



UAA Institute of Social
and Economic Research
UNIVERSITY *of* ALASKA ANCHORAGE

Alaska Judicial Council

Judicial Selection Survey

Anchorage District Court

Technical Report

Trang Tran, MPP, Research Professional

Rosylnd Frazier, M.S., Senior Research Professional

Kate Saft, Undergraduate Research Assistant

December 17, 2018

Funded by Alaska Judicial Council

<https://iseralaska.org/>

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Table 1: Mean Ratings of Applicants	2
Introduction.....	3
Methodology	3
Table 2: Respondent Characteristics.....	4
Instrumentation	5
Confidentiality and Data Safety.....	5
Assurance of Non-Duplicate Responding.....	6
Data Management	6
Results.....	6
<i>Summary Tables</i>	
Table 3: Level of Experience with Applicants	8
Table 4: Summary of Overall Ratings	9
Table 5: Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating	10
Table 6: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice	11
Table 7: Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Alaska Practice	12
Table 8: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Caseload Handled	13
Table 9: Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Practice	14
Table 10: Mean Overall Ratings by Gender	15
<i>Applicant Tables</i>	
Table 11: Samantha Cherot: Demographic Description of Respondents	16
Table 12: Samantha Cherot: Detailed Responses	17
Table 13: Craig S. Condie: Demographic Description of Respondents	18
Table 14: Craig S. Condie: Detailed Responses	19
Table 15: Serena Green: Demographic Description of Respondents	20
Table 16: Serena Green: Detailed Responses	21
Table 17: Doug Kossler: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	22
Table 18: Doug Kossler: Detailed Responses.....	23
Table 19: Donna J. McCready: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	24
Table 20: Donna J. McCready: Detailed Responses.....	25
Table 21: Jack McKenna: Demographic Description of Respondents	26
Table 22: Jack McKenna: Detailed Responses.....	27
Table 23: David A. Nesbett: Demographic Description of Respondents	28
Table 24: David A. Nesbett: Detailed Responses	29
Table 25: Peter R. Ramgren: Demographic Description of Respondents	30
Table 26: Peter R. Ramgren: Detailed Responses	31
Table 27: Shawn Traini: Demographic Description of Respondents	32
Table 28: Shawn Traini: Detailed Responses	33

Executive Summary

This report presents findings from a selection survey conducted among Alaska Bar Association members for 1 judicial vacancy on the Anchorage District Court created by the retirement of Judge Gregory J. Motyka. By the application deadline, the Alaska Judicial Council received a total of 9 applications from the following individuals (presented in alphabetical order): Samantha Cherot, Craig S. Condie, Serena Green, Doug Kossler, Donna J. McCready, Jack McKenna, David A. Nesbett, Peter R. Ramgren, and Shawn Traini.

The Alaska Judicial Council asked bar members to evaluate applicants on six characteristics: *Professional Competence, Integrity, Fairness, Judicial Temperament, Suitability of this Applicant's Experience for this Vacancy*, and *Overall*. The rating scale ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5).

Table 1 shows the mean ratings for each applicant by respondents with direct professional experience on all six characteristics.

Table 1
Mean Ratings of Applicants

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall Rating <i>M</i>
Samantha Cherot	114	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.2
Craig S. Condie	98	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1
Serena Green	48	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1	3.8	4.0
Doug Kossler	186	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.0
Donna J. McCready	154	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4
Jack McKenna	106	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.3
David A. Nesbett	92	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.2
Peter R. Ramgren	123	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
Shawn Traini	84	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.9

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

Judicial Selection Survey: Anchorage District Court

Introduction

The State of Alaska Constitution and laws mandate that the Alaska Judicial Council (Council) evaluate all applicants for a judicial vacancy. The Council nominates the two or more most qualified applicants to the governor who must appoint from the Council's list. As part of the information used to fulfill its mandate, the Judicial Council distributed surveys to Alaska Bar Association members and asked them to rate applicants on six characteristics: *Professional Competence, Integrity, Fairness, Judicial Temperament, Suitability of this Applicant's Experience for this Vacancy, and Overall*. Each survey also contained demographic questions about the respondents, including type of practice, length of Alaska practice, types of cases handled, primary location of practice, and gender.

To maintain objectivity, the Council contracted with the Institute of Social and Economic Research (ISER), a research institute at the University of Alaska Anchorage. ISER was responsible for all aspects of distribution and data collection related to the online version of the survey. Parallel paper surveys were printed and mailed by the Council but returned directly to ISER for processing, data entry, and analysis. ISER prepared this report summarizing survey procedures and results.

A single selection survey was conducted for the following judicial vacancies: Anchorage District Court, Kodiak Superior Court, Palmer Superior Court, and Utqiagvik Superior Court. This report presents the findings of the survey for Anchorage District Court, created by the retirement of Judge Gregory J. Motyka. By the application deadline, the Council had received a total of 9 applications from the following individuals (presented in alphabetical order): Samantha Cherot, Craig S. Condie, Serena Green, Doug Kossler, Donna J. McCready, Jack McKenna, David A. Nesbett, Peter R. Ramgren, and Shawn Traini.

Methodology

All active in-state members of the Alaska Bar Association were invited to participate in this selection survey. Inactive and retired members and active out-of-state members were also invited to participate in the survey if the Council had email addresses for them. Of the 3,280 individuals invited to participate, most individuals (3,258) received only an email invitation to complete the survey online. Twenty-two individuals received only a paper version of the survey and 34 individuals received both the paper and online versions of the survey.

