



**UAA** Center for Behavioral  
Health Research & Services  
UNIVERSITY *of* ALASKA ANCHORAGE

# **Alaska Judicial Council**

## **Judicial Selection Survey**

### **Kenai Superior Court**

### **Technical Report**

Maia Wen, B.A., Research Professional  
Katelyn Saft, Undergraduate Research Assistant  
Stacy Tanner, B.A., Graduate Research Assistant  
Bridget Hanson, Ph.D., Research Associate Professor

*April 5, 2018*

Funded by Alaska Judicial Council

---

<http://www.iser.uaa.alaska.edu/cbhrs/>

## Table of Contents

Executive Summary.....	1
Table 1: Mean Ratings of Applicants .....	2
Introduction.....	3
Methodology .....	3
Table 2: Respondent Characteristics .....	4
Instrumentation.....	5
Confidentiality and Data Safety .....	5
Assurance of Non-Duplicate Responding.....	6
Data Management.....	6
Results.....	6
<i>Summary Tables</i>	
Table 3: Level of Experience with Applicants.....	8
Table 4: Summary of Overall Ratings .....	9
Table 5: Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating .....	10
Table 6: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice .....	11
Table 7: Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Alaska Practice.....	12
Table 8: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Caseload Handled.....	13
Table 9: Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Practice.....	14
Table 10: Mean Overall Ratings by Gender .....	15
<i>Applicant Tables</i>	
Table 11: Martin C. Fallon: Demographic Description of Respondents .....	16
Table 12: Martin C. Fallon: Detailed Responses .....	17
Table 13: Lance Joanis: Demographic Description of Respondents .....	18
Table 14: Lance Joanis: Detailed Responses .....	19
Table 15: Scot H. Leaders: Demographic Description of Respondents .....	20
Table 16: Scot H. Leaders: Detailed Responses.....	21
Table 17: Tara Logsdon: Demographic Description of Respondents .....	22
Table 18: Tara Logsdon: Detailed Responses.....	23
Table 19: Daniel Schally: Demographic Description of Respondents .....	24
Table 20: Daniel Schally: Detailed Responses .....	25
Table 21: Gary L. Stapp: Demographic Description of Respondents .....	26
Table 22: Gary L. Stapp: Detailed Responses.....	27
Table 23: William W. Taylor: Demographic Description of Respondents .....	28
Table 24: William W. Taylor: Detailed Responses.....	29
Table 25: Thomas I. Temple: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	30
Table 26: Thomas I. Temple: Detailed Responses .....	31

## *Executive Summary*

This report presents findings from a selection survey conducted among Alaska Bar Association members for one judicial vacancy on the Kenai Superior Court created by the retirement of Judge Anna M. Moran. By the application deadline, the Alaska Judicial Council (Council) received a total of 8 applications from the following individuals (presented in alphabetical order): Martin C. Fallon, Lance Joanis, Scot H. Leaders, Tara Logsdon, Daniel Schally, Gary L. Stapp, William W. Taylor, and Thomas I. Temple.

The Alaska Judicial Council asked bar members to evaluate applicants on six characteristics: *Professional Competence*, *Integrity*, *Fairness*, *Judicial Temperament*, *Suitability of this Applicant's Experience for this Vacancy*, and *Overall Rating for this Position*. The rating scale ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5).

Table 1 shows the mean ratings for each applicant by respondents with direct professional experience on all six characteristics.

**Table 1**  
**Mean Ratings of Applicants**

		<b>Professional Competence</b>	<b>Integrity</b>	<b>Fairness</b>	<b>Judicial Temperament</b>	<b>Suitability of Experience</b>	<b>Overall Rating</b>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
<b>Martin C. Fallon</b>	64	3.8	4.4	4.1	4.2	3.8	3.7
<b>Lance Joanis</b>	71	4.0	4.2	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.9
<b>Scot H. Leaders</b>	84	4.2	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7
<b>Tara Logsdon</b>	63	3.8	4.2	4.3	4.0	3.7	3.7
<b>Daniel Schally</b>	125	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.4
<b>Gary L. Stapp</b>	54	3.1	3.1	3.4	3.3	3.1	3.0
<b>William W. Taylor</b>	48	3.8	3.5	3.2	2.9	3.5	3.2
<b>Thomas I. Temple</b>	54	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.8

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

# Judicial Selection Survey: Kenai Superior Court

## *Introduction*

The State of Alaska Constitution and laws mandate that the Alaska Judicial Council (Council) evaluate all applicants for a judicial vacancy. The Council nominates the two or more most qualified applicants to the governor who must appoint from the Council's list. As part of the information used to fulfill its mandate, the Judicial Council distributed surveys to Alaska Bar Association members and asked them to rate applicants on six characteristics: *Professional Competence, Integrity, Fairness, Judicial Temperament, Suitability of this Applicant's Experience for this Vacancy, and Overall Rating for this Position*. Each survey also contained demographic questions about the respondents, including type of practice, length of Alaska practice, types of cases handled, primary location of practice, and gender.

To maintain objectivity, the Council contracted with the Center for Behavioral Health Research and Services (CBHRS), a research workgroup at the University of Alaska Anchorage. CBHRS was responsible for all aspects of distribution and data collection related to the online version of the survey. Parallel paper surveys were printed and mailed by the Judicial Council but were returned directly to CBHRS for processing, data entry, and analysis. CBHRS prepared this report summarizing survey procedures and results.

A single selection survey was conducted for the following judicial vacancies: Anchorage Superior Court, Bethel Superior Court, Fairbanks Superior Court, Juneau Superior Court, Kenai Superior Court, and Bethel District Court. This report presents the findings of the survey for the Kenai Superior Court, created by the retirement of Judge Anna M. Moran. By the application deadline, the Council had received a total of 8 applications from the following individuals (presented in alphabetical order): Martin C. Fallon, Lance Joanis, Scot H. Leaders, Tara Logsdon, Daniel Schally, Gary L. Stapp, William W. Taylor, and Thomas I. Temple.

