.4	3.5
Professional reputation	11	3.5	4.2	3.6	3.8	3.5	3.5
Other personal contacts	8	3.3	4.0	4.0	3.4	3.6	3.3
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	25	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.0	4.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	16	3.4	3.9	3.8	3.6	3.4	3.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	2.8	3.5	3.3	3.0	2.8	2.8
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	15	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.2
Government	27	2.5	3.6	3.3	3.0	2.6	2.5
Public service agency or organization	3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Retired	3	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.7	5.0	4.7
Other	7	3.6	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.9
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	15	3.2	4.1	3.7	3.4	3.2	3.2
6 to 10 years	15	3.3	3.8	3.8	3.5	3.3	3.3
11 to 15 years	16	3.4	4.1	4.1	3.9	3.6	3.4
16 to 20 years	12	2.7	3.3	3.0	3.2	2.7	2.8
More than 20 years	42	3.9	4.3	4.2	4.1	3.9	3.9
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	7	1.8	3.3	3.6	2.7	2.1	2.0
Criminal	9	2.7	3.8	3.3	3.0	2.7	2.7
Mixed criminal & civil	42	3.7	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.6	3.8
Civil	35	3.6	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.6	3.6
Other	7	3.7	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Second District	2	3.5	3.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.0
Third District	91	3.4	4.0	3.9	3.7	3.5	3.5
Fourth District	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0
Outside Alaska	3	3.3	3.7	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
Gender*							
Male	52	3.5	4.1	4.0	3.8	3.5	3.6
Female	48	3.4	4.0	3.7	3.7	3.4	3.4

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 17
Douglas C. Perkins
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	124	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	91	73.4
Professional reputation	24	19.4
Other personal contacts	9	7.3
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	64	71.1
Substantial amount of experience	20	22.2
Moderate amount of experience	36	40.0
Limited amount of experience	34	37.8
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.8
Private, solo	27	21.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	32	25.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	18	14.5
Private, corporate employee	6	4.8
Judge or judicial officer	12	9.7
Government	15	12.1
Public service agency or organization	-	-
Retired	1	0.8
Other	12	9.7
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	4	3.2
5 years or fewer	4	3.2
6 to 10 years	7	5.6
11 to 15 years	7	5.6
16 to 20 years	12	9.7
More than 20 years	90	72.6
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.8
Prosecution	1	0.8
Criminal	5	4.0
Mixed criminal & civil	25	20.2
Civil	82	66.1
Other	10	8.1
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.8
First District	1	0.8
Second District	-	-
Third District	117	94.4
Fourth District	2	1.6
Outside Alaska	3	2.4
Gender		
No response	1	0.8
Male	84	67.7
Female	39	31.5

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 18
Douglas C. Perkins
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	124	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.8
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	91	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.7
Experience within last 5 years	64	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.8
Experience not within last 5 years	26	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.7	3.6
Substantial amount of experience	20	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.7	3.8	3.7
Moderate amount of experience	36	4.1	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.8
Limited amount of experience	34	3.9	3.8	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.7
Professional reputation	24	4.3	4.2	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.2
Other personal contacts	9	3.7	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.6	3.6
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	20	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.8	4.0	3.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	23	3.6	3.3	3.6	3.3	3.5	3.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	13	4.2	4.2	4.0	3.7	3.9	3.8
Private, corporate employee	5	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.6
Judge or judicial officer	11	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.0	3.9
Government	8	3.9	3.3	3.4	3.7	3.3	3.3
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	1	4.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0
Other	9	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	3	3.7	3.0	3.5	2.7	3.3	2.7
6 to 10 years	6	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.3	4.0	3.7
11 to 15 years	7	3.8	3.6	3.7	4.2	3.5	3.4
16 to 20 years	8	3.7	3.4	3.7	3.3	3.6	3.4
More than 20 years	64	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.8
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Criminal	2	3.5	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.5
Mixed criminal & civil	23	4.1	3.8	3.8	3.9	4.0	3.8
Civil	55	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.7
Other	9	4.3	4.1	4.3	3.6	4.0	3.8
Location of Practice*							
First District	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	86	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.8
Fourth District	1	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	1.0
Outside Alaska	2	4.0	4.5	4.0	3.5	4.5	4.0
Gender*							
Male	64	4.2	4.0	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.9
Female	26	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.4

