

**Alaska Judicial Council
2010 Judicial Retention Performance Evaluation Materials
Judge Michael Spaan
Anchorage Superior Court**

The Judicial Council finds Judge Spaan to be **Qualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote **"YES"** to retain him as a superior court judge.

Retention evaluation materials for this judge

1. Voter pamphlet page. 2
This page will appear in the State of Alaska Election Pamphlet sent to each Alaskan household.

2. Judge questionnaire. 3
The judge’s response to a Judicial Council questionnaire.

3. Survey scores in 2010
(To view survey scores for all judges on the ballot go to main 2010 retention page.)

a. Attorney; Peace Officer; Social Worker/Guardians Ad Litem/CASA scores. 11

b. Juror survey scores. 18

c. Court employee survey scores. 19

4. Survey scores in previous retention evaluations
(This judge is standing for retention for the first time)

Retention evaluation materials for all judges on the ballot

(To view these materials go to main 2010 retention page.)

- 1. Peremptory challenges**
Analysis of peremptory challenge rates for judges.
- 2. Recusals**
Evaluation of judge’s record of self-disqualification from cases, or “recusals.”
- 3. Appellate Affirmance Rates**
Analysis of appellate decisions involving each trial judge’s cases.
- 4. Salary Warrant Withholdings**
Evaluation of judge’s record of pay withholding for undecided or uncompleted decisions.

Alaska Judicial Council Recommendation

Judge Michael Spaan, Superior Court, Anchorage

Judicial Council Recommendation 2010

The Alaska Judicial Council is a non-partisan citizens' commission established by the Alaska constitution. Alaskan law requires the Council to evaluate judges' performance and authorizes the Council to recommend to voters whether judges should be retained in office. The Judicial Council reviews judges' integrity, diligence, legal ability, fairness, demeanor, ability to manage their caseloads, and overall performance of their judicial responsibilities in and out of the courtroom. The Judicial Council finds Judge Spaan to be **Qualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote "YES" to retain him as a superior court judge.

Judicial Council Evaluation

The Judicial Council surveyed thousands of Alaskans including peace and probation officers, court employees, attorneys, jurors, social workers/guardians ad litem, and child advocates about the judges on the ballot. Respondents were asked to rate judicial performance and to submit comments. The Council also reviewed the ratings and observations of the Alaska Judicial Observers, independent community-based volunteers. The Council reviewed the judge's preemptory challenge, recusals, and appellate affirmance and reversal rates; any civil or criminal litigation involving the judge; APOC and court system conflict-of-interest statements; any disciplinary files involving the judge; and whether a judge's pay was withheld for an untimely decision. The Council reviewed other court records and investigated judicial conduct in specific cases. The Council interviewed some judges, attorneys, and court staff, and held a statewide public hearing to obtain comments about judges.

	Attorney Survey	Peace Officer Survey	Juror Survey	Court Employee Survey	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs
Legal Ability	3.9	---	---	---	---
Impartiality	4.1	3.9	4.8	4.6	4.0
Integrity	4.2	4.1	---	4.6	4.0
Temperament	4.0	4.0	4.9	4.6	4.0
Diligence	4.1	4.0	---	4.5	4.0
Overall	4.1	3.9	4.9	4.6	4.0

Ratings are based on a one to five scale. Five is the best rating and three is "acceptable."

Rating Scale
 5.0 = Excellent
 4.0 = Good
 3.0 = Acceptable
 2.0 = Deficient
 1.0 = Poor

Summary of Survey Information

Survey respondents rated Judge Spaan on the categories summarized in the table above, using 5 as the highest rating possible. The attorney rating for Judge Spaan on overall performance was 4.1. Peace and probation officers gave Judge Spaan a rating of 3.9. Jurors rated him 4.9 overall, court employees gave him 4.6, and social workers, guardians ad litem and CASA volunteers rated him at 4.0. The Alaska Judicial Observers rated him 3.58.

