



**UAA** Center for Behavioral  
Health Research & Services  
UNIVERSITY *of* ALASKA ANCHORAGE

# **Alaska Judicial Council**

## **Judicial Selection Survey**

### **Fairbanks Superior Court**

### **Technical Report**

Maia Wen, B.A., Research Professional  
Katelyn Saft, Undergraduate Research Assistant  
Stacy Tanner, B.A., Graduate Research Assistant  
Bridget Hanson, Ph.D., Research Associate Professor

*April 5, 2018*

Funded by Alaska Judicial Council

---

<http://www.iser.uaa.alaska.edu/cbhrs/>

## Table of Contents

Executive Summary.....	1
Table 1: Mean Ratings of Applicants .....	2
Introduction .....	3
Methodology .....	3
Table 2: Respondent Characteristics .....	4
Instrumentation.....	5
Confidentiality and Data Safety .....	5
Assurance of Non-Duplicate Responding.....	6
Data Management.....	6
Results.....	6
<i>Summary Tables</i>	
Table 3: Level of Experience with Applicants .....	8
Table 4: Summary of Overall Ratings .....	9
Table 5: Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating .....	10
Table 6: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice .....	11
Table 7: Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Alaska Practice.....	12
Table 8: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Caseload Handled.....	13
Table 9: Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Practice.....	14
Table 10: Mean Overall Ratings by Gender .....	15
<i>Applicant Tables</i>	
Table 11: Andrew Patrick Baldock: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	16
Table 12: Andrew Patrick Baldock: Detailed Responses .....	17
Table 13: Brent Bennett: Demographic Description of Respondents .....	18
Table 14: Brent Bennett: Detailed Responses.....	19
Table 15: Melony P. Lockwood: Demographic Description of Respondents .....	20
Table 16: Melony P. Lockwood: Detailed Responses.....	21
Table 17: Earl Adrian Peterson: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	22
Table 18: Earl Adrian Peterson: Detailed Responses .....	23
Table 19: Kirk Schwalm: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	24
Table 20: Kirk Schwalm: Detailed Responses .....	25
Table 21: Franklin E. Spaulding: Demographic Description of Respondents .....	26
Table 22: Franklin E. Spaulding: Detailed Responses .....	27
Table 23: Gary L. Stapp: Demographic Description of Respondents .....	28
Table 24: Gary L. Stapp: Detailed Responses.....	29
Table 25: Amy J. Tallerico: Demographic Description of Respondents .....	30
Table 26: Amy J. Tallerico: Detailed Responses .....	31
Table 27: Thomas I. Temple: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	32
Table 28: Thomas I. Temple: Detailed Responses .....	33
Table 29: John Foster Wallace: Demographic Description of Respondents .....	34
Table 30: John Foster Wallace: Detailed Responses .....	35

## *Executive Summary*

This report presents findings from a selection survey conducted among Alaska Bar Association members for one judicial vacancy on the Fairbanks Superior Court created by the retirement of Judge Jane Kauvar. By the application deadline, the Alaska Judicial Council (Council) received a total of 10 applications from the following individuals (presented in alphabetical order): Andrew Patrick Baldock, Brent Bennett, Melony P. Lockwood, Earl Adrian Peterson, Kirk Schwalm, Franklin E. Spaulding, Gary L. Stapp, Amy J. Tallerico, Thomas I. Temple, and John Foster Wallace.

The Alaska Judicial Council asked bar members to evaluate applicants on six characteristics: *Professional Competence, Integrity, Fairness, Judicial Temperament, Suitability of this Applicant's Experience for this Vacancy, and Overall Rating for this Position*. The rating scale ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5).

Table 1 shows the mean ratings for each applicant by respondents with direct professional experience on all six characteristics.

**Table 1**  
**Mean Ratings of Applicants**

	<i>n</i>	<b>Professional Competence</b> <i>M</i>	<b>Integrity</b> <i>M</i>	<b>Fairness</b> <i>M</i>	<b>Judicial Temperament</b> <i>M</i>	<b>Suitability of Experience</b> <i>M</i>	<b>Overall Rating</b> <i>M</i>
<b>Andrew Patrick Baldock</b>	51	3.5	3.5	3.1	2.8	3.1	3.0
<b>Brent Bennett</b>	56	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.0	4.1
<b>Melony P. Lockwood</b>	53	3.6	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.3	3.5
<b>Earl Adrian Peterson</b>	59	3.7	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.5
<b>Kirk Schwalm</b>	50	3.7	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.5	3.5
<b>Franklin E. Spaulding</b>	36	3.0	3.1	3.1	2.9	2.8	2.8
<b>Gary L. Stapp</b>	65	3.0	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.1	3.0
<b>Amy J. Tallerico</b>	59	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.0	3.1	3.1
<b>Thomas I. Temple</b>	65	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.6
<b>John Foster Wallace</b>	58	3.9	4.1	4.1	3.9	3.9	4.0

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

# Judicial Selection Survey: Fairbanks Superior Court

## *Introduction*

The State of Alaska Constitution and laws mandate that the Alaska Judicial Council (Council) evaluate all applicants for a judicial vacancy. The Council nominates the two or more most qualified applicants to the governor who must appoint from the Council's list. As part of the information used to fulfill its mandate, the Judicial Council distributed surveys to Alaska Bar Association members and asked them to rate applicants on six characteristics: *Professional Competence, Integrity, Fairness, Judicial Temperament, Suitability of this Applicant's Experience for this Vacancy, and Overall Rating for this Position*. Each survey also contained demographic questions about the respondents, including type of practice, length of Alaska practice, type of cases handled, primary location of practice, and gender.

To maintain objectivity, the Council contracted with the Center for Behavioral Health Research and Services (CBHRS), a research workgroup at the University of Alaska Anchorage. CBHRS was responsible for all aspects of distribution and data collection related to the online version of the survey. Parallel paper surveys were printed and mailed by the Judicial Council but were returned directly to CBHRS for processing, data entry, and analysis. CBHRS prepared this report summarizing survey procedures and results.

A single selection survey was conducted for the following judicial vacancies: Anchorage Superior Court, Bethel Superior Court, Fairbanks Superior Court, Juneau Superior Court, Kenai Superior Court, and Bethel District Court. This report presents the findings of the survey for the Fairbanks Superior Court, created by the retirement of Judge Jane Kauvar. By the application deadline, the Council had received a total of 10 applications from the following individuals (presented in alphabetical order): Andrew Patrick Baldock, Brent Bennett, Melony P. Lockwood, Earl Adrian Peterson, Kirk Schwalm, Franklin E. Spaulding, Gary L. Stapp, Amy J. Tallerico, Thomas I. Temple, and John Foster Wallace.

## *Methodology*

All active in-state members of the Alaska Bar Association were invited to participate in this selection survey. Inactive and retired members and active out-of-state members were also invited to participate in the survey if the Council had email addresses for them. Of the 3,367 individuals invited to participate, most individuals (3,295) received only an email invitation to complete the survey online. Thirty-two individuals received only a paper version of the survey and 40 individuals received both the paper and online versions of the survey.