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 19
Peter R. Ramgren
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	160	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	130	81.3
Professional reputation	19	11.9
Other personal contacts	11	6.9
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	113	86.9
Substantial amount of experience	47	36.2
Moderate amount of experience	40	30.8
Limited amount of experience	43	33.1
Type of Practice		
No response	2	1.3
Private, solo	16	10.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	15	9.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	11	6.9
Private, corporate employee	3	1.9
Judge or judicial officer	35	21.9
Government	69	43.1
Public service agency or organization	-	-
Retired	1	0.6
Other	8	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	2	1.3
5 years or fewer	11	6.9
6 to 10 years	28	17.5
11 to 15 years	37	23.1
16 to 20 years	15	9.4
More than 20 years	67	41.9
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.6
Prosecution	22	13.8
Criminal	20	12.5
Mixed criminal & civil	52	32.5
Civil	56	35.0
Other	9	5.6
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.6
First District	2	1.3
Second District	1	0.6
Third District	146	91.3
Fourth District	6	3.8
Outside Alaska	4	2.5
Gender		
No response	3	1.9
Male	93	58.1
Female	64	40.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 20
Peter R. Ramgren
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	160	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	130	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2
Experience within last 5 years	113	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2
Experience not within last 5 years	17	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	47	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.2
Moderate amount of experience	40	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.1
Limited amount of experience	43	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.2
Professional reputation	19	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.2	3.9	4.1
Other personal contacts	11	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	14	4.0	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	10	3.9	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.0	4.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	4.2	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.6
Private, corporate employee	3	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.7	4.3	3.7
Judge or judicial officer	32	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
Government	58	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	6	3.8	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.0	4.2
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	8	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.4
6 to 10 years	24	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.5
11 to 15 years	29	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.3
16 to 20 years	13	3.9	3.8	4.1	3.8	3.7	3.8
More than 20 years	54	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.0
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	18	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.3
Criminal	14	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.4
Mixed criminal & civil	48	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
Civil	43	3.8	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.8
Other	6	4.3	4.7	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.5
Location of Practice*							
First District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	1	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Third District	118	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2
Fourth District	6	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7
Outside Alaska	3	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.5	4.0	3.5
Gender*							
Male	75	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.1
Female	52	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 21
Christina Rankin
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	85	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	56	65.9
Professional reputation	13	15.3
Other personal contacts	16	18.8
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	41	73.2
Substantial amount of experience	28	50.0
Moderate amount of experience	16	28.6
Limited amount of experience	12	21.4
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	7	8.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	17	20.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	18	21.2
Private, corporate employee	1	1.2
Judge or judicial officer	11	12.9
Government	24	28.2
Public service agency or organization	1	1.2
Retired	2	2.4
Other	4	4.7
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	9	10.6
6 to 10 years	6	7.1
11 to 15 years	21	24.7
16 to 20 years	13	15.3
More than 20 years	36	42.4
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	3	3.5
Criminal	5	5.9
Mixed criminal & civil	18	21.2
Civil	53	62.4
Other	6	7.1
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	2	2.4
Second District	-	-
Third District	78	91.8
Fourth District	5	5.9
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	53	62.4
Female	32	37.6

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 22
Christina Rankin
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	85	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.3
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	56	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	41	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	14	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.4
Substantial amount of experience	28	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	16	4.4	4.4	4.0	4.2	4.0	4.1
Limited amount of experience	12	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.2	3.8	4.3
Professional reputation	13	3.9	4.2	4.2	4.0	3.9	4.0
Other personal contacts	16	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.4
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	6	4.0	4.0	3.7	4.8	3.5	3.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	15	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	11	4.7	4.8	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.5
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	6	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.2	3.8	4.3
Government	11	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.0	4.1	4.3
Public service agency or organization	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0
Retired	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.5
Other	4	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.3	3.5
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	7	5.0	5.0	4.9	4.9	4.6	4.7
6 to 10 years	3	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	4.0	4.5
11 to 15 years	10	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.4
16 to 20 years	8	4.8	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.6
More than 20 years	28	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.1	3.9	4.0
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Criminal	2	3.5	3.0	3.0	2.5	2.5	3.0
Mixed criminal & civil	12	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.6
Civil	37	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.3
Other	4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	4.0	5.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	49	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.2
Fourth District	5	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.2	4.6	4.6
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	39	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.1
Female	17	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.7