Recommendation: Vote "YES" to retain Judge Michael Spaan

NOV 25 2009

ALASKA JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Alaska Judicial Council Questionnaire

Trial Judge 2010 Candidates for Judicial Retention

October 22, 2009

Michael R. Spaan

Alaska Superior Court

Name

Court

1. Please estimate your workload during your present term.

a) <u>33</u> % civil cases <u>60</u> % criminal cases <u>7</u> % court administrative work <u>100</u> % Total	b) <u>23</u> # of jury trials/year <u>1</u> # of non-jury trials/year <u>6</u> # of administrative appeals/year
--	---

When I assumed the bench in February 2007, I was assigned to the Civil Division. In February, 2008 I transferred to the Criminal Division. The cases assigned to me depended on which division I was serving.

2. Please describe your participation on court/bar committees or other administrative activities during your current term of office.

- (1) I have filled in as Acting Deputy Presiding Judge when Judge Volland has been out of district.

(2) I am a member of the Criminal Bench-Bar group.

(3) I have participated as a Judge on the High School Moot Court finals.

(4) I am a member of the Court Security Committee.

*Alaska Judicial Council
Trial Judge Questionnaire
Retention*

3. Please assess, in one or two paragraphs, your judicial performance during your present term. Appropriate areas of comment could include: satisfaction with your judicial role, specific contributions to the judiciary or the field of law, increases in legal knowledge and judicial skills, or other measures of judicial abilities that you believe to be important.

When I applied to be a Judge I anticipated enjoying the job. I underestimated the level of satisfaction. I look forward to coming to work each morning. Although I am fortunate to have enjoyed a varied and exciting career, being a Judge is a new challenge. The first year was a learning experience and I thank my colleagues on the bench for their time, understanding and patience. Without their help the learning curve would have been much steeper.

I have been fortunate to serve as both a criminal and civil judge. I have attempted to master the issues that come before me. Every case presents new issues which requires analysis and thought, which is a great thing about this job. Working with lawyers, witnesses, litigants and jurors is the part of the job I also enjoy.

I am constantly working on improving my abilities to listen, analyze, and communicate to assure that all who appear in front of me receive a fair, impartial and reasoned result.

Alaska Judicial Council
Trial Judge Questionnaire
Retention

4. During your most recent term as a judge, have you:
- a) had a tax lien filed or other collection procedure instituted against you by federal, state, or local authorities? Yes No
 - b) been involved in a non-judicial capacity in any legal proceeding whether as a party or otherwise? Yes No
 - c) engaged in the practice of law (other than as a judge)? Yes No
 - d) held office in any political party? Yes No
 - e) held any other local state or federal office? Yes No
 - f) had any complaints, charges or grievances filed against you with the Alaska Commission on Judicial Conduct, the Alaska Bar Association, or with the Alaska Court System that resulted in public proceedings or sanctions? Yes No

5. If your answer to any of the questions above is "yes," please give full details, including dates, facts, case numbers and outcomes.

N/A

6. Please provide any other information which you believe would assist the Council in conducting its evaluations and in preparing its recommendations for the 2010 retention elections.

--

Alaska Judicial Council
 Trial Judge Questionnaire
 Retention

7. Please list your three most recent jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: State of Alaska *Case Number:* 3AN-07-13106 CR
v. Baby Mulipola

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Gustaf Olson</u>	Name: <u>Josh Cashion</u>
Address: <u>310 K Street, Suite 520</u>	Address: <u>900 West 5th Avenue, Suite 200</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 2

Case Name: State of Alaska *Case Number:* 3AN-08-2949 CR
v. Robert Chilligan

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Robert Corbisier</u>	Name: <u>Monica Elkinton</u>
Address: <u>310 K Street, Suite 520</u>	Address: <u>900 West 5th Avenue, Suite 200</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 3

Case Name: State of Alaska *Case Number:* 3AN-07-11074 CR
v. Joseph Delgreco

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Regan Williams</u>	Name: <u>Brendan Kelley</u>
Address: <u>310 K Street, Suite 520</u>	Address: <u>900 West 5th Avenue, Suite 525</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Alaska Judicial Council
 Trial Judge Questionnaire
 Retention

8. Please list your three most recent non-jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in your three most recent non-jury trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: Charles L. Spinelli, et al. *Case Number:* 3AN-06-04048 CI
 v. Municipality of Anchorage, et al.