## *Methodology*

All active in-state members of the Alaska Bar Association were invited to participate in this selection survey. Inactive and retired members and active out-of-state members were also invited to participate in the survey if the Council had email addresses for them. Of the 3,367 individuals invited to participate, most individuals (3,295) received only an email invitation to complete the survey online. Thirty-two individuals received only a paper version of the survey and 40 individuals received both the paper and online versions of the survey.

Respondents initiated 939 online surveys. No surveys were excluded because the respondent answered "No" to the question certifying that they had complied with the ethical standards set out in Professional Rule 8.2; 34 surveys were excluded because the respondents did not progress far enough in the survey to reach the certification question. No online surveys were returned by individuals who also completed a paper survey. Therefore, 905 online surveys qualified for analysis.

Respondents also returned 24 paper surveys. Attorneys are required to sign the paper surveys to verify that they are the person completing the survey. One paper survey was excluded because it was unsigned. Five paper surveys were excluded either because the respondents answered "No" to the question certifying that they had complied with the ethical standards set out in Professional Rule 8.2 or because they left that question blank. No paper surveys were returned by individuals who also completed the online survey. Therefore, 18 paper surveys qualified for analysis.

The final analysis included 905 online surveys and 18 paper surveys, for a total of 923 surveys and a survey return rate of 27.4%. Of the 923 returned surveys, 165 did not rate any of the 62 applicants (19 Anchorage Superior Court applicants, seven Bethel Superior Court applicants, ten Fairbanks Superior Court applicants, ten Juneau Superior Court applicants, eight Kenai Superior Court applicants, and eight Bethel District Court applicants); 758 (82.1%) respondents evaluated one or more applicants. Table 2 summarizes the demographic characteristics of the respondents.

**Table 2**  
**Respondent Characteristics**

	All Respondents	Respondents who Rated ≥ 1 Applicant		
		<i>n</i>	%	
	<b>923</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>758</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>Type of Practice</b>				
No response	14	1.5	5	0.7
Private, solo	190	20.6	150	19.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	152	16.5	126	16.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	105	11.4	85	11.2
Private, corporate employee	24	2.6	16	2.1
Judge or judicial officer	83	9.0	78	10.3
Government	262	28.4	226	29.8
Public service agency or organization	35	3.8	29	3.8
Retired	49	5.3	35	4.6
Other	9	1.0	8	1.1
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>				
No response	45	4.9	28	3.7
5 years or fewer	117	12.7	92	12.1
6 to 10 years	108	11.7	90	11.9
11 to 15 years	98	10.6	83	10.9
16 to 20 years	107	11.6	89	11.7
More than 20 years	448	48.5	376	49.6
<b>Cases Handled</b>				
No response	17	1.8	11	1.5
Prosecution	58	6.3	55	7.3
Criminal	76	8.2	68	9.0
Mixed criminal & civil	217	23.5	191	25.2
Civil	494	53.5	391	51.6
Other	61	6.6	42	5.5
<b>Location of Practice</b>				
No response	15	1.6	6	0.8
First District	118	12.8	99	13.1
Second District	9	1.0	6	0.8
Third District	627	67.9	519	68.5
Fourth District	118	12.8	109	14.4
Outside Alaska	36	3.9	19	2.5
<b>Gender</b>				
No response	26	2.8	14	1.8
Male	529	57.3	424	55.9
Female	368	39.9	320	42.2

## *Instrumentation*

The survey contained the names of the applicants for the vacancy, questions about demographic information for each respondent, six evaluation items for each applicant, and space for respondents to provide additional comments regarding each applicant. Some applicants applied for more than one vacancy; in these cases, the six evaluation items and space for comments appeared separately for each vacancy and respondents were asked to rate the applicant and comment based on each specific vacancy.

Both versions of the survey required a certification by the respondent that they had rated the applicants as required by the bar’s Professional Rule 8.2. Specific instructions regarding the certification were provided:

*“Please refer to Professional Conduct Rule 8.2 concerning your obligation to provide truthful and candid opinions on the qualifications or integrity of these applicants.”*

Respondents evaluated applicants in six areas of performance included in the survey using a five-point Likert scale that ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5). Detailed descriptions of the meaning of each point on the Likert scale were provided for each of the performance areas. The scale and instructions for respondents were:

*“Please rate the applicant on each of the following qualities by selecting the number that best represents your evaluation. Applicants should be evaluated on each quality separately. Use the ends of the scales as well as the middle. The tendency to rate an applicant “excellent” or “poor” on every trait should be avoided since each person has strengths and weaknesses. If you cannot rate the applicant on any one quality, leave that one blank.”*

	(1) <i>Poor</i>	(2) <i>Deficient</i>	(3) <i>Acceptable</i>	(4) <i>Good</i>	(5) <i>Excellent</i>
<b><i>Professional Competence</i></b>	Lacking in knowledge and/or effectiveness	Below-average performance occasionally	Possesses sufficient knowledge and required skills	Usually knowledgeable and effective	Meets the highest standards for knowledge and effectiveness
<b><i>Integrity</i></b>	Unconcerned with propriety and/or appearance, or acts in violation of codes of professional conduct	Appears lacking in knowledge of professional codes of conduct and/or unconcerned with propriety or appearance at times	Follows codes of professional conduct, respects propriety and appearance of propriety at all times	Above-average awareness of ethics, holds self to higher standard than most	Outstanding integrity and highest standards of conduct
<b><i>Fairness</i></b>	Often shows strong bias for or against some person or groups	Displays, verbally or otherwise, some bias for or against groups or persons	Free of substantial bias or prejudice against groups or persons	Above-average ability to treat all persons and groups impartially	Unusually fair and impartial to all groups
<b><i>Judicial Temperament</i></b>	Often lacks compassion, humility, or courtesy	Sometimes lacks compassion, humility, or courtesy	Possesses appropriate compassion, humility, and courtesy	Above-average compassion, humility, and courtesy	Outstanding compassion, humility, and courtesy
<b><i>Suitability of Experience</i></b>	Has little or no suitable experience	Has less than suitable experience	Has suitable experience	Has highly suitable experience	Has the most suitable experience for this position
<b><i>Overall Rating</i></b>	Has few qualifications for this position	Has insufficient qualifications for this position	Has suitable qualifications for this position	Has highly suitable qualifications for this position	Has exceptionally high qualifications for this position