Respondents initiated 1,004 online surveys. No surveys were excluded because the respondent answered "No" to the question certifying that they had complied with the ethical standards set out in Professional Rule 8.2; 34 surveys were excluded because the respondents did not progress far enough in the survey to reach the certification question; 9 surveys were excluded because the respondents did not answer any other questions but the certification question. No online surveys were returned by individuals who also completed a paper survey. Therefore, 961 online surveys qualified for analysis.

Respondents also returned 11 paper surveys. Attorneys are required to sign the paper surveys to verify that they are the person completing the survey. No paper survey was excluded because they were unsigned. No paper surveys were excluded because the respondents did not respond to the question certifying that they had complied with the ethical standards set out in Professional Rule 8.2. No paper surveys were returned by individuals who also completed the online survey. Therefore, 11 paper surveys qualified for analysis.

The final analysis included 961 online surveys and 11 paper surveys, for a total of 972 surveys and a survey return rate of 29.6%. Of the 972 returned surveys, 269 did not rate any of the 33 applicants (nine Anchorage

District Court applicants, six Kodiak Superior Court applicants, thirteen Palmer Superior Court applicants, five Utqiagvik Superior Court applicants); 703 (72.3%) respondents evaluated one or more applicants. Table 2 summarizes the demographic characteristics of the respondents.

Table 2
Respondent Characteristics

	All respondents	All Respondents		Respondents who Rated ≥ 1 Applicant	
		<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
		972	100	703	100
Type of Practice					
	No response	4	0.4	4	0.6
	Private, solo	191	19.7	119	16.9
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	131	13.5	97	13.8
	Private, 6+ attorneys	99	10.2	75	10.7
	Private, corporate employee	24	2.5	14	2.0
	Judge or judicial officer	88	9.1	77	11.0
	Government	293	30.1	238	33.9
	Public service agency or organization	25	2.6	15	2.1
	Retired	21	2.2	12	1.7
	Other	96	9.9	52	7.4
Length of Alaska Practice					
	No response	9	0.9	8	1.1
	5 years or fewer	119	12.2	87	12.4
	6 to 10 years	143	14.7	113	16.1
	11 to 15 years	127	13.1	101	14.4
	16 to 20 years	90	9.3	63	9.0
	More than 20 years	484	49.8	331	47.1
Cases Handled					
	No response	4	0.4	4	0.6
	Prosecution	81	8.3	74	10.5
	Criminal	105	10.8	84	11.9
	Mixed criminal & civil	201	20.7	166	23.6
	Civil	490	50.4	322	45.8
	Other	91	9.4	53	7.5
Location of Practice					
	No response	5	0.5	5	0.7
	First District	94	9.7	41	5.8
	Second District	20	2.1	13	1.8
	Third District	716	73.7	552	78.5
	Fourth District	88	9.1	69	9.8
	Outside Alaska	49	5.0	23	3.3
Gender					
	No response	9	0.9	6	0.9
	Male	571	58.7	407	57.9
	Female	392	40.3	290	41.3

Instrumentation

The survey contained the names of the applicants for the vacancy, questions about demographic information for each respondent, six evaluation items for each applicant, and space for respondents to provide additional comments regarding each applicant. Some applicants applied for more than one vacancy; in these cases, the six evaluation items and space for comments appeared separately for each vacancy and respondents were asked to rate the applicant and comment based on each specific vacancy.

Both versions of the survey required a certification by the respondent that they had rated the applicants as required by the bar’s Professional Rule 8.2. Specific instructions regarding the certification were provided:

“Please refer to Professional Conduct Rule 8.2 concerning your obligation to provide truthful and candid opinions on the qualifications or integrity of these applicants.”

Respondents evaluated applicants in six areas of performance included in the survey using a five-point Likert scale that ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5). Detailed descriptions of the meaning of each point on the Likert scale were provided for each of the performance areas. The scale and instructions for respondents were:

“Please rate the applicant on each of the following qualities by selecting the number that best represents your evaluation. Applicants should be evaluated on each quality separately. Use the ends of the scales as well as the middle. The tendency to rate an applicant “excellent” or “poor” on every trait should be avoided since each person has strengths and weaknesses. If you cannot rate the applicant on any one quality, leave that one blank.”

	(1) <i>Poor</i>	(2) <i>Deficient</i>	(3) <i>Acceptable</i>	(4) <i>Good</i>	(5) <i>Excellent</i>
<i>Professional Competence</i>	Lacking in knowledge and/or effectiveness	Below-average performance occasionally	Possesses sufficient knowledge and required skills	Usually knowledgeable and effective	Meets the highest standards for knowledge and effectiveness
<i>Integrity</i>	Unconcerned with propriety and/or appearance, or acts in violation of codes of professional conduct	Appears lacking in knowledge of professional codes of conduct and/or unconcerned with propriety or appearance at times	Follows codes of professional conduct, respects propriety and appearance of propriety at all times	Above-average awareness of ethics, holds self to higher standard than most	Outstanding integrity and highest standards of conduct
<i>Fairness</i>	Often shows strong bias for or against some person or groups	Displays, verbally or otherwise, some bias for or against groups or persons	Free of substantial bias or prejudice against groups or persons	Above-average ability to treat all persons and groups impartially	Unusually fair and impartial to all groups
<i>Judicial Temperament</i>	Often lacks compassion, humility, or courtesy	Sometimes lacks compassion, humility, or courtesy	Possesses appropriate compassion, humility, and courtesy	Above-average compassion, humility, and courtesy	Outstanding compassion, humility, and courtesy
<i>Suitability of Experience</i>	Has little or no suitable experience	Has less than suitable experience	Has suitable experience	Has highly suitable experience	Has the most suitable experience for this position
<i>Overall Rating</i>	Has few qualifications for this position	Has insufficient qualifications for this position	Has suitable qualifications for this position	Has highly suitable qualifications for this position	Has exceptionally high qualifications for this position

Confidentiality and Data Safety

The survey introduction included a statement that reassured respondents of the confidentiality of their responses. Confidentiality is also a paramount concern at ISER and translated into specific procedures related to data security. Because data such as those collected through the judicial selection survey are of a sensitive nature, ISER has rigorous procedures to protect data. Specifically, paper surveys are kept in a lockable file cabinet located in a secure data storage room. Data are kept locked at all times except when being used for data entry or related purposes. Organizational policies and procedures highlight the requirement for confidentiality and ensure that only staff involved with the project have access to the data. Online data and data that have been entered from paper surveys are maintained on a secure server.