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 23
Nicholas Spiropoulos
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	87	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	64	73.6
Professional reputation	19	21.8
Other personal contacts	4	4.6
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	46	71.9
Substantial amount of experience	19	29.7
Moderate amount of experience	25	39.1
Limited amount of experience	20	31.3
Type of Practice		
No response	1	1.1
Private, solo	16	18.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	13	14.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	17	19.5
Private, corporate employee	2	2.3
Judge or judicial officer	8	9.2
Government	22	25.3
Public service agency or organization	-	-
Retired	2	2.3
Other	6	6.9
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	2	2.3
5 years or fewer	3	3.4
6 to 10 years	3	3.4
11 to 15 years	12	13.8
16 to 20 years	15	17.2
More than 20 years	52	59.8
Cases Handled		
No response	1	1.1
Prosecution	2	2.3
Criminal	7	8.0
Mixed criminal & civil	23	26.4
Civil	48	55.2
Other	6	6.9
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	5	5.7
Second District	1	1.1
Third District	72	82.8
Fourth District	8	9.2
Outside Alaska	1	1.1
Gender		
No response	2	2.3
Male	48	55.2
Female	37	42.5

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 24
Nicholas Spiropoulos
Detailed Responses

	n	Professional Competence M	Integrity M	Fairness M	Judicial Temperament M	Suitability of Experience M	Overall M
All respondents	87	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.1
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	64	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.1
Experience within last 5 years	46	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	18	4.2	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.6	3.8
Substantial amount of experience	19	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.6	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	25	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.0	4.3
Limited amount of experience	20	4.0	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.7	3.8
Professional reputation	19	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.5
Other personal contacts	4	3.3	3.3	3.0	2.8	2.8	3.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	10	4.3	4.0	3.9	4.3	4.0	3.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	11	4.2	4.3	4.3	3.9	4.1	4.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	13	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.8
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	8	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.1	3.9	4.1
Government	13	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.5
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	2	4.5	4.0	3.5	3.0	3.5	3.5
Other	5	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.0	4.0	4.4
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
6 to 10 years	3	4.3	3.7	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.7
11 to 15 years	7	4.3	4.7	4.6	4.3	4.1	4.1
16 to 20 years	9	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.4	4.4
More than 20 years	41	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Criminal	4	4.3	3.8	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.8
Mixed criminal & civil	19	4.1	3.9	3.9	3.6	3.6	3.8
Civil	36	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4
Other	4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.5	3.8	4.3
Location of Practice*							
First District	3	4.7	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Third District	52	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.0	4.1
Fourth District	8	4.9	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.5
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	40	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.0	3.8	4.0
Female	22	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.4

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 25
Kristen C. Stohler
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	81	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	50	61.7
Professional reputation	19	23.5
Other personal contacts	12	14.8
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	48	96.0
Substantial amount of experience	27	54.0
Moderate amount of experience	16	32.0
Limited amount of experience	7	14.0
Type of Practice		
No response	1	1.2
Private, solo	13	16.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	11	13.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	4.9
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	12	14.8
Government	32	39.5
Public service agency or organization	2	2.5
Retired	3	3.7
Other	3	3.7
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	1	1.2
5 years or fewer	13	16.0
6 to 10 years	9	11.1
11 to 15 years	19	23.5
16 to 20 years	10	12.3
More than 20 years	29	35.8
Cases Handled		
No response	1	1.2
Prosecution	5	6.2
Criminal	15	18.5
Mixed criminal & civil	33	40.7
Civil	24	29.6
Other	3	3.7
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	77	95.1
Fourth District	2	2.5
Outside Alaska	2	2.5
Gender		
No response	1	1.2
Male	35	43.2
Female	45	55.6

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 26
Kristen C. Stohler
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	81	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.1
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	50	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1
Experience within last 5 years	48	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	27	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	16	4.3	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.8
Limited amount of experience	7	3.6	4.1	3.7	3.9	3.7	3.7
Professional reputation	19	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.7	3.9
Other personal contacts	12	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.6
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	8	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	7	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	2	4.0	4.5	3.5	3.5	2.5	2.5
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	8	4.6	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.5	4.6
Government	20	4.1	4.2	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.9
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.5
Other	3	4.0	3.7	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.7
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	10	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.0
6 to 10 years	6	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.8
11 to 15 years	10	4.4	4.6	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4
16 to 20 years	6	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2	3.8	4.0
More than 20 years	18	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.2
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	4	4.0	3.8	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5
Criminal	6	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Mixed criminal & civil	23	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.0	4.1
Civil	15	4.0	4.2	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.9
Other	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	49	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.1
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	20	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5
Female	30	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.0	3.8	3.9