Respondents initiated 939 online surveys. No surveys were excluded because the respondent answered "No" to the question certifying that they had complied with the ethical standards set out in Professional Rule 8.2; 34 surveys were excluded because the respondents did not progress far enough in the survey to reach the certification question. No online surveys were returned by individuals who also completed a paper survey. Therefore, 905 online surveys qualified for analysis.

Respondents also returned 24 paper surveys. Attorneys are required to sign the paper surveys to verify that they are the person completing the survey. One paper survey was excluded because it was unsigned. Five paper surveys were excluded either because the respondents answered "No" to the question certifying that they had complied with the ethical standards set out in Professional Rule 8.2 or because they left that question blank. No paper surveys were returned by individuals who also completed the online survey. Therefore, 18 paper surveys qualified for analysis.

The final analysis included 905 online surveys and 18 paper surveys, for a total of 923 surveys and a survey return rate of 27.4%. Of the 923 returned surveys, 165 did not rate any of the 62 applicants (19 Anchorage Superior Court applicants, seven Bethel Superior Court applicants, ten Fairbanks Superior Court applicants, ten Juneau Superior Court applicants, eight Kenai Superior Court applicants, and eight Bethel District Court applicants); 758 (82.1%) respondents evaluated one or more applicants. Table 2 summarizes the demographic characteristics of the respondents.

**Table 2**  
**Respondent Characteristics**

	All respondents	All Respondents		Respondents who Rated ≥ 1 Applicant	
		n	%	n	%
	<b>All respondents</b>	<b>923</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>758</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>Type of Practice</b>					
	No response	14	1.5	5	0.7
	Private, solo	190	20.6	150	19.8
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	152	16.5	126	16.6
	Private, 6+ attorneys	105	11.4	85	11.2
	Private, corporate employee	24	2.6	16	2.1
	Judge or judicial officer	83	9.0	78	10.3
	Government	262	28.4	226	29.8
	Public service agency or organization	35	3.8	29	3.8
	Retired	49	5.3	35	4.6
	Other	9	1.0	8	1.1
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>					
	No response	45	4.9	28	3.7
	5 years or fewer	117	12.7	92	12.1
	6 to 10 years	108	11.7	90	11.9
	11 to 15 years	98	10.6	83	10.9
	16 to 20 years	107	11.6	89	11.7
	More than 20 years	448	48.5	376	49.6
<b>Cases Handled</b>					
	No response	17	1.8	11	1.5
	Prosecution	58	6.3	55	7.3
	Criminal	76	8.2	68	9.0
	Mixed criminal & civil	217	23.5	191	25.2
	Civil	494	53.5	391	51.6
	Other	61	6.6	42	5.5
<b>Location of Practice</b>					
	No response	15	1.6	6	0.8
	First District	118	12.8	99	13.1
	Second District	9	1.0	6	0.8
	Third District	627	67.9	519	68.5
	Fourth District	118	12.8	109	14.4
	Outside Alaska	36	3.9	19	2.5
<b>Gender</b>					
	No response	26	2.8	14	1.8
	Male	529	57.3	424	55.9
	Female	368	39.9	320	42.2

## *Instrumentation*

The survey contained the names of the applicants for the vacancy, questions about demographic information for each respondent, six evaluation items for each applicant, and space for respondents to provide additional comments regarding each applicant. Some applicants applied for more than one vacancy; in these cases, the six evaluation items and space for comments appeared separately for each vacancy and respondents were asked to rate the applicant and comment based on each specific vacancy.

Both versions of the survey required a certification by the respondent that they had rated the applicants as required by the bar’s Professional Rule 8.2. Specific instructions regarding the certification were provided:

*“Please refer to Professional Conduct Rule 8.2 concerning your obligation to provide truthful and candid opinions on the qualifications or integrity of these applicants.”*

Respondents evaluated applicants in six areas of performance included in the survey using a five-point Likert scale that ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5). Detailed descriptions of the meaning of each point on the Likert scale were provided for each of the performance areas. The scale and instructions for respondents were:

*“Please rate the applicant on each of the following qualities by selecting the number that best represents your evaluation. Applicants should be evaluated on each quality separately. Use the ends of the scales as well as the middle. The tendency to rate an applicant “excellent” or “poor” on every trait should be avoided since each person has strengths and weaknesses. If you cannot rate the applicant on any one quality, leave that one blank.”*

	(1) <i>Poor</i>	(2) <i>Deficient</i>	(3) <i>Acceptable</i>	(4) <i>Good</i>	(5) <i>Excellent</i>
<b><i>Professional Competence</i></b>	Lacking in knowledge and/or effectiveness	Below-average performance occasionally	Possesses sufficient knowledge and required skills	Usually knowledgeable and effective	Meets the highest standards for knowledge and effectiveness
<b><i>Integrity</i></b>	Unconcerned with propriety and/or appearance, or acts in violation of codes of professional conduct	Appears lacking in knowledge of professional codes of conduct and/or unconcerned with propriety or appearance at times	Follows codes of professional conduct, respects propriety and appearance of propriety at all times	Above-average awareness of ethics, holds self to higher standard than most	Outstanding integrity and highest standards of conduct
<b><i>Fairness</i></b>	Often shows strong bias for or against some person or groups	Displays, verbally or otherwise, some bias for or against groups or persons	Free of substantial bias or prejudice against groups or persons	Above-average ability to treat all persons and groups impartially	Unusually fair and impartial to all groups
<b><i>Judicial Temperament</i></b>	Often lacks compassion, humility, or courtesy	Sometimes lacks compassion, humility, or courtesy	Possesses appropriate compassion, humility, and courtesy	Above-average compassion, humility, and courtesy	Outstanding compassion, humility, and courtesy
<b><i>Suitability of Experience</i></b>	Has little or no suitable experience	Has less than suitable experience	Has suitable experience	Has highly suitable experience	Has the most suitable experience for this position
<b><i>Overall Rating</i></b>	Has few qualifications for this position	Has insufficient qualifications for this position	Has suitable qualifications for this position	Has highly suitable qualifications for this position	Has exceptionally high qualifications for this position

## *Confidentiality and Data Safety*

The survey introduction included a statement that reassured respondents of the confidentiality of their responses. Confidentiality is also a paramount concern at CBHRS and translated into specific procedures related to data security. Because data such as those collected through the judicial selection survey are of a sensitive nature, CBHRS has rigorous procedures to protect data. Specifically, paper surveys are kept in a lockable file cabinet located in a secure data storage room. Data are kept locked at all times except when being used for data entry or related purposes. Organizational policies and procedures highlight the requirement for confidentiality and ensure that only staff involved with the project have access to the data. Online data and data that have been entered from paper surveys are maintained on a secure server.

## ***Assurance of Non-Duplicate Responding***

To ensure that as few duplicates or invalid surveys as possible were received, clear instructions were provided to potential paper survey respondents regarding how to handle the survey booklets:

*“A postage-paid business reply envelope is enclosed for the return of your completed evaluations. Place the completed survey inside the envelope marked “Confidential,” and seal the envelope. Then use the business reply envelope, being sure to sign in the space provided. The return envelope MUST BE SIGNED in order for your survey to be counted.”*

Based on these instructions, CBHRS implemented procedures to ensure that only one survey was counted for each respondent. For the surveys returned without a name on the outside envelope, the envelope was opened to ascertain whether the individual signed the comment section. One paper survey was returned that had no signed name on the outside of the envelope and no signed comments. That survey was excluded from data entry and analysis and was not reflected in the total number of valid surveys received. Signed names on the envelopes were compared to the mailing list, ensuring the individual was an eligible participant. Each individual’s unique identifier was entered with the data, providing the ability to check for duplication with the completed online surveys. No surveys were signed by individuals who were not on the mailing list.