Assurance of Non-Duplicate Responding

To ensure that as few duplicates or invalid surveys as possible were received, clear instructions were provided to potential paper survey respondents regarding how to handle the survey booklets:

“A postage-paid business reply envelope is enclosed for the return of your completed evaluations. Place the completed survey inside the envelope marked “Confidential,” and seal the envelope. Then use the business reply envelope, being sure to sign in the space provided. The return envelope MUST BE SIGNED in order for your survey to be counted.”

Based on these instructions, ISER implemented procedures to ensure that only one survey was counted for each respondent. For the surveys returned without a name on the outside envelope, the envelope was opened to ascertain whether the individual signed the comment section. No paper surveys were returned without a name on the outside of the envelope. Signed names on the envelopes were compared to the mailing list, ensuring the individual was an eligible participant. Each individual’s unique identifier was entered with the data, providing the ability to check for duplication with the completed online surveys. No surveys were signed by individuals who were not on the mailing list.

For the online data collection, each potential respondent was provided with a unique URL that could only be used once. After merging online and entered paper data, ISER analyzed frequencies of the unique identifier variable to identify any duplicate responses. No duplicate surveys were identified. Had any duplicates been identified, the most complete survey data would have been retained and the duplicate removed, ensuring that only one survey per respondent was used in the data analysis.

Data Management

With the goal of virtually error-free data handling, ISER implemented rigorous data entry procedures to ensure the accuracy of data entry. Paper survey responses were verified by one staff and later entered by the second staff. Paper data was entered using an electronic system similar to the online survey that prevents out-of-range responses. After the paper survey were entered, the first staff member verified all entries and corrected any mistakes, using paper data as verification. Online and paper data were downloaded from the survey website and imported into SPSS for analysis. The paper survey responses were merged with the online responses to create one data file of all responses.

Results

Two sets of results are presented in this section of the report. First, respondents’ level of experience with each applicant rated is shown. Then, a summary table presents the ratings and comparisons of the applicants. Many of the cross tabulations yield results based on small numbers of respondents. Results based on small numbers of respondents should be regarded with caution and more weight given to the overall results.

Respondents’ Level of Experience with Each Applicant

All respondents were asked to describe the basis of their evaluation for each applicant they rated, with options of direct professional experience, professional reputation, and other personal contacts.

Table 3 shows the type of experience of respondents for each applicant.

Ratings of Applicants

In the tables that follow, responses to the rating questions are shown in a variety of ways. Most tables show the number of respondents (*n*) and the average rating (*M*). Tables 4-10 present details on the *Overall* item. Table 4 compares all applicants to those with direct professional experience and includes the median rating (*Mdn*) and the standard deviation (*SD*) in addition to number of respondents and average. Tables 5-10 present data only from those respondents who indicated direct professional experience. Table 5 provides the distribution of responses. Table 6 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' type of practice. Table 7 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' length of Alaska practice. Table 8 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' type of caseload handled. Table 9 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' location of practice. Table 10 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' gender.

For each individual applicant, Tables 11-28 provide a demographics summary of respondents and detailed information on ratings provided by respondent characteristic.

Table 3
Level of Experience with Applicants

	<i>n</i>	Percent of Respondents Basing Ratings on...			
		% of all respondents who rated applicant	Direct Professional Experience	Professional Reputation	Other Personal Contacts
Samantha Cherot	156	16.0	73.1	18.6	8.3
Craig S. Condie	111	11.4	88.3	9.9	1.8
Serena Green	90	9.3	53.3	22.2	24.4
Doug Kossler	232	23.9	80.2	13.8	6.0
Donna J. McCready	203	20.9	75.9	17.2	6.9
Jack McKenna	137	14.1	77.4	10.2	12.4
David A. Nesbett	136	14.0	67.6	19.9	12.5
Peter R. Ramgren	153	15.7	80.4	11.8	7.8
Shawn Traini	100	10.3	84.0	14.0	2.0

Table 4
Summary of Overall Ratings

	All Respondents				Respondents with Direct Professional Experience			
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>
Samantha Cherot	153	4.2	5.0	1.1	112	4.2	5.0	1.1
Craig S. Condie	110	4.1	4.0	1.1	98	4.1	4.5	1.1
Serena Green	89	4.1	4.0	1.1	48	4.0	4.0	1.1
Doug Kossler	227	4.0	5.0	1.2	182	4.0	5.0	1.2
Donna J. McCready	200	4.4	5.0	0.9	152	4.4	5.0	0.9
Jack McKenna	134	4.3	5.0	0.9	104	4.3	5.0	0.8
David A. Nesbett	134	4.0	4.0	1.0	92	4.2	4.0	1.0
Peter R. Ramgren	151	4.2	4.0	1.0	122	4.2	4.0	1.0
Shawn Traini	99	3.8	4.0	1.1	84	3.9	4.0	1.0