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 27
Shawn Traini
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	99	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	83	83.8
Professional reputation	14	14.1
Other personal contacts	2	2.0
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	77	92.8
Substantial amount of experience	39	48.1
Moderate amount of experience	25	30.9
Limited amount of experience	17	21.0
Type of Practice		
No response	1	1.0
Private, solo	15	15.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	9.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	10	10.1
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	11	11.1
Government	46	46.5
Public service agency or organization	-	-
Retired	2	2.0
Other	5	5.1
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	1	1.0
5 years or fewer	12	12.1
6 to 10 years	19	19.2
11 to 15 years	16	16.2
16 to 20 years	16	16.2
More than 20 years	35	35.4
Cases Handled		
No response	1	1.0
Prosecution	22	22.2
Criminal	20	20.2
Mixed criminal & civil	34	34.3
Civil	20	20.2
Other	2	2.0
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	1	1.0
Second District	-	-
Third District	93	93.9
Fourth District	3	3.0
Outside Alaska	2	2.0
Gender		
No response	1	1.0
Male	57	57.6
Female	41	41.4

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 28
Shawn Traini
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	99	3.8	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.6	3.7
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	83	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.8
Experience within last 5 years	77	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.8
Experience not within last 5 years	6	4.0	3.8	3.8	4.0	3.7	3.8
Substantial amount of experience	39	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.1
Moderate amount of experience	25	3.7	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.6	3.8
Limited amount of experience	17	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.4
Professional reputation	14	3.2	3.2	3.1	3.3	3.1	3.0
Other personal contacts	2	4.0	3.5	3.0	2.5	3.0	3.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	13	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.2	3.9	4.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	7	3.7	3.4	3.3	3.6	3.4	3.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	7	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	11	4.1	4.5	4.4	4.2	3.5	3.7
Government	37	3.9	4.2	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.9
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	2	3.5	3.0	3.0	4.0	2.5	2.5
Other	5	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.4	3.6
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	12	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.6
6 to 10 years	13	4.1	3.9	3.8	4.0	3.7	3.7
11 to 15 years	14	4.0	4.2	4.0	4.3	3.8	4.1
16 to 20 years	12	3.7	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.7	3.8
More than 20 years	31	4.0	4.2	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.8
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	20	3.9	4.3	4.2	4.1	3.9	3.9
Criminal	19	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.7	3.9
Mixed criminal & civil	31	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.1	3.7	3.8
Civil	11	3.8	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.6
Other	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	2.0	2.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	78	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.8
Fourth District	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Outside Alaska	2	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Gender*							
Male	46	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.0	3.9	4.0
Female	36	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.6	3.6

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 29
Andrew Weinraub
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	79	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	64	81.0
Professional reputation	11	13.9
Other personal contacts	4	5.1
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	62	96.9
Substantial amount of experience	29	45.3
Moderate amount of experience	25	39.1
Limited amount of experience	10	15.6
Type of Practice		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	9	11.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	11.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	3.8
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	10	12.7
Government	42	53.2
Public service agency or organization	-	-
Retired	3	3.8
Other	3	3.8
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	14	17.7
6 to 10 years	15	19.0
11 to 15 years	15	19.0
16 to 20 years	11	13.9
More than 20 years	24	30.4
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	10	12.7
Criminal	20	25.3
Mixed criminal & civil	30	38.0
Civil	17	21.5
Other	2	2.5
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	2	2.5
Second District	-	-
Third District	73	92.4
Fourth District	2	2.5
Outside Alaska	2	2.5
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	40	50.6
Female	39	49.4