## *Confidentiality and Data Safety*

The survey introduction included a statement that reassured respondents of the confidentiality of their responses. Confidentiality is also a paramount concern at CBHRS and translated into specific procedures related to data security. Because data such as those collected through the judicial selection survey are of a sensitive nature, CBHRS has rigorous procedures to protect data. Specifically, paper surveys are kept in a lockable file cabinet located in a secure data storage room. Data are kept locked at all times except when being used for data entry or related purposes. Organizational policies and procedures highlight the requirement for confidentiality and ensure that only staff involved with the project have access to the data. Online data and data that have been entered from paper surveys are maintained on a secure server.

## ***Assurance of Non-Duplicate Responding***

To ensure that as few duplicates or invalid surveys as possible were received, clear instructions were provided to potential paper survey respondents regarding how to handle the survey booklets:

*“A postage-paid business reply envelope is enclosed for the return of your completed evaluations. Place the completed survey inside the envelope marked “Confidential,” and seal the envelope. Then use the business reply envelope, being sure to sign in the space provided. The return envelope MUST BE SIGNED in order for your survey to be counted.”*

Based on these instructions, CBHRS implemented procedures to ensure that only one survey was counted for each respondent. For the surveys returned without a name on the outside envelope, the envelope was opened to ascertain whether the individual signed the comment section. One paper survey was returned that had no signed name on the outside of the envelope and no signed comments. That survey was excluded from data entry and analysis and was not reflected in the total number of valid surveys received. Signed names on the envelopes were compared to the mailing list, ensuring the individual was an eligible participant. Each individual's unique identifier was entered with the data, providing the ability to check for duplication with the completed online surveys. No surveys were signed by individuals who were not on the mailing list.

For the online data collection, each potential respondent was provided with a unique URL that could only be used once, and only accessed from the e-mail address to which it was sent. After merging online and entered paper data, CBHRS analyzed frequencies of the unique identifier variable to identify any duplicate responses. No duplicate surveys were identified. Had any duplicates been identified, the most complete survey data would have been retained and the duplicate removed, ensuring that only one survey per respondent was used in the data analysis.

## ***Data Management***

With the goal of virtually error-free data handling, CBHRS implemented rigorous data entry procedures to ensure the accuracy of data entry. Paper data was entered using an electronic system similar to the online survey that prevents out-of-range responses. Before entry, all paper data was reviewed and cleaned prior to data entry. Then, a second staff member confirmed all data cleaning and entered the surveys. After the paper surveys were entered, the first staff member verified all entries and corrected any mistakes. Online data were downloaded from the survey website and imported into SPSS for analysis. The paper survey responses were merged with the online responses in SPSS to create one data file of all responses.

## ***Results***

Two sets of results are presented in this section of the report. First, respondents' level of experience with each applicant rated is shown. Second, ratings and comparisons of the applicants are provided in a variety of ways. Many of the cross tabulations yield results based on a very small numbers of respondents. Results based on small numbers of respondents should be regarded with caution and more weight given to the overall results for the applicant.

### ***Respondents' Level of Experience with Each Applicant***

All respondents were asked to describe the basis of their evaluation for each applicant they rated, with options of direct professional experience, professional reputation, and other personal contacts.

Table 3 shows the type of experience of respondents for each applicant.



## ***Ratings of Applicants***

In the tables that follow, responses to the rating questions are shown in a variety of ways. Most tables show the number of respondents (*n*) and the average rating (*M*). Tables 4-10 present details on the *Overall Rating* item. Table 4 compares all applicants to those with direct professional experience and includes the median rating (*Mdn*) and the standard deviation (*SD*) in addition to number of respondents and average. Tables 5-10 present data only from those respondents who indicated direct professional experience. Table 5 provides the distribution of responses. Table 6 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' type of practice. Table 7 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' length of Alaska practice. Table 8 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' type of caseload handled. Table 9 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' location of practice. Table 10 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' gender.

For each individual applicant, Tables 11-26 provide a demographics summary of respondents and detailed information on ratings provided by respondent characteristic.

**Table 3**  
**Level of Experience with Applicants**

	<i>n</i>	% of all respondents who rated applicant	Percent of Respondents Basing Ratings on...		
			Direct Professional Experience	Professional Reputation	Other Personal Contacts
<b>Martin C. Fallon</b>	75	8.1	85.3	12.0	2.7
<b>Lance Joanis</b>	79	8.6	89.9	7.6	2.5
<b>Scot H. Leaders</b>	94	10.2	89.4	9.6	1.1
<b>Tara Logsdon</b>	77	8.3	81.8	11.7	6.5
<b>Daniel Schally</b>	149	16.1	83.9	12.8	3.4
<b>Gary L. Stapp</b>	65	7.0	83.1	9.2	7.7
<b>William W. Taylor</b>	60	6.5	80.0	18.3	1.7
<b>Thomas I. Temple</b>	68	7.4	79.4	19.1	1.5