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>David Shoup</u>	Name: <u>Calvin R. Jones</u>
Address: <u>508 West 2nd Avenue, Third Floor</u>	Address: <u>1900 W. Benson Blvd., Suite 201</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99517</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 2

Case Name: State of Alaska *Case Number:* 3AN-07-11895 CR
 v. Kevin Fena

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Aaron Jabaay</u>	Name: <u>Lance Wells</u>
Address: <u>310 K Street, Suite 520</u>	Address: <u>733 West 4th Avenue, Suite 308</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 3

Case Name: Sheila Curran *Case Number:* 3AN-02-5389 CI
 v. Ed Fayette

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Allen Bailey</u>	Name: <u>Peggy Roston</u>
Address: <u>310 K Street, Suite 401</u>	Address: <u>4241 B Street, Suite 203</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99503</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

*Alaska Judicial Council
Trial Judge Questionnaire
Retention*

9. Please list your three most recent cases, including case names and numbers, which did not go to trial, but on which you did significant work (such as settlement conference, hearings, motion work, etc.). Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: Keith Coonrod, et al. *Case Number:* 3AN-06-08866 CI
v. State of Alaska

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Krista Stearns</u>	Name: <u>Jason Brandeis</u>
Address: <u>1031 West 4th Avenue, Suite 200</u>	Address: <u>PO Box 201844</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99520</u>

Name: <u>Joe Josephson</u>	Name: <u>Kevin Clarkson</u>
Address: <u>912 West 6th Avenue</u>	Address: <u>810 N Street, Suite 100</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>

Case Number 2

Case Name: State of Alaska *Case Number:* 3AN-03-10649 CR *
v. Derrick Wren

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Adrienne Bachman</u>	Name: <u>Andrew Lambert</u>
Address: <u>310 K Street, Suite 520</u>	Address: <u>711 H Street, Suite 450</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>

Name: <u>Daniel Shorey</u>	Name: _____
Address: <u>310 K Street, Suite 520</u>	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 3

Case Name: State of Alaska *Case Number:* 3AN-08-05258 CR
v. Christopher Pifer

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Robert Corbisier</u>	Name: <u>Gayle Brown</u>
Address: <u>310 K Street, Suite 520</u>	Address: <u>750 West 2nd Avenue, Suite 207</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>

Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

**Alaska Judicial Council Questionnaire
Trial Judge 2010 Candidates for Judicial Retention**

Michael R. Spaan
Alaska Superior Court

Supplemental answer to Question 9

Page 6 of 12

Case Number 2 (SOA v. Derrick Wren, Case No. 3AN-03-10649 CR)

*This case is currently in trial and is listed in this section because of the substantial motion work that preceded trial.

Alaska Judicial Council
Trial Judge Questionnaire
Retention

10. *Optional:* If you deem it helpful to the Council, please list up to three other cases during your past term in which you believe your work was particularly noteworthy. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: _____ Case Number: _____

v. _____

Attorneys Involved:

Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 2

Case Name: _____ Case Number: _____

v. _____

Attorneys Involved:

Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 3

Case Name: _____ Case Number: _____

v. _____

Attorneys Involved:

Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Third Judicial District Michael Spaan - Anchorage Superior Court

Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores

Summary of survey information

Judge Spaan's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 4.1 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 3.9 overall, and social workers, Guardians ad Litem and CASA volunteers rated him 4.0 overall.

	Attorney Survey N=118	Peace Officer Survey N=18	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs N=1
Legal Ability	3.9	--	--
Impartiality	4.1	3.9	4.0
Integrity	4.2	4.1	4.0
Temperament	4.0	4.0	4.0
Diligence	4.1	4.0	4.0
Overall	4.1	3.9	4.0

The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, public comments, and the ratings by the independent citizen volunteers at Alaska Judicial Observers. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at www.ajc.state.ak.us.