Table 5
Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating

	<i>n</i>	Poor		Deficient		Acceptable		Good		Excellent	
		<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
Samantha Cherot	112	4	3.6	7	6.3	13	11.6	29	25.9	59	52.7
Craig S. Condie	98	3	3.1	6	6.1	14	14.3	26	26.5	49	50.0
Serena Green	48	1	2.1	4	8.3	11	22.9	12	25.0	20	41.7
Doug Kossler	182	8	4.4	23	12.6	19	10.4	36	19.8	96	52.7
Donna J. McCready	152	2	1.3	7	4.6	13	8.6	38	25.0	92	60.5
Jack McKenna	104	-	-	4	3.8	13	12.5	31	29.8	56	53.8
David A. Nesbett	92	1	1.1	6	6.5	15	16.3	25	27.2	45	48.9
Peter R. Ramgren	122	4	3.3	4	3.3	14	11.5	44	36.1	56	45.9
Shawn Traini	84	1	1.2	6	7.1	22	26.2	24	28.6	31	36.9

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

Table 6
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice

	Private, solo		Private, 2-5 attorneys		Private, 6+ attorneys		Private, corporate employee		Judge or judicial officer		Government		Public service agency/org		Retired		Other		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Samantha Cherot	11	3.8	9	4.2	7	4.0	2	4.5	20	4.4	53	4.2	-	-	-	-	9	4.4	4.2
Craig S. Condie	24	3.7	13	4.0	3	3.7	-	-	18	4.5	30	4.3	1	4.0	4	5.0	5	4.0	4.1
Serena Green	5	5.0	7	3.9	9	4.0	1	4.0	6	3.7	14	3.4	4	5.0	-	-	2	4.0	4.0
Doug Kossler	19	4.1	17	3.8	12	4.1	3	3.7	26	4.0	89	4.1	1	5.0	2	5.0	12	4.0	4.0
Donna J. McCready	30	4.5	22	4.6	10	4.7	3	4.0	31	4.6	40	4.0	-	-	1	5.0	13	4.6	4.4
Jack McKenna	7	4.6	6	4.0	12	3.9	2	4.5	10	4.5	60	4.4	1	5.0	1	5.0	4	4.3	4.3
David A. Nesbett	19	4.4	12	4.3	9	3.8	2	3.5	15	4.1	24	4.2	1	5.0	1	5.0	7	3.9	4.2
Peter R. Ramgren	14	4.0	11	4.0	5	4.2	3	4.0	30	4.5	50	4.1	-	-	-	-	7	4.3	4.2
Shawn Traini	14	3.9	5	3.2	7	4.0	-	-	13	4.0	38	4.1	-	-	2	3.5	4	3.5	3.9

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

Table 7
Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Alaska Practice

	5 years or fewer		6 to 10 years		11 to 15 years		16 to 20 years		21 years or more		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Samantha Cherot	13	4.6	24	3.9	18	4.3	9	3.3	46	4.3	4.2
Craig S. Condie	13	4.5	18	4.2	18	4.6	12	3.7	37	3.9	4.1
Serena Green	2	5.0	2	3.0	13	4.1	6	3.5	25	4.0	4.0
Doug Kossler	23	4.0	35	3.7	25	4.3	26	4.3	71	4.0	4.0
Donna J. McCreedy	8	4.5	13	3.8	17	4.5	15	3.5	97	4.6	4.4
Jack McKenna	6	5.0	30	4.2	25	4.3	9	4.1	32	4.3	4.3
David A. Nesbett	5	4.8	5	3.6	19	4.2	12	4.5	49	4.0	4.2
Peter R. Ramgren	8	4.1	20	4.5	26	4.4	12	3.7	54	4.1	4.2
Shawn Traini	10	4.0	14	4.0	14	4.1	12	3.8	33	3.8	3.9

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

Table 8
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Caseload Handled

	Prosecution		Criminal		Mixed criminal/civil		Civil		Other		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Samantha Cherot	10	3.1	30	4.6	32	4.3	32	4.1	7	4.1	4.2
Craig S. Condie	9	4.3	10	4.0	42	4.0	30	4.2	7	4.4	4.1
Serena Green	4	2.8	8	4.0	14	3.8	18	4.2	4	4.8	4.0
Doug Kossler	43	3.8	37	4.0	56	4.1	39	4.3	5	3.8	4.0
Donna J. McCready	8	2.9	17	4.5	49	4.5	65	4.5	11	4.2	4.4
Jack McKenna	29	4.2	23	4.5	21	4.2	27	4.4	3	4.7	4.3
David A. Nesbett	12	4.2	9	4.3	29	4.1	34	4.1	6	4.7	4.2
Peter R. Ramgren	15	4.3	14	4.6	45	4.4	41	3.7	6	4.5	4.2
Shawn Traini	22	4.1	18	3.6	34	4.0	8	3.9	1	3.0	3.9

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

Table 9
Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Practice

	First District		Second District		Third District		Fourth District		Outside Alaska		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Samantha Cherot	-	-	2	4.5	106	4.2	1	3.0	3	3.7	4.2
Craig S. Condie	1	5.0	2	5.0	89	4.1	3	4.7	3	4.0	4.1
Serena Green	1	4.0	-	-	46	4.0	-	-	1	4.0	4.0
Doug Kossler	4	4.8	1	5.0	158	4.0	12	4.3	4	4.8	4.0
Donna J. McCreedy	7	5.0	1	5.0	132	4.4	5	4.2	5	4.6	4.4
Jack McKenna	1	5.0	-	-	98	4.4	2	4.5	1	5.0	4.3
David A. Nesbett	2	4.5	-	-	86	4.2	2	3.0	1	5.0	4.2
Peter R. Ramgren	1	5.0	1	4.0	111	4.2	6	4.7	2	3.5	4.2
Shawn Traini	-	-	1	3.0	79	3.9	2	3.5	2	4.0	3.9