For the online data collection, each potential respondent was provided with a unique URL that could only be used once, and only accessed from the e-mail address to which it was sent. After merging online and entered paper data, CBHRS analyzed frequencies of the unique identifier variable to identify any duplicate responses. No duplicate surveys were identified. Had any duplicates been identified, the most complete survey data would have been retained and the duplicate removed, ensuring that only one survey per respondent was used in the data analysis.

## ***Data Management***

With the goal of virtually error-free data handling, CBHRS implemented rigorous data entry procedures to ensure the accuracy of data entry. Paper data was entered using an electronic system similar to the online survey that prevents out-of-range responses. Before entry, all paper data was reviewed and cleaned prior to data entry. Then, a second staff member confirmed all data cleaning and entered the surveys. After the paper surveys were entered, the first staff member verified all entries and corrected any mistakes. Online data were downloaded from the survey website and imported into SPSS for analysis. The paper survey responses were merged with the online responses in SPSS to create one data file of all responses.

## ***Results***

Two sets of results are presented in this section of the report. First, respondents’ level of experience with each applicant rated is shown. Second, ratings and comparisons of the applicants are provided in a variety of ways. Many of the cross tabulations yield results based on a very small numbers of respondents. Results based on small numbers of respondents should be regarded with caution and more weight given to the overall results for the applicant.

### ***Respondents’ Level of Experience with Each Applicant***

All respondents were asked to describe the basis of their evaluation for each applicant they rated, with options of direct professional experience, professional reputation, and other personal contacts.

Table 3 shows the type of experience of respondents for each applicant.



## ***Ratings of Applicants***

In the tables that follow, responses to the rating questions are shown in a variety of ways. Most tables show the number of respondents (*n*) and the average rating (*M*). Tables 4-10 present details on the *Overall Rating* item. Table 4 compares all applicants to those with direct professional experience and includes the median rating (*Mdn*) and the standard deviation (*SD*) in addition to number of respondents and average. Tables 5-10 present data only from those respondents who indicated direct professional experience. Table 5 provides the distribution of responses. Table 6 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' type of practice. Table 7 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' length of Alaska practice. Table 8 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' type of caseload handled. Table 9 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' location of practice. Table 10 provides applicants' mean ratings broken down by respondents' gender.

For each individual applicant, Tables 11-30 provide a demographics summary of respondents and detailed information on ratings provided by respondent characteristic.

**Table 3**  
**Level of Experience with Applicants**

	<i>n</i>	% of all respondents who rated applicant	Percent of Respondents Basing Ratings on...		
			Direct Professional Experience	Professional Reputation	Other Personal Contacts
<b>Andrew Patrick Baldock</b>	57	6.2	89.5	8.8	1.8
<b>Brent Bennett</b>	73	7.9	76.7	13.7	9.6
<b>Melony P. Lockwood</b>	57	6.2	93.0	5.3	1.8
<b>Earl Adrian Peterson</b>	67	7.3	88.1	11.9	-
<b>Kirk Schwalm</b>	61	6.6	82.0	6.6	11.5
<b>Franklin E. Spaulding</b>	60	6.5	60.0	23.3	16.7
<b>Gary L. Stapp</b>	81	8.8	80.2	14.8	4.9
<b>Amy J. Tallerico</b>	79	8.6	74.7	19.0	6.3
<b>Thomas I. Temple</b>	84	9.1	77.4	20.2	2.4
<b>John Foster Wallace</b>	69	7.5	84.1	10.1	5.8

**Table 4**  
**Summary of Overall Ratings**

	<b>All Respondents</b>				<b>Respondents with Direct Professional Experience</b>			
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>
<b>Andrew Patrick Baldock</b>	57	2.9	3.0	1.1	51	3.0	3.0	1.2
<b>Brent Bennett</b>	72	4.1	4.0	1.0	56	4.1	4.0	1.0
<b>Melony P. Lockwood</b>	57	3.5	4.0	1.3	53	3.5	4.0	1.3
<b>Earl Adrian Peterson</b>	66	3.4	3.5	1.1	58	3.5	4.0	1.2
<b>Kirk Schwalm</b>	61	3.3	3.0	1.2	50	3.5	4.0	1.2
<b>Franklin E. Spaulding</b>	58	2.8	3.0	0.9	35	2.8	3.0	1.0
<b>Gary L. Stapp</b>	79	3.0	3.0	1.2	63	3.0	3.0	1.1
<b>Amy J. Tallerico</b>	78	3.2	3.0	1.1	58	3.1	3.0	1.2
<b>Thomas I. Temple</b>	78	3.5	3.0	1.1	59	3.6	4.0	1.1
<b>John Foster Wallace</b>	68	3.9	4.0	0.9	57	4.0	4.0	0.9

**Table 5**  
***Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating***

	<i>n</i>	<b>Poor</b>		<b>Deficient</b>		<b>Acceptable</b>		<b>Good</b>		<b>Excellent</b>	
		<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
<b>Andrew Patrick Baldock</b>	51	4	7.8	17	33.3	14	27.5	9	17.6	7	13.7
<b>Brent Bennett</b>	56	1	1.8	4	7.1	6	10.7	20	35.7	25	44.6
<b>Melony P. Lockwood</b>	53	4	7.5	9	17.0	11	20.8	13	24.5	16	30.2
<b>Earl Adrian Peterson</b>	58	3	5.2	9	15.5	16	27.6	17	29.3	13	22.4
<b>Kirk Schwalm</b>	50	4	8.0	7	14.0	12	24.0	16	32.0	11	22.0
<b>Franklin E. Spaulding</b>	35	3	8.6	10	28.6	15	42.9	5	14.3	2	5.7
<b>Gary L. Stapp</b>	63	5	7.9	19	30.2	21	33.3	10	15.9	8	12.7
<b>Amy J. Tallerico</b>	58	5	8.6	13	22.4	17	29.3	15	25.9	8	13.8
<b>Thomas I. Temple</b>	59	1	1.7	9	15.3	16	27.1	17	28.8	16	27.1
<b>John Foster Wallace</b>	57	2	3.5	1	1.8	10	17.5	28	49.1	16	28.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