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 30
Andrew Weinraub
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	79	3.6	3.9	3.7	3.1	3.3	3.2
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	64	3.6	4.0	3.8	3.1	3.3	3.2
Experience within last 5 years	62	3.6	4.0	3.8	3.1	3.3	3.2
Experience not within last 5 years	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.0	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	29	3.8	4.1	3.8	3.1	3.6	3.3
Moderate amount of experience	25	3.4	3.6	3.6	3.1	3.2	3.0
Limited amount of experience	10	3.6	4.4	4.1	3.6	2.9	3.2
Professional reputation	11	3.4	3.6	3.1	3.1	3.5	3.2
Other personal contacts	4	3.5	3.8	3.8	3.0	3.0	3.3
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	7	3.9	4.1	4.0	3.6	3.4	3.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	5	4.2	4.0	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	5.0	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.7	4.7
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	10	4.1	4.3	4.3	3.4	3.4	3.6
Government	34	3.2	3.9	3.5	2.8	3.2	2.9
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	2	3.5	3.0	5.0	2.5	3.0	2.5
Other	3	3.0	3.3	3.3	3.0	2.7	2.7
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	12	3.6	3.6	3.8	2.5	3.0	2.6
6 to 10 years	12	3.1	3.7	3.4	2.9	3.1	2.9
11 to 15 years	12	3.5	4.2	3.7	3.1	3.3	3.2
16 to 20 years	9	3.8	4.3	3.8	3.6	3.3	3.4
More than 20 years	19	3.9	4.1	4.1	3.5	3.6	3.6
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	10	2.8	3.5	3.3	2.9	2.9	2.4
Criminal	15	3.4	4.1	3.6	3.0	3.4	3.3
Mixed criminal & civil	27	4.0	4.2	4.0	3.4	3.5	3.4
Civil	10	3.6	3.9	3.8	3.0	3.1	3.3
Other	2	3.5	3.5	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	3.5	5.0	4.5	4.0	3.5	3.5
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	58	3.6	3.9	3.7	3.1	3.3	3.1
Fourth District	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	2	3.5	3.5	3.5	2.5	3.0	3.0
Gender*							
Male	32	3.8	4.3	4.0	3.6	3.5	3.5
Female	32	3.4	3.6	3.5	2.7	3.1	2.9

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 31
Melissa Winger-Howard
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	83	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	76	91.6
Professional reputation	5	6.0
Other personal contacts	2	2.4
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	66	86.8
Substantial amount of experience	46	60.5
Moderate amount of experience	20	26.3
Limited amount of experience	10	13.2
Type of Practice		
No response	1	1.2
Private, solo	9	10.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	10.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	6	7.2
Private, corporate employee	1	1.2
Judge or judicial officer	8	9.6
Government	46	55.4
Public service agency or organization	-	-
Retired	2	2.4
Other	1	1.2
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	1	1.2
5 years or fewer	10	12.0
6 to 10 years	15	18.1
11 to 15 years	17	20.5
16 to 20 years	16	19.3
More than 20 years	24	28.9
Cases Handled		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	21	25.3
Criminal	17	20.5
Mixed criminal & civil	26	31.3
Civil	13	15.7
Other	5	6.0
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	1	1.2
Second District	-	-
Third District	79	95.2
Fourth District	1	1.2
Outside Alaska	2	2.4
Gender		
No response	1	1.2
Male	46	55.4
Female	36	43.4

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 32
Melissa Winger-Howard
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	83	3.9	4.2	3.9	3.9	3.7	3.8
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	76	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.9
Experience within last 5 years	66	4.0	4.3	3.9	4.0	3.7	3.9
Experience not within last 5 years	10	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	46	4.0	4.4	4.0	4.1	3.8	3.9
Moderate amount of experience	20	3.9	4.2	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.7
Limited amount of experience	10	4.1	4.2	3.9	3.6	4.1	4.0
Professional reputation	5	2.8	2.8	3.0	2.3	2.6	2.6
Other personal contacts	2	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	3.5	3.5
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	9	3.4	3.4	3.1	3.0	3.2	3.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	7	3.4	3.7	3.1	3.0	3.1	3.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	4.3	4.5	3.8	4.5	3.5	3.8
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	8	4.5	4.9	4.5	4.4	3.9	4.3
Government	44	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.1	3.9	4.0
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	2	4.5	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	1	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	10	4.1	4.6	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.8
6 to 10 years	15	4.4	4.7	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.5
11 to 15 years	16	4.0	4.3	3.9	3.9	3.7	3.7
16 to 20 years	12	3.7	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.4	3.7
More than 20 years	22	3.8	4.1	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.7
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	20	4.4	4.7	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4
Criminal	17	3.8	4.2	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.8
Mixed criminal & civil	26	3.8	4.1	3.7	3.6	3.3	3.5
Civil	8	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.4	3.6
Other	4	4.0	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.3	4.3
Location of Practice*							
First District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	4.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	72	4.0	4.3	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.8
Fourth District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	2	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.5
Gender*							
Male	42	3.9	4.2	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.8
Female	33	4.2	4.4	4.1	4.2	3.8	4.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.