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

Table 10
Mean Overall Ratings by Gender

	Male		Female		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
Samantha Cherot	56	4.0	55	4.4	4.2
Craig S. Condie	52	4.0	46	4.3	4.1
Serena Green	21	3.8	27	4.1	4.0
Doug Kossler	108	4.0	71	4.0	4.0
Donna J. McCready	90	4.4	59	4.4	4.4
Jack McKenna	63	4.4	40	4.2	4.3
David A. Nesbett	55	4.2	34	4.2	4.2
Peter R. Ramgren	71	4.2	48	4.3	4.2
Shawn Traini	51	3.9	32	4.0	3.9

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

Table 11
Samantha Cherot
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	%
All respondents	156	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	114	73.1
Professional reputation	29	18.6
Other personal contacts	13	8.3
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	102	91.1
Substantial amount of experience	44	38.6
Moderate amount of experience	48	42.1
Limited amount of experience	22	19.3
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.6
Private, solo	16	10.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	15	9.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	15	9.6
Private, corporate employee	5	3.2
Judge or judicial officer	21	13.5
Government	69	44.2
Public service agency or organization	1	0.6
Retired	1	0.6
Other	12	7.7
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	2	1.3
5 years or fewer	17	10.9
6 to 10 years	33	21.2
11 to 15 years	26	16.7
16 to 20 years	10	6.4
More than 20 years	68	43.6
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.6
Prosecution	12	7.7
Criminal	39	25.0
Mixed criminal & civil	39	25.0
Civil	52	33.3
Other	13	8.3
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	2	1.3
Third District	146	93.6
Fourth District	3	1.9
Outside Alaska	5	3.2
Gender		
No response	1	0.6
Male	82	52.6
Female	73	46.8

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 12
Samantha Cherot
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	156	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.2
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	114	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.2
Experience within last 5 years	102	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.2
Experience not within last 5 years	10	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.8
Substantial amount of experience	44	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	48	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.2	3.9	4.1
Limited amount of experience	22	4.1	4.3	3.9	4.3	3.8	4.0
Professional reputation	29	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.0	4.1
Other personal contacts	13	4.7	4.9	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	12	3.8	4.4	4.2	4.3	3.9	3.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	8	4.3	4.3	3.9	3.9	4.0	4.0
Private, corporate employee	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.5
Judge or judicial officer	20	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.4
Government	53	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.0	4.2
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	9	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.0	4.4
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	14	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.6
6 to 10 years	24	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.2	3.7	3.9
11 to 15 years	18	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.3
16 to 20 years	9	3.7	3.4	3.6	3.7	3.3	3.3
More than 20 years	47	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.3
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	10	3.4	3.9	3.6	3.7	3.0	3.1
Criminal	30	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.6
Mixed criminal & civil	32	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.3
Civil	34	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.1
Other	7	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.5	3.8	4.1
Location of Practice*							
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	2	4.5	4.5	4.0	5.0	4.5	4.5
Third District	107	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.2
Fourth District	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Outside Alaska	4	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	3.3	3.7
Gender*							
Male	57	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.1	3.9	4.0
Female	56	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.2	4.4

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 13
Craig S. Condie
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	111	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	98	88.3
Professional reputation	11	9.9
Other personal contacts	2	1.8
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	91	92.9
Substantial amount of experience	41	41.8
Moderate amount of experience	35	35.7
Limited amount of experience	22	22.4
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.9
Private, solo	27	24.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	14	12.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	4.5
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	20	18.0
Government	33	29.7
Public service agency or organization	1	0.9
Retired	4	3.6
Other	6	5.4
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	1	0.9
5 years or fewer	13	11.7
6 to 10 years	19	17.1
11 to 15 years	21	18.9
16 to 20 years	14	12.6
More than 20 years	43	38.7
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.9
Prosecution	9	8.1
Criminal	11	9.9
Mixed criminal & civil	46	41.4
Civil	36	32.4
Other	8	7.2
Location of Practice		
No response	2	1.8
First District	2	1.8
Second District	99	89.2
Third District	5	4.5
Fourth District	3	2.7
Outside Alaska	2	1.8
Gender		
No response	1	0.9
Male	58	52.3
Female	52	46.8

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 14
Craig S. Condie
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	111	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	98	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1
Experience within last 5 years	91	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.2
Experience not within last 5 years	7	3.8	4.3	4.1	4.2	3.8	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	41	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2
Moderate amount of experience	35	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3
Limited amount of experience	22	3.6	4.0	3.8	3.9	3.6	3.8
Professional reputation	11	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.5
Other personal contacts	2	4.5	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.5	4.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	24	3.8	4.0	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	3.8	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	3.3	4.3	4.0	3.7	3.7	3.7
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	18	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.5
Government	30	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.3
Public service agency or organization	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Retired	4	4.5	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.8	5.0
Other	5	4.0	4.5	3.8	4.5	4.0	4.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	13	4.2	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
6 to 10 years	19	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.2
11 to 15 years	18	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.6
16 to 20 years	12	3.6	3.9	3.6	3.8	3.5	3.7
More than 20 years	36	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.9
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	9	4.1	4.6	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.3
Criminal	10	3.8	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.0
Mixed criminal & civil	41	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.0
Civil	31	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.1	4.2
Other	7	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.3	4.4
Location of Practice*							
First District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0
Third District	89	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1
Fourth District	3	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.7
Outside Alaska	3	3.7	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.0
Gender*							
Male	51	3.9	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.0
Female	47	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.3