**Table 6**  
**Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Practice**

	Private, solo		Private, 2-5 attorneys		Private, 6+ attorneys		Private, corporate employee		Judge or judicial officer		Government		Public service agency/org		Retired		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<b>Andrew Patrick Baldock</b>	4	3.3	9	3.0	2	3.0	-	-	10	3.2	19	2.9	3	2.3	2	3.5	3.0
<b>Brent Bennett</b>	3	3.0	5	2.4	1	5.0	-	-	10	4.7	27	4.3	6	4.3	2	4.0	4.1
<b>Melony P. Lockwood</b>	4	4.3	12	3.2	3	3.0	-	-	10	4.1	13	2.6	7	4.9	1	5.0	3.5
<b>Earl Adrian Peterson</b>	5	2.6	12	3.5	2	2.5	1	2.0	10	3.5	22	3.8	2	2.5	2	3.5	3.5
<b>Kirk Schwalm</b>	8	3.6	11	3.5	3	4.7	1	3.0	9	3.7	15	3.2	2	3.0	-	-	3.5
<b>Franklin E. Spaulding</b>	4	3.5	5	2.6	1	1.0	-	-	8	2.9	10	2.5	2	3.5	3	3.0	2.8
<b>Gary L. Stapp</b>	8	3.4	15	2.8	7	3.9	1	5.0	9	3.1	16	2.4	2	3.0	4	2.5	3.0
<b>Amy J. Tallerico</b>	7	3.6	16	3.3	4	3.8	3	3.3	8	3.1	15	2.6	2	3.5	2	3.5	3.1
<b>Thomas I. Temple</b>	6	3.7	12	4.0	4	3.5	1	4.0	12	3.8	18	3.5	2	3.5	3	3.3	3.6
<b>John Foster Wallace</b>	8	3.6	19	4.1	6	4.2	1	4.0	9	4.3	7	3.6	2	3.5	3	4.3	4.0

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

**Table 7**  
**Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Alaska Practice**

	5 years or fewer		6 to 10 years		11 to 15 years		16 to 20 years		21 years or more		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<b>Andrew Patrick Baldock</b>	7	2.6	10	2.5	7	2.7	9	2.8	15	3.5	3.0
<b>Brent Bennett</b>	9	4.2	14	3.9	8	4.4	6	3.7	16	4.4	4.1
<b>Melony P. Lockwood</b>	6	3.5	11	3.4	9	3.6	6	3.3	18	3.7	3.5
<b>Earl Adrian Peterson</b>	6	3.2	13	4.1	7	3.6	9	3.1	21	3.3	3.5
<b>Kirk Schwalm</b>	4	3.5	12	3.8	6	2.2	6	3.3	20	3.6	3.5
<b>Franklin E. Spaulding</b>	2	2.5	8	3.0	4	2.0	6	2.7	13	2.9	2.8
<b>Gary L. Stapp</b>	4	2.8	13	2.8	5	2.4	8	3.4	31	3.0	3.0
<b>Amy J. Tallerico</b>	4	2.8	12	3.5	5	2.6	9	3.0	25	3.3	3.1
<b>Thomas I. Temple</b>	4	4.5	9	3.6	6	2.8	10	4.0	29	3.6	3.6
<b>John Foster Wallace</b>	2	3.5	9	3.8	3	3.0	9	3.6	30	4.3	4.0

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

**Table 8**  
**Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Caseload Handled**

	Prosecution		Criminal		Mixed criminal/civil		Civil		Other		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<b>Andrew Patrick Baldock</b>	7	4.0	5	3.2	30	2.8	7	2.4	1	3.0	3.0
<b>Brent Bennett</b>	8	4.3	5	3.8	29	4.1	9	4.2	4	4.5	4.1
<b>Melony P. Lockwood</b>	3	2.3	3	3.0	23	3.2	23	4.1	-	-	3.5
<b>Earl Adrian Peterson</b>	10	4.0	4	3.5	29	3.5	14	3.0	-	-	3.5
<b>Kirk Schwalm</b>	4	4.3	2	2.5	22	3.1	21	3.8	1	2.0	3.5
<b>Franklin E. Spaulding</b>	5	2.8	2	3.5	21	2.8	6	2.7	-	-	2.8
<b>Gary L. Stapp</b>	6	3.0	3	2.0	26	3.0	27	3.0	1	3.0	3.0
<b>Amy J. Tallerico</b>	2	2.5	1	4.0	26	2.8	27	3.4	2	3.0	3.1
<b>Thomas I. Temple</b>	9	3.8	4	3.8	29	3.7	17	3.5	-	-	3.6
<b>John Foster Wallace</b>	2	3.5	1	4.0	25	3.9	25	4.0	3	4.7	4.0

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

**Table 9**  
**Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Practice**

	First District		Second District		Third District		Fourth District		Outside Alaska		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<b>Andrew Patrick Baldock</b>	1	2.0	1	4.0	5	4.4	42	2.9	1	1.0	3.0
<b>Brent Bennett</b>	2	5.0	1	5.0	9	4.6	42	4.0	1	3.0	4.1
<b>Melony P. Lockwood</b>	1	5.0	1	4.0	8	4.3	41	3.3	1	5.0	3.5
<b>Earl Adrian Peterson</b>	1	4.0	1	5.0	9	4.3	45	3.3	1	2.0	3.5
<b>Kirk Schwalm</b>	-	-	1	3.0	7	4.7	42	3.3	-	-	3.5
<b>Franklin E. Spaulding</b>	1	3.0	-	-	1	3.0	31	2.8	1	3.0	2.8
<b>Gary L. Stapp</b>	-	-	-	-	8	3.0	55	2.9	-	-	3.0
<b>Amy J. Tallerico</b>	1	3.0	-	-	8	3.4	46	3.1	1	4.0	3.1
<b>Thomas I. Temple</b>	2	3.5	1	3.0	13	3.3	40	3.8	3	3.7	3.6
<b>John Foster Wallace</b>	-	-	-	-	7	4.6	49	3.9	-	-	4.0

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.



**Table 10**  
**Mean Overall Ratings by Gender**

	Male		Female		Overall <i>M</i>
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	
<b>Andrew Patrick Baldock</b>	27	2.9	22	3.0	3.0
<b>Brent Bennett</b>	26	3.8	29	4.4	4.1
<b>Melony P. Lockwood</b>	28	3.3	24	3.8	3.5
<b>Earl Adrian Peterson</b>	31	3.6	25	3.3	3.5
<b>Kirk Schwalm</b>	31	3.6	19	3.3	3.5
<b>Franklin E. Spaulding</b>	20	3.0	14	2.6	2.8
<b>Gary L. Stapp</b>	35	3.3	28	2.6	3.0
<b>Amy J. Tallerico</b>	28	3.3	29	3.0	3.1
<b>Thomas I. Temple</b>	35	3.8	24	3.4	3.6
<b>John Foster Wallace</b>	30	4.2	25	3.7	4.0

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the applicants.

**Table 11**  
**Andrew Patrick Baldock**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>Experience with Applicant</b>		
Direct professional experience	51	89.5
Professional reputation	5	8.8
Other personal contacts	1	1.8
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	49	96.1
Substantial amount of experience	38	74.5
Moderate amount of experience	12	23.5
Limited amount of experience	1	2.0
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	1	1.8
Private, solo	5	8.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	11	19.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	2	3.5
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	10	17.5
Government	22	38.6
Public service agency or organization	3	5.3
Retired	2	3.5
Other	1	1.8
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	3	5.3
5 years or fewer	7	12.3
6 to 10 years	12	21.1
11 to 15 years	7	12.3
16 to 20 years	10	17.5
More than 20 years	18	31.6
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	1	1.8
Prosecution	8	14.0
Criminal	5	8.8
Mixed criminal & civil	32	56.1
Civil	10	17.5
Other	1	1.8
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	1	1.8
First District	1	1.8
Second District	1	1.8
Third District	7	12.3
Fourth District	46	80.7
Outside Alaska	1	1.8
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	2	3.5
Male	30	52.6
Female	25	43.9