**Table 4**  
**Summary of Overall Ratings**

	<b>All Respondents</b>				<b>Respondents with Direct Professional Experience</b>			
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>
<b>Martin C. Fallon</b>	74	3.7	4.0	1.0	63	3.7	4.0	1.1
<b>Lance Joanis</b>	78	4.0	4.0	0.9	70	3.9	4.0	0.9
<b>Scot H. Leaders</b>	90	3.7	4.0	1.3	82	3.7	4.0	1.3
<b>Tara Logsdon</b>	76	3.6	4.0	1.2	62	3.7	4.0	1.2
<b>Daniel Schally</b>	147	4.4	5.0	0.9	124	4.4	5.0	0.9
<b>Gary L. Stapp</b>	64	3.1	3.0	1.2	53	3.0	3.0	1.2
<b>William W. Taylor</b>	58	3.2	4.0	1.4	47	3.2	4.0	1.4
<b>Thomas I. Temple</b>	62	3.6	4.0	1.1	49	3.8	4.0	1.0

**Table 5**  
***Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating***

	<i>n</i>	Poor		Deficient		Acceptable		Good		Excellent	
		<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
<b>Martin C. Fallon</b>	63	1	1.6	8	12.7	16	25.4	20	31.7	18	28.6
<b>Lance Joanis</b>	70	-	-	4	5.7	20	28.6	24	34.3	22	31.4
<b>Scot H. Leaders</b>	82	6	7.3	10	12.2	17	20.7	21	25.6	28	34.1
<b>Tara Logsdon</b>	62	3	4.8	8	12.9	11	17.7	20	32.3	20	32.3
<b>Daniel Schally</b>	124	2	1.6	2	1.6	14	11.3	31	25.0	75	60.5
<b>Gary L. Stapp</b>	53	4	7.5	17	32.1	14	26.4	12	22.6	6	11.3
<b>William W. Taylor</b>	47	8	17.0	8	17.0	7	14.9	14	29.8	10	21.3
<b>Thomas I. Temple</b>	49	-	-	6	12.2	12	24.5	16	32.7	15	30.6

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

**Table 6**  
**Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice**

	Private, solo		Private, 2-5 attorneys		Private, 6+ attorneys		Private, corporate employee		Judge or judicial officer		Government		Public service agency/org		Retired		Overall M
	n	M	n	M	n	M	n	M	n	M	n	M	n	M	n	M	
<b>Martin C. Fallon</b>	9	4.1	12	3.3	3	4.0	-	-	14	4.0	24	3.6	-	-	-	-	3.7
<b>Lance Joanis</b>	11	3.8	9	3.2	4	4.5	-	-	8	4.4	34	4.0	3	3.0	1	5.0	3.9
<b>Scot H. Leaders</b>	13	3.6	14	3.1	5	2.8	1	4.0	10	3.9	34	4.1	2	2.5	2	3.5	3.7
<b>Tara Logsdon</b>	17	3.9	9	3.2	5	3.8	1	4.0	17	3.9	10	4.0	-	-	3	2.7	3.7
<b>Daniel Schally</b>	15	4.3	24	4.5	9	4.1	-	-	34	4.6	30	4.2	4	4.3	6	4.8	4.4
<b>Gary L. Stapp</b>	6	3.0	11	2.9	8	3.6	1	5.0	10	3.0	10	2.6	2	3.0	4	2.5	3.0
<b>William W. Taylor</b>	3	3.0	7	3.6	2	1.0	-	-	11	2.7	22	3.5	-	-	2	4.5	3.2
<b>Thomas I. Temple</b>	6	3.3	10	4.3	3	4.0	1	4.0	12	3.8	13	3.8	2	4.0	1	3.0	3.8

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

**Table 7**  
**Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Alaska Practice**

	5 years or fewer		6 to 10 years		11 to 15 years		16 to 20 years		21 years or more		Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
<b>Martin C. Fallon</b>	8	3.1	12	3.3	12	3.7	4	3.5	26	4.2	3.7
<b>Lance Joanis</b>	7	3.6	9	3.8	18	3.8	9	4.0	27	4.1	3.9
<b>Scot H. Leaders</b>	11	3.5	9	3.3	11	3.7	14	3.6	36	3.8	3.7
<b>Tara Logsdon</b>	4	4.5	4	2.5	9	3.9	7	3.6	36	3.8	3.7
<b>Daniel Schally</b>	8	4.9	10	4.5	23	4.3	13	4.0	63	4.5	4.4
<b>Gary L. Stapp</b>	3	3.0	10	2.7	3	2.7	9	3.3	26	3.0	3.0
<b>William W. Taylor</b>	10	3.6	7	2.9	7	3.3	4	2.8	15	3.3	3.2
<b>Thomas I. Temple</b>	4	4.5	6	4.0	4	3.3	10	4.0	24	3.7	3.8

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

**Table 8**  
**Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Caseload Handled**

	Prosecution		Criminal		Mixed criminal/civil		Civil		Other		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<b>Martin C. Fallon</b>	9	3.7	15	3.5	25	3.7	14	4.1	-	-	3.7
<b>Lance Joanis</b>	8	4.1	9	3.8	23	3.8	26	4.0	4	3.8	3.9
<b>Scot H. Leaders</b>	20	4.9	13	2.3	32	3.5	16	3.5	1	5.0	3.7
<b>Tara Logsdon</b>	-	-	3	2.0	32	3.8	25	3.9	1	3.0	3.7
<b>Daniel Schally</b>	8	4.6	14	4.3	55	4.4	40	4.4	6	4.5	4.4
<b>Gary L. Stapp</b>	4	3.0	2	2.5	22	3.0	24	3.0	1	3.0	3.0
<b>William W. Taylor</b>	6	2.3	14	4.1	20	3.5	5	1.4	2	1.5	3.2
<b>Thomas I. Temple</b>	6	4.2	3	4.0	26	3.8	14	3.6	-	-	3.8

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

**Table 9**  
**Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Practice**

	First District		Second District		Third District		Fourth District		Outside Alaska		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<b>Martin C. Fallon</b>	2	2.5	1	5.0	58	3.8	1	3.0	1	3.0	3.7
<b>Lance Joanis</b>	7	3.1	1	5.0	49	3.9	12	4.2	1	5.0	3.9
<b>Scot H. Leaders</b>	5	3.4	1	4.0	67	3.7	8	3.6	1	3.0	3.7
<b>Tara Logsdon</b>	-	-	1	3.0	59	3.8	1	5.0	1	1.0	3.7
<b>Daniel Schally</b>	11	4.3	1	4.0	96	4.4	10	4.1	4	5.0	4.4
<b>Gary L. Stapp</b>	-	-	-	-	9	2.8	44	3.0	-	-	3.0
<b>William W. Taylor</b>	4	4.0	-	-	38	3.2	4	3.0	1	1.0	3.2
<b>Thomas I. Temple</b>	1	4.0	1	3.0	14	3.4	30	4.1	3	3.7	3.8

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.