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 15
Serena Green
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	90	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	48	53.3
Professional reputation	20	22.2
Other personal contacts	22	24.4
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	28	58.3
Substantial amount of experience	17	35.4
Moderate amount of experience	22	45.8
Limited amount of experience	9	18.8
Type of Practice		
No response	1	1.1
Private, solo	8	8.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	11	12.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	15	16.7
Private, corporate employee	3	3.3
Judge or judicial officer	14	15.6
Government	28	31.1
Public service agency or organization	6	6.7
Retired	2	2.2
Other	2	2.2
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	5	5.6
6 to 10 years	12	13.3
11 to 15 years	27	30.0
16 to 20 years	8	8.9
More than 20 years	37	41.1
Cases Handled		
No response	1	1.1
Prosecution	5	5.6
Criminal	15	16.7
Mixed criminal & civil	25	27.8
Civil	38	42.2
Other	6	6.7
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	1	1.1
Second District	-	-
Third District	87	96.7
Fourth District	1	1.1
Outside Alaska	1	1.1
Gender		
No response	1	1.1
Male	35	38.9
Female	54	60.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 16
Serena Green
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	90	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1	3.9	4.1
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	48	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1	3.8	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	28	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	20	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.1	3.5	3.8
Substantial amount of experience	17	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2
Moderate amount of experience	22	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Limited amount of experience	9	3.8	4.0	3.9	3.8	2.9	3.4
Professional reputation	20	4.1	4.1	4.2	3.9	3.7	3.9
Other personal contacts	22	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	5	5.0	5.0	4.8	4.8	5.0	5.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	7	3.9	4.1	3.7	3.9	3.7	3.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	9	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.0
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	6	3.8	4.2	4.3	4.2	3.2	3.7
Government	14	3.6	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.2	3.4
Public service agency or organization	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0
Retired	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	2	4.0	4.5	5.0	4.0	3.5	4.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0
6 to 10 years	2	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.5	2.5	3.0
11 to 15 years	13	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.3	3.8	4.1
16 to 20 years	6	3.7	3.8	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.5
More than 20 years	25	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.1	3.9	4.0
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	4	3.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	2.5	2.8
Criminal	8	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.1	3.8	4.0
Mixed criminal & civil	14	3.9	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.6	3.8
Civil	18	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
Other	4	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.8
Location of Practice*							
First District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	46	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.1	3.8	4.0
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0
Gender*							
Male	21	3.9	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.6	3.8
Female	27	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.1

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 17
Doug Kossler
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	232	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	186	80.2
Professional reputation	32	13.8
Other personal contacts	14	6.0
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	160	86.0
Substantial amount of experience	82	44.1
Moderate amount of experience	60	32.3
Limited amount of experience	44	23.7
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.4
Private, solo	24	10.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	23	9.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	19	8.2
Private, corporate employee	5	2.2
Judge or judicial officer	35	15.1
Government	102	44.0
Public service agency or organization	2	0.9
Retired	4	1.7
Other	17	7.3
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	2	0.9
5 years or fewer	25	10.8
6 to 10 years	38	16.4
11 to 15 years	35	15.1
16 to 20 years	35	15.1
More than 20 years	97	41.8
Cases Handled		
No response	2	0.9
Prosecution	45	19.4
Criminal	41	17.7
Mixed criminal & civil	69	29.7
Civil	66	28.4
Other	9	3.9
Location of Practice		
No response	3	1.3
First District	5	2.2
Second District	1	0.4
Third District	205	88.4
Fourth District	12	5.2
Outside Alaska	6	2.6
Gender		
No response	3	1.3
Male	130	56.0
Female	99	42.7

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 18
Doug Kossler
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	232	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.0
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	186	4.1	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	160	4.0	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	26	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.1
Substantial amount of experience	82	3.9	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.2	3.9
Moderate amount of experience	60	4.2	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.2
Limited amount of experience	44	4.2	4.6	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.1
Professional reputation	32	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.7	3.9	3.6
Other personal contacts	14	4.5	5.0	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	19	4.1	4.6	4.4	4.1	4.2	4.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	17	3.8	4.5	4.4	4.1	3.9	3.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	12	3.9	4.3	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.1
Private, corporate employee	3	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.0	4.3	3.7
Judge or judicial officer	27	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.0
Government	90	4.1	4.6	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.1
Public service agency or organization	2	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0
Retired	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0
Other	13	4.0	4.7	4.5	4.0	4.4	4.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	23	4.1	4.7	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.0
6 to 10 years	35	3.5	4.3	4.0	3.8	4.0	3.7
11 to 15 years	28	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.3
16 to 20 years	26	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.3	4.5	4.3
More than 20 years	72	4.0	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.0
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	43	3.9	4.5	4.1	4.0	4.1	3.8
Criminal	38	3.9	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.0
Mixed criminal & civil	58	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.1
Civil	40	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.3
Other	5	3.8	4.6	4.6	4.0	4.4	3.8
Location of Practice*							
First District	4	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.3	5.0	4.8
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	162	4.0	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.0
Fourth District	12	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
Outside Alaska	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.8
Gender*							
Male	108	4.0	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.0
Female	75	4.1	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 19
Donna J. McCreedy
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	203	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	154	75.9
Professional reputation	35	17.2
Other personal contacts	14	6.9
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	118	76.6
Substantial amount of experience	68	44.2
Moderate amount of experience	36	23.4
Limited amount of experience	49	31.8
Type of Practice		
No response	2	1.0
Private, solo	39	19.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	28	13.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	21	10.3
Private, corporate employee	4	2.0
Judge or judicial officer	39	19.2
Government	51	25.1
Public service agency or organization	-	-
Retired	1	0.5
Other	18	8.9
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	3	1.5
5 years or fewer	10	4.9
6 to 10 years	16	7.9
11 to 15 years	26	12.8
16 to 20 years	18	8.9
More than 20 years	130	64.0
Cases Handled		
No response	2	1.0
Prosecution	10	4.9
Criminal	23	11.3
Mixed criminal & civil	63	31.0
Civil	92	45.3
Other	13	6.4
Location of Practice		
No response	2	1.0
First District	8	3.9
Second District	1	0.5
Third District	180	88.7
Fourth District	6	3.0
Outside Alaska	6	3.0
Gender		
No response	3	1.5
Male	117	57.6
Female	83	40.9