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 12**  
**Andrew Patrick Baldock**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall Rating <i>M</i>
All respondents	57	3.5	3.4	3.1	2.8	3.0	2.9
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>3.0</b>
Experience within last 5 years	49	3.5	3.5	3.1	2.9	3.1	3.0
Experience not within last 5 years	2	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.5	2.5
Substantial amount of experience	38	3.4	3.4	3.0	2.8	3.0	2.9
Moderate amount of experience	12	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.1	3.4	3.2
Limited amount of experience	1	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Professional reputation	5	3.2	3.0	2.8	2.4	2.6	2.8
Other personal contacts	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	4	3.0	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	3.7	3.8	3.2	3.2	2.9	3.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	2	3.5	3.0	3.0	2.5	2.5	3.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	10	3.6	3.6	3.4	2.9	3.5	3.2
Government	19	3.5	3.2	2.9	2.6	2.9	2.9
Public service agency or organization	3	3.3	3.0	2.3	2.0	3.0	2.3
Retired	2	3.5	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.0	3.5
Other	1	4.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	7	3.4	3.1	2.6	2.3	3.0	2.6
6 to 10 years	10	3.5	3.2	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.5
11 to 15 years	7	3.0	2.7	2.6	2.0	2.7	2.7
16 to 20 years	9	3.1	3.3	3.2	2.9	3.2	2.8
More than 20 years	15	3.9	4.0	3.7	3.4	3.4	3.5
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	7	4.1	4.0	4.1	3.4	3.9	4.0
Criminal	5	3.2	3.8	3.4	3.4	3.2	3.2
Mixed criminal & civil	30	3.5	3.3	2.9	2.8	3.0	2.8
Civil	7	3.0	3.3	2.9	2.4	2.9	2.4
Other	1	4.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	1	4.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Second District	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	2.0	4.0	4.0
Third District	5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4
Fourth District	42	3.4	3.4	3.0	2.8	3.0	2.9
Outside Alaska	1	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	1.0
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	27	3.5	3.4	3.0	2.7	3.0	2.9
Female	22	3.5	3.5	3.2	3.0	3.2	3.0

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 13**  
**Brent Bennett**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>Experience with Applicant</b>		
Direct professional experience	56	76.7
Professional reputation	10	13.7
Other personal contacts	7	9.6
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	54	96.4
Substantial amount of experience	33	58.9
Moderate amount of experience	13	23.2
Limited amount of experience	10	17.9
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	1	1.4
Private, solo	4	5.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	8	11.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	4.1
Private, corporate employee	1	1.4
Judge or judicial officer	11	15.1
Government	34	46.6
Public service agency or organization	7	9.6
Retired	2	2.7
Other	2	2.7
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	3	4.1
5 years or fewer	12	16.4
6 to 10 years	16	21.9
11 to 15 years	10	13.7
16 to 20 years	10	13.7
More than 20 years	22	30.1
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	1	1.4
Prosecution	9	12.3
Criminal	8	11.0
Mixed criminal & civil	33	45.2
Civil	17	23.3
Other	5	6.8
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	2	2.7
First District	4	5.5
Second District	1	1.4
Third District	15	20.5
Fourth District	50	68.5
Outside Alaska	1	1.4
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	2	2.7
Male	34	46.6
Female	37	50.7

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 14**  
**Brent Bennett**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall Rating <i>M</i>
All respondents	73	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.3	3.9	4.1
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>4.1</b>
Experience within last 5 years	54	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.0	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	33	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.1	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	13	4.0	4.3	4.2	4.5	4.0	3.9
Limited amount of experience	10	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.1	3.8	3.9
Professional reputation	10	3.7	3.6	4.0	4.1	3.5	3.8
Other personal contacts	7	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	3	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.3	3.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	5	2.8	3.0	3.2	3.2	2.4	2.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	10	4.5	4.8	4.7	4.9	4.6	4.7
Government	27	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.3
Public service agency or organization	6	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.0	4.3
Retired	2	3.0	4.0	4.5	4.5	3.5	4.0
Other	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	9	4.2	4.0	4.5	4.1	3.9	4.2
6 to 10 years	14	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.2	3.7	3.9
11 to 15 years	8	4.4	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.4	4.4
16 to 20 years	6	3.5	3.8	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.7
More than 20 years	16	4.1	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.1	4.4
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	8	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.8	4.0	4.3
Criminal	5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	4.0	3.8
Mixed criminal & civil	29	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.4	3.9	4.1
Civil	9	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.0	4.2
Other	4	4.3	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.3	4.5
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	2	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	9	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.3	4.6
Fourth District	42	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.3	3.9	4.0
Outside Alaska	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	26	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.3	3.8	3.8
Female	29	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.4

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 15**  
**Melony P. Lockwood**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>Experience with Applicant</b>		
Direct professional experience	53	93.0
Professional reputation	3	5.3
Other personal contacts	1	1.8
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	51	96.2
Substantial amount of experience	22	41.5
Moderate amount of experience	22	41.5
Limited amount of experience	9	17.0
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	1	1.8
Private, solo	5	8.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	13	22.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	7.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	10	17.5
Government	13	22.8
Public service agency or organization	7	12.3
Retired	1	1.8
Other	3	5.3
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	3	5.3
5 years or fewer	7	12.3
6 to 10 years	13	22.8
11 to 15 years	9	15.8
16 to 20 years	7	12.3
More than 20 years	18	31.6
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	1	1.8
Prosecution	3	5.3
Criminal	3	5.3
Mixed criminal & civil	25	43.9
Civil	25	43.9
Other	-	-
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	1	1.8
First District	1	1.8
Second District	1	1.8
Third District	10	17.5
Fourth District	43	75.4
Outside Alaska	1	1.8
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	1	1.8
Male	31	54.4
Female	25	43.9

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 16**  
**Melony P. Lockwood**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall Rating <i>M</i>
All respondents	57	3.6	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.3	3.5
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.5</b>
Experience within last 5 years	51	3.6	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.3	3.5
Experience not within last 5 years	1	-	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	22	3.9	4.2	4.2	4.2	3.5	3.8
Moderate amount of experience	22	3.2	3.6	3.7	3.5	3.0	3.2
Limited amount of experience	9	3.9	4.2	4.3	4.0	3.4	3.8
Professional reputation	3	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.7	4.0
Other personal contacts	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	1.0
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	4	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	3.8	4.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	3.5	3.6	3.7	3.8	3.2	3.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	3.0	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	10	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.3	3.6	4.1
Government	13	2.5	3.2	3.4	3.1	2.6	2.6
Public service agency or organization	7	4.3	5.0	5.0	4.7	4.7	4.9
Retired	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	2	3.5	4.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	2.5
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	6	3.5	4.2	4.3	3.7	3.5	3.5
6 to 10 years	11	3.2	3.7	3.7	3.9	3.1	3.4
11 to 15 years	9	3.6	3.7	4.0	3.9	3.2	3.6
16 to 20 years	6	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.2	3.3
More than 20 years	18	3.9	4.3	4.2	3.9	3.5	3.7
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	3	2.7	3.3	3.3	2.7	2.3	2.3
Criminal	3	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.7	3.0
Mixed criminal & civil	23	3.5	3.7	3.8	3.8	2.9	3.2
Civil	23	3.9	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.0	4.1
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Second District	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0
Third District	8	4.0	4.5	4.6	4.3	3.9	4.3
Fourth District	41	3.5	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.1	3.3
Outside Alaska	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	28	3.4	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.0	3.3
Female	24	3.8	4.2	4.2	3.9	3.7	3.8