**Table 10**  
**Mean Overall Ratings by Gender**

	Male		Female		Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
<b>Martin C. Fallon</b>	35	3.9	28	3.5	3.7
<b>Lance Joanis</b>	33	4.1	37	3.8	3.9
<b>Scot H. Leaders</b>	56	3.8	25	3.4	3.7
<b>Tara Logsdon</b>	34	3.8	28	3.6	3.7
<b>Daniel Schally</b>	75	4.3	47	4.5	4.4
<b>Gary L. Stapp</b>	28	3.4	25	2.6	3.0
<b>William W. Taylor</b>	24	3.5	23	2.9	3.2
<b>Thomas I. Temple</b>	28	4.0	21	3.6	3.8

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

**Table 11**  
**Martin C. Fallon**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>Experience with Applicant</b>		
Direct professional experience	64	85.3
Professional reputation	9	12.0
Other personal contacts	2	2.7
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	54	84.4
Substantial amount of experience	27	42.2
Moderate amount of experience	20	31.3
Limited amount of experience	16	25.0
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	11	14.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	16.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	4.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	19	25.3
Government	28	37.3
Public service agency or organization	-	-
Retired	-	-
Other	2	2.7
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	1	1.3
5 years or fewer	10	13.3
6 to 10 years	12	16.0
11 to 15 years	14	18.7
16 to 20 years	5	6.7
More than 20 years	33	44.0
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	2	2.7
Prosecution	10	13.3
Criminal	17	22.7
Mixed criminal & civil	30	40.0
Civil	15	20.0
Other	1	1.3
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
First District	2	2.7
Second District	1	1.3
Third District	68	90.7
Fourth District	3	4.0
Outside Alaska	1	1.3
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	-	-
Male	42	56.0
Female	33	44.0

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 12**  
**Martin C. Fallon**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall Rating <i>M</i>
All respondents	75	3.8	4.4	4.1	4.2	3.8	3.7
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>3.7</b>
Experience within last 5 years	54	3.8	4.4	4.2	4.2	3.8	3.8
Experience not within last 5 years	10	3.7	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.6
Substantial amount of experience	27	3.6	4.3	4.0	4.1	3.5	3.4
Moderate amount of experience	20	3.7	4.4	4.3	4.4	3.8	3.9
Limited amount of experience	16	4.0	4.4	4.1	4.0	4.1	3.9
Professional reputation	9	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.3	3.8	3.9
Other personal contacts	2	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.5
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	9	3.8	4.6	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	3.5	4.0	3.9	4.1	3.3	3.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	4.0	4.7	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	15	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.1	4.0
Government	24	3.7	4.4	4.0	4.1	3.6	3.6
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	1	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	8	3.6	4.6	3.9	4.4	3.3	3.1
6 to 10 years	12	3.4	3.8	3.6	3.8	3.4	3.3
11 to 15 years	12	4.0	4.5	4.1	4.0	3.5	3.7
16 to 20 years	5	3.4	4.3	4.3	3.5	3.8	3.5
More than 20 years	26	3.9	4.5	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.2
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	9	3.7	4.2	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.7
Criminal	15	3.4	4.4	3.7	4.1	3.5	3.5
Mixed criminal & civil	26	3.9	4.3	4.2	4.2	3.8	3.7
Civil	14	3.9	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.1
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	2	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.5	2.5	2.5
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	58	3.7	4.4	4.1	4.2	3.8	3.8
Fourth District	2	3.5	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.0	3.0
Outside Alaska	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	36	3.8	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.0	3.9
Female	28	3.7	4.2	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.5

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 13**  
**Lance Joanis**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>Experience with Applicant</b>		
Direct professional experience	71	89.9
Professional reputation	6	7.6
Other personal contacts	2	2.5
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	56	78.9
Substantial amount of experience	22	31.0
Moderate amount of experience	24	33.8
Limited amount of experience	25	35.2
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	11	13.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	11	13.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	6.3
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	8	10.1
Government	39	49.4
Public service agency or organization	3	3.8
Retired	2	2.5
Other	-	-
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	7	8.9
6 to 10 years	11	13.9
11 to 15 years	21	26.6
16 to 20 years	9	11.4
More than 20 years	31	39.2
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	11	13.9
Criminal	10	12.7
Mixed criminal & civil	23	29.1
Civil	31	39.2
Other	4	5.1
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
First District	8	10.1
Second District	1	1.3
Third District	56	70.9
Fourth District	13	16.5
Outside Alaska	1	1.3
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	-	-
Male	40	50.6
Female	39	49.4

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 14**  
**Lance Joanis**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall Rating <i>M</i>
All respondents	79	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.0
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>3.9</b>
Experience within last 5 years	56	4.0	4.2	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.9
Experience not within last 5 years	14	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2
Substantial amount of experience	22	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1
Moderate amount of experience	24	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.5
Limited amount of experience	25	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2
Professional reputation	6	4.4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.5
Other personal contacts	2	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	11	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.3	3.9	3.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	3.7	3.8	3.4	3.6	3.4	3.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	8	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.4
Government	35	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.0
Public service agency or organization	3	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.3	3.0	3.0
Retired	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	7	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.6
6 to 10 years	9	3.7	4.0	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.8
11 to 15 years	19	3.9	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.8
16 to 20 years	9	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.0	4.0
More than 20 years	27	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	8	4.3	4.5	4.3	3.9	4.1	4.1
Criminal	10	3.9	4.1	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.8
Mixed criminal & civil	23	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.8
Civil	26	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.0	4.0
Other	4	3.8	4.0	4.3	4.5	3.8	3.8
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	7	3.4	3.4	3.1	2.9	3.1	3.1
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	50	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.0	3.9
Fourth District	12	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.2
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	33	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1
Female	38	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.8