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 20
Donna J. McCready
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	203	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	154	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4
Experience within last 5 years	118	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	35	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.6
Substantial amount of experience	68	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.6	4.4
Moderate amount of experience	36	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.3
Limited amount of experience	49	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.4
Professional reputation	35	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Other personal contacts	14	4.6	4.8	4.5	4.3	4.2	4.5
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	30	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.5	4.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	22	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	10	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.7
Private, corporate employee	3	4.0	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	31	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.6
Government	42	4.0	4.3	4.0	3.8	4.1	4.0
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	13	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.6
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	8	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.5
6 to 10 years	13	3.9	4.3	4.1	3.9	4.0	3.8
11 to 15 years	18	4.3	4.7	4.6	4.3	4.4	4.5
16 to 20 years	15	3.7	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.8	3.5
More than 20 years	98	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.6
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	8	3.3	3.5	3.0	3.3	3.3	2.9
Criminal	18	4.5	4.7	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.5
Mixed criminal & civil	49	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.5
Civil	66	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.5
Other	11	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.6	4.2
Location of Practice*							
First District	7	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.7	4.9	5.0
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	134	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4
Fourth District	5	4.0	4.4	3.8	3.6	3.8	4.2
Outside Alaska	5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.6
Gender*							
Male	92	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.4
Female	59	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.2	4.5	4.4

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 21
Jack McKenna
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	137	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	106	77.4
Professional reputation	14	10.2
Other personal contacts	17	12.4
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	94	88.7
Substantial amount of experience	37	34.9
Moderate amount of experience	48	45.3
Limited amount of experience	21	19.8
Type of Practice		
No response	1	0.7
Private, solo	7	5.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	10	7.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	16	11.7
Private, corporate employee	3	2.2
Judge or judicial officer	12	8.8
Government	80	58.4
Public service agency or organization	3	2.2
Retired	1	0.7
Other	4	2.9
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	1	0.7
5 years or fewer	11	8.0
6 to 10 years	41	29.9
11 to 15 years	34	24.8
16 to 20 years	13	9.5
More than 20 years	36	26.3
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.7
Prosecution	33	24.1
Criminal	25	18.2
Mixed criminal & civil	25	18.2
Civil	43	31.4
Other	10	7.3
Location of Practice		
No response	2	1.5
First District	2	1.5
Second District	-	-
Third District	130	94.9
Fourth District	2	1.5
Outside Alaska	1	0.7
Gender		
No response	1	0.7
Male	80	58.4
Female	56	40.9

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 22
Jack McKenna
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	137	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.3
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	106	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	94	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.4
Experience not within last 5 years	12	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.2
Substantial amount of experience	37	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	48	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.3
Limited amount of experience	21	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.2
Professional reputation	14	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.7
Other personal contacts	17	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	7	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.7	4.6	4.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	6	3.8	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.0	4.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	12	4.0	4.5	4.2	4.3	3.7	3.9
Private, corporate employee	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.5
Judge or judicial officer	10	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.5
Government	62	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.4
Public service agency or organization	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Retired	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	4	4.3	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.8	4.3
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	6	4.8	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
6 to 10 years	31	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.2
11 to 15 years	25	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.3
16 to 20 years	9	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.1
More than 20 years	33	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.3
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	29	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2
Criminal	25	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.5
Mixed criminal & civil	21	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.6	4.2	4.2
Civil	27	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.3	4.4
Other	3	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Location of Practice*							
First District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	100	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.4
Fourth District	2	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	65	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4
Female	40	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.1	4.2

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 23
David A. Nesbett
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	136	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	92	67.6
Professional reputation	27	19.9
Other personal contacts	17	12.5
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	70	76.1
Substantial amount of experience	41	44.6
Moderate amount of experience	30	32.6
Limited amount of experience	21	22.8
Type of Practice		
No response	2	1.5
Private, solo	25	18.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	17	12.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	18	13.2
Private, corporate employee	4	2.9
Judge or judicial officer	17	12.5
Government	37	27.2
Public service agency or organization	3	2.2
Retired	1	0.7
Other	12	8.8
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	3	2.2
5 years or fewer	5	3.7
6 to 10 years	11	8.1
11 to 15 years	29	21.3
16 to 20 years	15	11.0
More than 20 years	73	53.7
Cases Handled		
No response	4	2.9
Prosecution	17	12.5
Criminal	14	10.3
Mixed criminal & civil	34	25.0
Civil	58	42.6
Other	9	6.6
Location of Practice		
No response	3	2.2
First District	2	1.5
Second District	-	-
Third District	127	93.4
Fourth District	2	1.5
Outside Alaska	2	1.5
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	82	60.3
Female	50	36.8