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 17**  
**Earl Adrian Peterson**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>Experience with Applicant</b>		
Direct professional experience	59	88.1
Professional reputation	8	11.9
Other personal contacts	-	-
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	57	96.6
Substantial amount of experience	35	59.3
Moderate amount of experience	17	28.8
Limited amount of experience	7	11.9
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	1	1.5
Private, solo	6	9.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	14	20.9
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	6.0
Private, corporate employee	1	1.5
Judge or judicial officer	10	14.9
Government	25	37.3
Public service agency or organization	2	3.0
Retired	2	3.0
Other	2	3.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	2	3.0
5 years or fewer	8	11.9
6 to 10 years	14	20.9
11 to 15 years	8	11.9
16 to 20 years	10	14.9
More than 20 years	25	37.3
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	1	1.5
Prosecution	11	16.4
Criminal	4	6.0
Mixed criminal & civil	32	47.8
Civil	19	28.4
Other	-	-
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	1	1.5
First District	2	3.0
Second District	1	1.5
Third District	13	19.4
Fourth District	49	73.1
Outside Alaska	1	1.5
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	3	4.5
Male	35	52.2
Female	29	43.3

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.



**Table 18**  
**Earl Adrian Peterson**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall Rating <i>M</i>
All respondents	67	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.4	3.4
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.5</b>
Experience within last 5 years	57	3.7	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.5
Experience not within last 5 years	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	35	3.7	3.9	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.5
Moderate amount of experience	17	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.2	3.9	3.9
Limited amount of experience	7	3.1	2.9	2.7	3.0	2.7	2.4
Professional reputation	8	3.3	3.5	3.3	3.1	3.0	3.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	5	3.8	3.6	3.2	3.6	2.8	2.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.4	3.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	2.7	3.0	2.7	3.0	2.3	2.5
Private, corporate employee	1	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0
Judge or judicial officer	10	3.7	4.2	3.9	3.8	3.5	3.5
Government	22	3.8	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.9	3.8
Public service agency or organization	2	3.0	3.0	2.5	2.5	3.0	2.5
Retired	2	4.0	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.0	3.5
Other	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	6	3.3	3.2	3.5	3.0	3.3	3.2
6 to 10 years	13	4.2	4.4	4.1	4.2	4.0	4.1
11 to 15 years	7	3.4	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.6
16 to 20 years	9	3.9	3.9	3.4	3.6	3.2	3.1
More than 20 years	22	3.5	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.2	3.3
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	10	4.2	4.1	4.0	3.8	4.1	4.0
Criminal	4	3.8	4.0	3.8	4.3	3.5	3.5
Mixed criminal & civil	30	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.5	3.5
Civil	14	3.2	3.5	3.3	3.4	3.1	3.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	1	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Third District	9	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.4	4.3
Fourth District	46	3.5	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.3	3.3
Outside Alaska	1	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	31	3.9	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.5	3.6
Female	25	3.4	3.6	3.5	3.3	3.5	3.3

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 19**  
**Kirk Schwalm**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>Experience with Applicant</b>		
Direct professional experience	50	82.0
Professional reputation	4	6.6
Other personal contacts	7	11.5
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	47	94.0
Substantial amount of experience	17	34.0
Moderate amount of experience	26	52.0
Limited amount of experience	7	14.0
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	1	1.6
Private, solo	10	16.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	13	21.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	4.9
Private, corporate employee	2	3.3
Judge or judicial officer	10	16.4
Government	19	31.1
Public service agency or organization	2	3.3
Retired	-	-
Other	1	1.6
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	3	4.9
5 years or fewer	5	8.2
6 to 10 years	14	23.0
11 to 15 years	7	11.5
16 to 20 years	11	18.0
More than 20 years	21	34.4
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	1	1.6
Prosecution	4	6.6
Criminal	3	4.9
Mixed criminal & civil	26	42.6
Civil	25	41.0
Other	2	3.3
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	1	1.6
First District	-	-
Second District	1	1.6
Third District	8	13.1
Fourth District	51	83.6
Outside Alaska	-	-
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	1	1.6
Male	36	59.0
Female	24	39.3

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 20**  
**Kirk Schwalm**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall Rating <i>M</i>
All respondents	61	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.3	3.3
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.5</b>
Experience within last 5 years	47	3.7	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.4	3.4
Experience not within last 5 years	2	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	17	3.9	4.2	4.1	4.2	3.9	3.8
Moderate amount of experience	26	3.7	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.2	3.3
Limited amount of experience	7	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.9	3.1	3.3
Professional reputation	4	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.0	2.3	2.0
Other personal contacts	7	3.4	3.1	3.7	3.7	3.1	3.0
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	8	3.8	4.1	4.0	4.1	3.8	3.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	11	3.7	4.1	4.0	4.1	3.5	3.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Private, corporate employee	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Judge or judicial officer	9	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.6	3.7
Government	15	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.1	3.2	3.2
Public service agency or organization	2	3.0	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.0
Retired	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	2.0	2.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	4	3.8	3.3	3.8	3.5	3.5	3.5
6 to 10 years	12	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.1	3.7	3.8
11 to 15 years	6	2.8	2.8	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.2
16 to 20 years	6	4.0	4.2	4.0	3.8	3.5	3.3
More than 20 years	20	3.6	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.6	3.6
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	4	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.3	3.8	4.3
Criminal	2	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.5	2.0	2.5
Mixed criminal & civil	22	3.6	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.1	3.1
Civil	21	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.1	4.0	3.8
Other	1	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	1	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0
Third District	7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.7
Fourth District	42	3.6	3.9	3.6	3.6	3.3	3.3
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	31	3.8	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.6
Female	19	3.6	3.7	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.3

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 21**  
**Franklin E. Spaulding**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>Experience with Applicant</b>		
Direct professional experience	36	60.0
Professional reputation	14	23.3
Other personal contacts	10	16.7
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	31	86.1
Substantial amount of experience	8	22.2
Moderate amount of experience	19	52.8
Limited amount of experience	9	25.0
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	1	1.7
Private, solo	8	13.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	13	21.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	5.0
Private, corporate employee	1	1.7
Judge or judicial officer	10	16.7
Government	16	26.7
Public service agency or organization	3	5.0
Retired	3	5.0
Other	2	3.3
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	3	5.0
5 years or fewer	4	6.7
6 to 10 years	15	25.0
11 to 15 years	5	8.3
16 to 20 years	11	18.3
More than 20 years	22	36.7
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	1	1.7
Prosecution	5	8.3
Criminal	3	5.0
Mixed criminal & civil	31	51.7
Civil	16	26.7
Other	4	6.7
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	1	1.7
First District	1	1.7
Second District	-	-
Third District	7	11.7
Fourth District	50	83.3
Outside Alaska	1	1.7
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	1	1.7
Male	33	55.0
Female	26	43.3