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 15**  
**Scot H. Leaders**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>Experience with Applicant</b>		
Direct professional experience	84	89.4
Professional reputation	9	9.6
Other personal contacts	1	1.1
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	73	86.9
Substantial amount of experience	43	51.2
Moderate amount of experience	24	28.6
Limited amount of experience	17	20.2
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	15	16.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	15	16.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	7	7.4
Private, corporate employee	1	1.1
Judge or judicial officer	12	12.8
Government	38	40.4
Public service agency or organization	2	2.1
Retired	3	3.2
Other	1	1.1
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	2	2.1
5 years or fewer	12	12.8
6 to 10 years	12	12.8
11 to 15 years	12	12.8
16 to 20 years	16	17.0
More than 20 years	40	42.6
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	22	23.4
Criminal	13	13.8
Mixed criminal & civil	35	37.2
Civil	22	23.4
Other	2	2.1
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
First District	6	6.4
Second District	1	1.1
Third District	76	80.9
Fourth District	10	10.6
Outside Alaska	1	1.1
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	1	1.1
Male	66	70.2
Female	27	28.7

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 16**  
**Scot H. Leaders**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall Rating <i>M</i>
All respondents	94	4.2	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.7</b>
Experience within last 5 years	73	4.2	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.7
Experience not within last 5 years	10	4.1	3.9	3.6	3.3	3.5	3.6
Substantial amount of experience	43	4.3	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7
Moderate amount of experience	24	4.1	3.8	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.6
Limited amount of experience	17	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.8
Professional reputation	9	4.0	3.7	3.5	3.7	4.0	3.9
Other personal contacts	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	13	4.3	4.1	3.6	4.2	3.5	3.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	14	3.7	3.2	3.1	3.4	3.5	3.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	3.4	3.0	2.8	2.6	3.0	2.8
Private, corporate employee	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	11	4.3	4.3	3.9	3.5	3.7	3.9
Government	34	4.4	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.1
Public service agency or organization	2	3.0	2.5	3.0	2.5	3.0	2.5
Retired	3	4.0	3.5	3.3	3.0	3.3	3.5
Other	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	11	4.7	3.6	3.5	4.1	3.8	3.5
6 to 10 years	9	4.0	3.7	3.6	3.2	3.6	3.3
11 to 15 years	11	4.4	4.0	3.5	3.9	3.8	3.7
16 to 20 years	15	3.9	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.6
More than 20 years	37	4.1	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.8
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	20	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.9
Criminal	13	3.3	2.5	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.3
Mixed criminal & civil	33	4.1	3.7	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.5
Civil	16	4.1	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.5
Other	2	5.0	5.0	3.5	5.0	3.5	5.0
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	5	4.6	3.6	3.4	3.4	3.8	3.4
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Third District	68	4.2	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.7
Fourth District	9	3.7	3.9	3.6	3.4	3.4	3.6
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	2.0	3.0	3.0
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	58	4.1	3.9	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.8
Female	25	4.2	3.6	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.4

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 17**  
**Tara Logsdon**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>Experience with Applicant</b>		
Direct professional experience	63	81.8
Professional reputation	9	11.7
Other personal contacts	5	6.5
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	56	88.9
Substantial amount of experience	23	36.5
Moderate amount of experience	22	34.9
Limited amount of experience	16	25.4
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	19	24.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	15.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	6.5
Private, corporate employee	2	2.6
Judge or judicial officer	21	27.3
Government	14	18.2
Public service agency or organization	-	-
Retired	4	5.2
Other	-	-
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	2	2.6
5 years or fewer	4	5.2
6 to 10 years	4	5.2
11 to 15 years	11	14.3
16 to 20 years	12	15.6
More than 20 years	44	57.1
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	1	1.3
Prosecution	-	-
Criminal	3	3.9
Mixed criminal & civil	37	48.1
Civil	35	45.5
Other	1	1.3
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
First District	1	1.3
Second District	1	1.3
Third District	71	92.2
Fourth District	3	3.9
Outside Alaska	1	1.3
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	-	-
Male	42	54.5
Female	35	45.5

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.



**Table 18**  
**Tara Logsdon**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall Rating <i>M</i>
All respondents	77	3.7	4.1	4.1	3.9	3.5	3.6
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.7</b>
Experience within last 5 years	56	3.8	4.2	4.2	4.0	3.6	3.7
Experience not within last 5 years	5	4.0	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.0	3.8
Substantial amount of experience	23	3.8	4.2	4.2	4.0	3.7	3.8
Moderate amount of experience	22	3.8	4.2	4.2	4.1	3.7	3.7
Limited amount of experience	16	3.9	4.3	4.4	4.1	3.5	3.6
Professional reputation	9	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.4	2.4
Other personal contacts	5	3.8	4.5	4.0	3.5	4.0	4.0
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	17	3.9	4.4	4.3	4.1	3.7	3.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	10	3.1	3.7	3.7	3.3	3.2	3.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	5	4.3	4.5	4.8	4.2	4.0	3.8
Private, corporate employee	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	17	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.2	3.8	3.9
Government	10	3.8	4.6	4.6	4.7	3.9	4.0
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	3	3.0	3.7	4.0	3.3	2.7	2.7
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	4	4.3	4.8	4.8	4.3	4.3	4.5
6 to 10 years	4	3.0	3.7	3.7	3.0	2.5	2.5
11 to 15 years	9	3.8	4.1	4.6	4.3	3.7	3.9
16 to 20 years	8	3.3	4.2	4.0	4.2	3.4	3.6
More than 20 years	36	3.9	4.2	4.2	4.0	3.7	3.8
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Criminal	3	2.3	3.3	3.3	2.3	1.7	2.0
Mixed criminal & civil	32	3.8	4.4	4.4	4.1	3.7	3.8
Civil	26	4.0	4.2	4.2	4.2	3.8	3.9
Other	1	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	1	3.0	2.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0
Third District	60	3.9	4.3	4.3	4.1	3.7	3.8
Fourth District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Outside Alaska	1	1.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	34	3.9	4.3	4.4	4.1	3.8	3.8
Female	29	3.7	4.2	4.1	4.0	3.5	3.6