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 24
David A. Nesbett
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	136	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.0	4.0
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	92	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.2
Experience within last 5 years	70	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	22	3.6	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.8
Substantial amount of experience	41	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	30	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.3	4.0	4.0
Limited amount of experience	21	3.7	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.7
Professional reputation	27	3.8	4.0	4.0	4.1	3.6	3.6
Other personal contacts	17	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.3	4.0	3.9
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	19	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.3	4.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	9	3.6	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.8
Private, corporate employee	2	3.0	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Judge or judicial officer	15	3.9	4.4	4.1	4.3	3.9	4.1
Government	24	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2
Public service agency or organization	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Retired	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	7	4.0	4.4	4.3	4.0	3.6	3.9
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	5	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
6 to 10 years	5	3.6	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.6	3.6
11 to 15 years	19	4.0	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2
16 to 20 years	12	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.5
More than 20 years	49	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.0	4.0
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	12	4.1	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.1	4.2
Criminal	9	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
Mixed criminal & civil	29	4.1	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.1
Civil	34	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1
Other	6	4.5	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.7
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	86	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.2
Fourth District	2	3.0	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.0	3.0
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*							
Male	55	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2
Female	34	4.1	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.2

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 25
Peter R. Ramgren
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	153	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	123	80.4
Professional reputation	18	11.8
Other personal contacts	12	7.8
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	108	87.8
Substantial amount of experience	47	38.2
Moderate amount of experience	40	32.5
Limited amount of experience	36	29.3
Type of Practice		
No response	2	1.3
Private, solo	17	11.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	15	9.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	11	7.2
Private, corporate employee	3	2.0
Judge or judicial officer	34	22.2
Government	62	40.5
Public service agency or organization	-	-
Retired	1	0.7
Other	8	5.2
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	2	1.3
5 years or fewer	11	7.2
6 to 10 years	24	15.7
11 to 15 years	33	21.6
16 to 20 years	14	9.2
More than 20 years	69	45.1
Cases Handled		
No response	1	0.7
Prosecution	19	12.4
Criminal	20	13.1
Mixed criminal & civil	51	33.3
Civil	54	35.3
Other	8	5.2
Location of Practice		
No response	1	0.7
First District	2	1.3
Second District	1	0.7
Third District	140	91.5
Fourth District	6	3.9
Outside Alaska	3	2.0
Gender		
No response	3	2.0
Male	88	57.5
Female	62	40.5

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 26
Peter R. Ramgren
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	153	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	123	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
Experience within last 5 years	108	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	15	3.6	3.9	3.6	3.7	3.8	3.6
Substantial amount of experience	47	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.1
Moderate amount of experience	40	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
Limited amount of experience	36	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2
Professional reputation	18	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.1
Other personal contacts	12	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.1
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	14	4.1	4.2	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	11	3.9	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	4.4	4.8	4.4	4.0	4.6	4.2
Private, corporate employee	3	4.0	4.3	3.7	4.0	4.7	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	30	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.5
Government	51	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	7	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.3
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	8	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.4	4.1
6 to 10 years	21	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5
11 to 15 years	26	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.4
16 to 20 years	12	3.6	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.6	3.7
More than 20 years	54	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	15	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.3
Criminal	15	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.6
Mixed criminal & civil	45	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4
Civil	41	3.8	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.7
Other	6	4.7	4.7	4.2	4.3	4.7	4.5
Location of Practice*							
First District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	1	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Third District	112	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
Fourth District	6	4.3	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Outside Alaska	2	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.5	4.0	3.5
Gender*							
Male	72	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
Female	48	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.3

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 27
Shawn Traini
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	100	100
Experience with Applicant		
Direct professional experience	84	84.0
Professional reputation	14	14.0
Other personal contacts	2	2.0
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	74	88.1
Substantial amount of experience	40	47.6
Moderate amount of experience	27	32.1
Limited amount of experience	17	20.2
Type of Practice		
No response	1	1.0
Private, solo	16	16.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	8	8.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	10	10.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	13	13.0
Government	46	46.0
Public service agency or organization	-	-
Retired	2	2.0
Other	4	4.0
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	1	1.0
5 years or fewer	10	10.0
6 to 10 years	19	19.0
11 to 15 years	18	18.0
16 to 20 years	16	16.0
More than 20 years	36	36.0
Cases Handled		
No response	1	1.0
Prosecution	23	23.0
Criminal	19	19.0
Mixed criminal & civil	37	37.0
Civil	18	18.0
Other	2	2.0
Location of Practice		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	1	1.0
Third District	94	94.0
Fourth District	3	3.0
Outside Alaska	2	2.0
Gender		
No response	1	1.0
Male	60	60.0
Female	39	39.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

Table 28
Shawn Traini
Detailed Responses

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	100	3.8	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.8
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	84	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.9
Experience within last 5 years	74	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	10	3.8	3.4	3.8	3.6	3.7	3.6
Substantial amount of experience	40	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.2
Moderate amount of experience	27	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.9
Limited amount of experience	17	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.6	3.7	3.4
Professional reputation	14	3.1	3.3	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.3
Other personal contacts	2	4.0	3.5	3.5	2.5	3.0	3.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	14	3.9	4.1	3.9	4.1	4.0	3.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	5	3.2	3.0	3.2	3.2	3.0	3.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	7	4.3	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	13	3.9	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.0
Government	38	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.1
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	2	3.5	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.5	3.5
Other	4	3.0	3.5	3.3	3.3	4.0	3.5
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	10	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.0
6 to 10 years	14	4.4	4.2	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.0
11 to 15 years	14	3.9	3.9	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.1
16 to 20 years	12	3.8	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.8
More than 20 years	33	3.8	4.0	4.0	3.9	4.1	3.8
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	22	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.1
Criminal	18	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.6
Mixed criminal & civil	34	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.0
Civil	8	3.9	3.8	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.9
Other	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	1	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0
Third District	79	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	3.9
Fourth District	2	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Outside Alaska	2	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Gender*							
Male	51	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.0	3.9
Female	32	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.9	4.0	4.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.