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 22**  
**Franklin E. Spaulding**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall Rating <i>M</i>
All respondents	60	3.0	3.1	3.1	2.9	2.8	2.8
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.8</b>
Experience within last 5 years	31	3.0	3.1	3.1	2.9	2.8	2.8
Experience not within last 5 years	5	2.5	3.0	3.0	2.8	2.8	2.5
Substantial amount of experience	8	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.9
Moderate amount of experience	19	2.9	3.1	3.1	2.8	2.7	2.8
Limited amount of experience	9	3.0	3.2	3.2	3.0	3.0	2.8
Professional reputation	14	2.9	3.0	3.2	3.1	2.8	2.7
Other personal contacts	10	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.0	2.9	2.9
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	4	3.3	4.0	3.8	3.3	3.5	3.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	5	3.0	3.2	3.2	2.8	2.4	2.6
Private, 6+ attorneys	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	8	2.8	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.0	2.9
Government	10	2.8	2.6	2.7	2.4	2.5	2.5
Public service agency or organization	2	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.5
Retired	3	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Other	2	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	2	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	2.5	2.5
6 to 10 years	8	3.1	2.9	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0
11 to 15 years	5	2.3	2.3	2.3	1.8	2.0	2.0
16 to 20 years	6	2.5	3.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	2.7
More than 20 years	13	3.1	3.4	3.2	3.0	2.8	2.9
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	5	3.0	2.6	2.8	2.4	3.0	2.8
Criminal	2	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.5
Mixed criminal & civil	21	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.7	2.8
Civil	6	3.0	3.5	3.2	2.7	3.0	2.7
Other	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	1	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	2	3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0
Fourth District	31	2.9	3.1	3.0	2.8	2.8	2.8
Outside Alaska	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	20	3.0	3.2	3.2	3.1	2.9	3.0
Female	15	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.6	2.7	2.6

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 23**  
**Gary L. Stapp**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>Experience with Applicant</b>		
Direct professional experience	65	80.2
Professional reputation	12	14.8
Other personal contacts	4	4.9
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	56	86.2
Substantial amount of experience	22	33.8
Moderate amount of experience	34	52.3
Limited amount of experience	9	13.8
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	1	1.2
Private, solo	12	14.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	17	21.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	8	9.9
Private, corporate employee	2	2.5
Judge or judicial officer	11	13.6
Government	21	25.9
Public service agency or organization	3	3.7
Retired	5	6.2
Other	1	1.2
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	4	4.9
5 years or fewer	6	7.4
6 to 10 years	17	21.0
11 to 15 years	6	7.4
16 to 20 years	14	17.3
More than 20 years	34	42.0
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	1	1.2
Prosecution	6	7.4
Criminal	4	4.9
Mixed criminal & civil	31	38.3
Civil	36	44.4
Other	3	3.7
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	-	-
First District	1	1.2
Second District	2	2.5
Third District	12	14.8
Fourth District	65	80.2
Outside Alaska	1	1.2
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	1	1.2
Male	45	55.6
Female	35	43.2

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 24**  
**Gary L. Stapp**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall Rating <i>M</i>
All respondents	81	3.0	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.2	3.0
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>3.0</b>
Experience within last 5 years	56	3.0	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.1	2.9
Experience not within last 5 years	9	3.0	3.3	3.8	3.5	3.4	3.1
Substantial amount of experience	22	2.9	3.1	3.1	3.0	2.9	2.8
Moderate amount of experience	34	3.0	3.3	3.4	3.6	3.2	2.9
Limited amount of experience	9	3.3	3.3	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.4
Professional reputation	12	2.9	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.1
Other personal contacts	4	3.3	3.5	3.8	3.5	3.8	3.8
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	8	3.4	3.6	3.9	3.8	3.6	3.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	15	2.9	3.3	3.3	3.5	3.0	2.8
Private, 6+ attorneys	7	3.9	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.9
Private, corporate employee	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	9	3.1	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.1	3.1
Government	17	2.4	2.8	2.9	3.1	2.6	2.4
Public service agency or organization	3	3.0	2.7	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.0
Retired	4	2.5	2.8	3.5	2.8	3.0	2.5
Other	1	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	4	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	2.8
6 to 10 years	14	2.8	2.7	3.0	3.2	3.0	2.8
11 to 15 years	6	2.4	3.0	3.0	3.6	2.6	2.4
16 to 20 years	8	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.9	3.8	3.4
More than 20 years	31	3.0	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.1	3.0
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	6	3.0	3.0	3.3	3.5	3.2	3.0
Criminal	3	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.7	2.0
Mixed criminal & civil	26	3.0	3.2	3.3	3.6	3.1	3.0
Civil	29	3.0	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.3	3.0
Other	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	9	3.0	2.8	3.4	3.3	3.0	3.0
Fourth District	56	3.0	3.3	3.3	3.5	3.1	2.9
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	37	3.3	3.4	3.6	3.8	3.4	3.3
Female	28	2.6	2.9	3.1	3.0	2.8	2.6

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 25**  
**Amy J. Tallerico**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>Experience with Applicant</b>		
Direct professional experience	59	74.7
Professional reputation	15	19.0
Other personal contacts	5	6.3
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	48	81.4
Substantial amount of experience	21	35.6
Moderate amount of experience	23	39.0
Limited amount of experience	15	25.4
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	1	1.3
Private, solo	9	11.4
Private, 2-5 attorneys	19	24.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	8	10.1
Private, corporate employee	3	3.8
Judge or judicial officer	11	13.9
Government	19	24.1
Public service agency or organization	3	3.8
Retired	5	6.3
Other	1	1.3
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	4	5.1
5 years or fewer	5	6.3
6 to 10 years	15	19.0
11 to 15 years	6	7.6
16 to 20 years	15	19.0
More than 20 years	34	43.0
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	1	1.3
Prosecution	2	2.5
Criminal	4	5.1
Mixed criminal & civil	32	40.5
Civil	36	45.6
Other	4	5.1
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	3	3.8
First District	2	2.5
Second District	-	-
Third District	14	17.7
Fourth District	59	74.7
Outside Alaska	1	1.3
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	2	2.5
Male	43	54.4
Female	34	43.0

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.



**Table 26**  
**Amy J. Tallerico**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall Rating <i>M</i>
All respondents	79	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.0	3.1	3.2
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>3.1</b>
Experience within last 5 years	48	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.0	3.0	3.1
Experience not within last 5 years	10	3.6	3.3	3.5	3.0	3.6	3.3
Substantial amount of experience	21	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.1	3.4	3.5
Moderate amount of experience	23	3.4	3.4	3.3	2.8	2.9	3.0
Limited amount of experience	15	3.4	3.2	3.3	3.0	2.9	3.0
Professional reputation	15	3.3	3.5	3.3	3.0	3.2	3.1
Other personal contacts	5	4.2	3.8	4.0	3.6	3.6	3.4
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	7	3.9	3.7	3.9	3.1	3.3	3.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	16	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.4	3.1	3.3
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	3.5	3.8	3.8	3.3	4.0	3.8
Private, corporate employee	3	3.7	3.3	3.0	2.3	3.3	3.3
Judge or judicial officer	9	3.3	3.4	3.3	2.8	3.1	3.1
Government	15	3.3	2.9	2.9	2.5	2.7	2.6
Public service agency or organization	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.0	3.5
Retired	2	4.0	4.5	4.0	3.5	2.5	3.5
Other	1	4.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	4	3.8	3.3	3.7	3.3	2.8	2.8
6 to 10 years	12	3.8	3.5	3.6	3.4	3.8	3.5
11 to 15 years	5	3.2	3.2	2.8	2.2	2.6	2.6
16 to 20 years	10	3.5	3.2	3.1	2.6	3.0	3.0
More than 20 years	25	3.6	3.8	3.6	3.1	3.0	3.3
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	2	3.0	3.0	2.5	2.5	2.0	2.5
Criminal	1	5.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0
Mixed criminal & civil	27	3.4	3.2	3.2	2.8	2.9	2.8
Civil	27	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.2	3.4	3.4
Other	2	3.5	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.5	3.0
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	8	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.1	3.4
Fourth District	47	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.0	3.1	3.1
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	29	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.2	3.2	3.3
Female	29	3.4	3.3	3.3	2.7	3.0	3.0