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 19**  
**Daniel Schally**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>Experience with Applicant</b>		
Direct professional experience	125	83.9
Professional reputation	19	12.8
Other personal contacts	5	3.4
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	100	80.0
Substantial amount of experience	37	29.6
Moderate amount of experience	48	38.4
Limited amount of experience	39	31.2
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	2	1.3
Private, solo	21	14.1
Private, 2-5 attorneys	26	17.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	10	6.7
Private, corporate employee	1	0.7
Judge or judicial officer	40	26.8
Government	38	25.5
Public service agency or organization	4	2.7
Retired	6	4.0
Other	1	0.7
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	8	5.4
5 years or fewer	10	6.7
6 to 10 years	12	8.1
11 to 15 years	24	16.1
16 to 20 years	20	13.4
More than 20 years	75	50.3
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	2	1.3
Prosecution	10	6.7
Criminal	16	10.7
Mixed criminal & civil	63	42.3
Civil	51	34.2
Other	7	4.7
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	3	2.0
First District	16	10.7
Second District	1	0.7
Third District	113	75.8
Fourth District	12	8.1
Outside Alaska	4	2.7
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	3	2.0
Male	90	60.4
Female	56	37.6

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 20**  
**Daniel Schally**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall Rating <i>M</i>
All respondents	149	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.4
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.4</b>
Experience within last 5 years	100	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	24	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	37	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	48	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.2
Limited amount of experience	39	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.5	4.4
Professional reputation	19	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.1
Other personal contacts	5	4.4	4.3	3.8	4.2	4.6	4.3
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	15	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	24	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	9	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	34	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.6
Government	30	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.6	4.2
Public service agency or organization	4	4.0	4.3	3.8	3.8	4.0	4.3
Retired	6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Other	1	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	8	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.9
6 to 10 years	10	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.6	4.7	4.5
11 to 15 years	23	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.3
16 to 20 years	13	4.2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.0
More than 20 years	63	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.5
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	8	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.8	4.6
Criminal	14	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.3
Mixed criminal & civil	55	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.4
Civil	40	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.4
Other	6	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.7	4.5
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	11	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.3
Second District	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Third District	96	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.4
Fourth District	10	4.1	3.8	3.7	4.0	4.1	4.1
Outside Alaska	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	5.0
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	75	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.3
Female	47	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 21**  
**Gary L. Stapp**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>Experience with Applicant</b>		
Direct professional experience	54	83.1
Professional reputation	6	9.2
Other personal contacts	5	7.7
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	50	92.6
Substantial amount of experience	16	29.6
Moderate amount of experience	29	53.7
Limited amount of experience	9	16.7
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	11	16.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	18.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	9	13.8
Private, corporate employee	1	1.5
Judge or judicial officer	11	16.9
Government	12	18.5
Public service agency or organization	3	4.6
Retired	5	7.7
Other	1	1.5
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	2	3.1
5 years or fewer	5	7.7
6 to 10 years	13	20.0
11 to 15 years	3	4.6
16 to 20 years	13	20.0
More than 20 years	29	44.6
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	4	6.2
Criminal	3	4.6
Mixed criminal & civil	25	38.5
Civil	32	49.2
Other	1	1.5
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	13	20.0
Fourth District	51	78.5
Outside Alaska	1	1.5
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	-	-
Male	35	53.8
Female	30	46.2

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 22**  
**Gary L. Stapp**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall Rating <i>M</i>
All respondents	65	3.1	3.2	3.5	3.4	3.2	3.1
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>3.0</b>
Experience within last 5 years	50	3.1	3.2	3.4	3.4	3.1	3.0
Experience not within last 5 years	4	2.8	2.8	3.5	2.8	3.0	2.8
Substantial amount of experience	16	2.9	3.0	3.1	3.0	2.8	2.8
Moderate amount of experience	29	3.0	3.2	3.5	3.4	3.2	3.0
Limited amount of experience	9	3.5	3.1	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.3
Professional reputation	6	3.0	3.7	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.3
Other personal contacts	5	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.2	3.8	3.8
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	6	3.0	3.3	3.8	3.3	3.3	3.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	11	2.9	3.2	3.4	3.5	3.1	2.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	8	3.6	3.6	3.8	3.9	3.6	3.6
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	10	3.2	3.3	3.5	3.4	3.0	3.0
Government	10	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.6	2.6
Public service agency or organization	3	3.0	2.3	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Retired	4	2.5	2.8	3.5	2.8	2.5	2.5
Other	1	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	3	3.3	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
6 to 10 years	11	2.9	2.6	3.0	3.1	3.0	2.7
11 to 15 years	3	2.7	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.7
16 to 20 years	9	3.4	3.4	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.3
More than 20 years	26	3.0	3.2	3.5	3.3	2.9	3.0
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	4	3.3	3.3	3.8	3.3	3.0	3.0
Criminal	2	2.0	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5
Mixed criminal & civil	22	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.1	3.0
Civil	25	3.0	3.1	3.5	3.3	3.1	3.0
Other	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	10	2.9	2.6	3.3	3.2	2.9	2.8
Fourth District	44	3.1	3.3	3.4	3.3	3.1	3.0
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	29	3.4	3.5	3.8	3.8	3.5	3.4
Female	25	2.6	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.6	2.6

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 23**  
**William W. Taylor**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>Experience with Applicant</b>		
Direct professional experience	48	80.0
Professional reputation	11	18.3
Other personal contacts	1	1.7
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	44	91.7
Substantial amount of experience	29	60.4
Moderate amount of experience	11	22.9
Limited amount of experience	8	16.7
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	5	8.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	11	18.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	2	3.3
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	12	20.0
Government	25	41.7
Public service agency or organization	2	3.3
Retired	2	3.3
Other	1	1.7
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	4	6.7
5 years or fewer	13	21.7
6 to 10 years	8	13.3
11 to 15 years	10	16.7
16 to 20 years	5	8.3
More than 20 years	20	33.3
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	6	10.0
Criminal	17	28.3
Mixed criminal & civil	24	40.0
Civil	11	18.3
Other	2	3.3
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
First District	5	8.3
Second District	-	-
Third District	48	80.0
Fourth District	5	8.3
Outside Alaska	2	3.3
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	-	-
Male	30	50.0
Female	30	50.0

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 24**  
**William W. Taylor**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall Rating <i>M</i>
All respondents	60	3.7	3.5	3.2	2.9	3.4	3.2
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.2</b>
Experience within last 5 years	44	3.9	3.7	3.3	3.0	3.6	3.3
Experience not within last 5 years	4	2.3	2.3	1.8	1.8	2.0	2.0
Substantial amount of experience	29	3.9	3.6	3.1	2.8	3.4	3.2
Moderate amount of experience	11	3.9	3.5	3.2	3.2	3.8	3.3
Limited amount of experience	8	3.3	3.1	3.2	2.8	3.3	3.1
Professional reputation	11	3.6	3.2	3.2	2.9	3.1	3.1
Other personal contacts	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.0	3.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	7	4.0	3.6	3.3	3.1	3.6	3.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	2	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	11	3.2	3.1	2.8	2.4	2.8	2.7
Government	23	4.1	3.8	3.3	3.1	4.0	3.5
Public service agency or organization	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Retired	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	10	4.3	4.2	3.3	3.1	4.0	3.6
6 to 10 years	7	3.7	3.1	2.9	3.0	3.0	2.9
11 to 15 years	7	3.9	3.0	3.1	2.7	4.0	3.3
16 to 20 years	5	2.8	2.5	2.8	2.5	3.0	2.8
More than 20 years	15	3.9	3.9	3.5	3.1	3.5	3.3
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	6	3.5	3.0	2.0	2.3	3.2	2.3
Criminal	15	4.3	4.4	3.8	3.9	4.2	4.1
Mixed criminal & civil	20	3.8	3.7	3.5	3.0	3.6	3.5
Civil	5	2.8	1.8	1.8	1.6	2.4	1.4
Other	2	2.5	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.5	1.5
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	4	4.5	4.0	3.8	3.3	4.0	4.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	39	3.8	3.6	3.1	2.9	3.5	3.2
Fourth District	4	3.3	2.8	3.3	3.0	3.3	3.0
Outside Alaska	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	24	4.1	3.9	3.4	3.3	3.8	3.5
Female	24	3.5	3.1	2.9	2.5	3.2	2.9

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 25**  
**Thomas I. Temple**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>Experience with Applicant</b>		
Direct professional experience	54	79.4
Professional reputation	13	19.1
Other personal contacts	1	1.5
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	44	81.5
Substantial amount of experience	16	29.6
Moderate amount of experience	24	44.4
Limited amount of experience	14	25.9
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
Private, solo	9	13.2
Private, 2-5 attorneys	13	19.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	4.4
Private, corporate employee	1	1.5
Judge or judicial officer	15	22.1
Government	20	29.4
Public service agency or organization	2	2.9
Retired	3	4.4
Other	2	2.9
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	3	4.4
5 years or fewer	5	7.4
6 to 10 years	8	11.8
11 to 15 years	5	7.4
16 to 20 years	17	25.0
More than 20 years	30	44.1
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	-	-
Prosecution	8	11.8
Criminal	6	8.8
Mixed criminal & civil	33	48.5
Civil	20	29.4
Other	1	1.5
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
First District	3	4.4
Second District	1	1.5
Third District	23	33.8
Fourth District	38	55.9
Outside Alaska	3	4.4
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	-	-
Male	39	57.4
Female	29	42.6

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.



**Table 26**  
**Thomas I. Temple**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall Rating <i>M</i>
All respondents	68	3.7	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>3.8</b>
Experience within last 5 years	44	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.9	3.8
Experience not within last 5 years	9	3.5	4.0	3.6	3.4	3.4	3.6
Substantial amount of experience	16	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
Moderate amount of experience	24	3.7	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.6
Limited amount of experience	14	3.7	3.8	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.7
Professional reputation	13	3.0	2.7	3.0	2.8	2.7	2.7
Other personal contacts	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	6	3.0	3.0	3.2	3.5	3.5	3.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	10	4.2	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Private, corporate employee	1	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	13	4.1	3.8	3.7	3.8	4.0	3.8
Government	15	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.8
Public service agency or organization	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.0
Retired	3	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Other	1	4.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	4	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
6 to 10 years	6	4.3	3.8	3.7	4.0	4.0	4.0
11 to 15 years	5	3.5	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.3	3.3
16 to 20 years	12	3.8	3.6	3.9	3.9	4.1	4.0
More than 20 years	26	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.7
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	7	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.2
Criminal	4	3.8	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.7	4.0
Mixed criminal & civil	28	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.9	3.8
Civil	14	3.6	3.4	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
Other	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Second District	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Third District	17	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.4
Fourth District	31	4.2	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.1
Outside Alaska	3	3.3	4.0	3.7	4.3	3.7	3.7
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	31	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.9	4.0	4.0
Female	23	3.7	3.4	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.6

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.