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 27**  
**Thomas I. Temple**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>Experience with Applicant</b>		
Direct professional experience	65	77.4
Professional reputation	17	20.2
Other personal contacts	2	2.4
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	50	76.9
Substantial amount of experience	16	24.6
Moderate amount of experience	32	49.2
Limited amount of experience	16	24.6
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	1	1.2
Private, solo	10	11.9
Private, 2-5 attorneys	17	20.2
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	4.8
Private, corporate employee	2	2.4
Judge or judicial officer	15	17.9
Government	26	31.0
Public service agency or organization	2	2.4
Retired	5	6.0
Other	2	2.4
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	3	3.6
5 years or fewer	7	8.3
6 to 10 years	12	14.3
11 to 15 years	7	8.3
16 to 20 years	19	22.6
More than 20 years	36	42.9
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	1	1.2
Prosecution	11	13.1
Criminal	7	8.3
Mixed criminal & civil	38	45.2
Civil	25	29.8
Other	2	2.4
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	1	1.2
First District	4	4.8
Second District	1	1.2
Third District	21	25.0
Fourth District	54	64.3
Outside Alaska	3	3.6
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	1	1.2
Male	48	57.1
Female	35	41.7

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 28**  
**Thomas I. Temple**  
**Detailed Responses**

	<i>n</i>	Professional Competence <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Suitability of Experience <i>M</i>	Overall Rating <i>M</i>
All respondents	84	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.5
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.6</b>
Experience within last 5 years	50	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7
Experience not within last 5 years	14	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.5	3.3
Substantial amount of experience	16	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1
Moderate amount of experience	32	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.4
Limited amount of experience	16	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.5
Professional reputation	17	3.3	3.1	3.3	3.1	3.0	2.9
Other personal contacts	2	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.5	3.5
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	7	3.4	3.3	3.6	3.7	3.9	3.7
Private, 2-5 attorneys	12	4.2	4.2	4.2	3.9	3.9	4.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	4	3.8	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Private, corporate employee	1	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	13	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.8
Government	20	3.7	3.6	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.5
Public service agency or organization	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.5
Retired	5	3.3	3.7	3.3	3.0	3.3	3.3
Other	1	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.5
6 to 10 years	9	4.0	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.8	3.6
11 to 15 years	7	3.2	3.0	2.5	2.8	2.7	2.8
16 to 20 years	13	3.8	3.7	3.9	3.9	3.8	4.0
More than 20 years	31	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.6
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	10	4.0	3.8	3.5	3.8	3.7	3.8
Criminal	5	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.3	3.8	3.8
Mixed criminal & civil	31	3.9	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7
Civil	18	3.7	3.4	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.5
Other	1	-	-	3.0	-	-	-
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	3	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.5	4.0	3.5
Second District	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Third District	17	3.6	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
Fourth District	41	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.8
Outside Alaska	3	3.3	4.0	3.5	4.3	3.7	3.7
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	38	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8
Female	27	3.6	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4

\*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 29**  
**John Foster Wallace**  
**Demographic Description of Respondents**

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
<b>All respondents</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>100.0</b>
<b>Experience with Applicant</b>		
Direct professional experience	58	84.1
Professional reputation	7	10.1
Other personal contacts	4	5.8
<b>Detailed Experience*</b>		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	48	82.8
Substantial amount of experience	20	34.5
Moderate amount of experience	25	43.1
Limited amount of experience	13	22.4
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No response	1	1.4
Private, solo	10	14.5
Private, 2-5 attorneys	21	30.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	7	10.1
Private, corporate employee	2	2.9
Judge or judicial officer	10	14.5
Government	11	15.9
Public service agency or organization	2	2.9
Retired	4	5.8
Other	1	1.4
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No response	5	7.2
5 years or fewer	3	4.3
6 to 10 years	11	15.9
11 to 15 years	4	5.8
16 to 20 years	12	17.4
More than 20 years	34	49.3
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No response	1	1.4
Prosecution	2	2.9
Criminal	2	2.9
Mixed criminal & civil	30	43.5
Civil	29	42.0
Other	5	7.2
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No response	1	1.4
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	9	13.0
Fourth District	58	84.1
Outside Alaska	1	1.4
<b>Gender</b>		
No response	2	2.9
Male	37	53.6
Female	30	43.5

\*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.

**Table 30**  
**John Foster Wallace**  
**Detailed Responses**

		Professional Competence	Integrity	Fairness	Judicial Temperament	Suitability of Experience	Overall Rating
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	69	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.9
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
<b>Direct professional experience</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>4.0</b>
Experience within last 5 years	48	4.0	4.2	4.1	3.9	3.9	4.0
Experience not within last 5 years	9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.6	3.9	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	20	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2
Moderate amount of experience	25	3.8	4.1	4.1	3.8	4.0	4.0
Limited amount of experience	13	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.5	3.5
Professional reputation	7	3.7	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.6	3.4
Other personal contacts	4	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.3	3.5	3.3
<b>Type of Practice*</b>							
Private, solo	8	3.6	4.1	4.0	3.9	3.6	3.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	19	3.9	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.1
Private, 6+ attorneys	7	4.1	3.9	4.1	3.7	4.0	4.2
Private, corporate employee	1	4.0	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0
Judge or judicial officer	9	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3
Government	7	3.6	3.3	3.6	3.0	3.7	3.6
Public service agency or organization	2	4.0	4.5	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.5
Retired	3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.3
Other	1	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
<b>Length of Alaska Practice*</b>							
5 years or fewer	2	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.0	3.5	3.5
6 to 10 years	9	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.8
11 to 15 years	3	3.0	2.7	3.3	3.0	3.0	3.0
16 to 20 years	9	3.8	4.1	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.6
More than 20 years	31	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.3
<b>Cases Handled*</b>							
Prosecution	2	4.0	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.5
Criminal	1	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.0	4.0
Mixed criminal & civil	25	3.9	4.1	4.0	3.8	3.9	3.9
Civil	26	4.0	4.1	4.2	3.8	4.0	4.0
Other	3	4.7	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.7
<b>Location of Practice*</b>							
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	8	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.6
Fourth District	49	3.9	4.1	4.0	3.8	3.9	3.9
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Gender*</b>							
Male	30	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.2
Female	26	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.7	3.7

\* Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the applicant.