Superior Court Judge Michael Spaan**A. Alaska Bar Association****Demographic Description**

		N	%
Type of Practice			
	No Response	3	1.9%
	Private, Solo	24	15.6%
	Private, 2-5 Attorneys	16	10.4%
	Private, 6+ Attorneys	21	13.6%
	Private, Corporate Employee	5	3.2%
	Judge or Judicial Officer	24	15.6%
	Government	56	36.4%
	Public Service Agency or Organization (Not Govt)	1	.6%
	Other	4	2.6%
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No Response	4	2.6%
	5 Years or fewer	19	12.3%
	6 to 10 years	7	4.5%
	11 to 15 years	17	11.0%
	16 to 20 years	14	9.1%
	21 years or more	93	60.4%
Gender			
	No Response	6	3.9%
	Male	93	60.4%
	Female	55	35.7%
Cases Handled			
	No Response	4	2.6%
	Prosecution	16	10.4%
	Mainly Criminal	22	14.3%
	Mixed Criminal & Civil	35	22.7%
	Mainly Civil	70	45.5%
	Other	7	4.5%
Location of Practice			
	No Response	3	1.9%
	First District	4	2.6%
	Second District	1	.6%
	Third District	141	91.6%
	Fourth District	3	1.9%
	Outside of Alaska	2	1.3%

Judge Michael Spaan: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation												
No Response		2		2		2		3		4		1
Direct Professional	3.9	117	4.1	117	4.2	117	4.0	116	4.1	115	4.1	118
Professional Reputation	4.2	27	4.2	27	4.1	27	4.0	27	3.9	27	4.1	27
Other Personal Contacts	4.2	6	4.2	6	4.5	6	4.5	6	4.2	5	4.5	6
Type of Practice												
No Response		1		1		1		0		1		1
Private, Solo	4.0	16	4.1	16	4.3	16	4.1	16	4.1	16	4.1	16
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	4.0	13	4.2	13	4.5	13	4.2	13	4.3	13	4.2	13
Private, 6+ Attorneys	3.8	14	3.8	14	3.9	14	3.7	15	3.5	14	3.9	14
Private, Corporate Employee	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.3	3
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.2	22	4.4	21	4.4	22	4.2	22	4.3	21	4.3	22
Government	3.8	44	4.0	45	4.2	44	3.9	42	4.1	43	4.0	45
Public Service Agency or Organization (Not Govt)	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Other	4.0	2	4.5	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
Years of Practice in Alaska												
No Response		1		2		1		0		2		1
5 Years or fewer	3.9	17	4.1	17	4.3	17	4.1	17	4.2	17	4.1	17
6 to 10 years	4.2	6	4.5	6	4.3	6	4.0	5	4.5	6	4.3	6
11 to 15 years	4.3	15	4.4	15	4.6	15	4.3	15	4.3	15	4.4	15
16 to 20 years	3.8	12	3.7	13	4.0	12	3.6	11	3.8	11	3.7	13
21 years or more	3.9	64	4.1	63	4.2	64	4.1	65	4.0	63	4.1	64
Gender												
No Response		0		0		0		2		1		0
Male	4.0	70	4.1	70	4.3	70	4.1	68	4.1	69	4.1	70
Female	3.9	43	4.1	43	4.2	43	4.0	44	4.1	42	4.1	44
Cases Handled												
No Response		1		0		1		1		2		0
Prosecution	4.2	14	4.6	14	4.6	14	3.7	13	4.4	14	4.4	14
Mainly Criminal	3.8	22	3.9	22	4.3	22	4.1	21	4.1	21	3.9	22
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.1	29	4.3	28	4.3	29	4.2	30	4.3	29	4.3	29
Mainly Civil	3.8	46	4.0	47	4.1	46	4.0	46	3.9	45	4.0	47
Other	4.0	3	4.0	3	4.0	3	4.0	3	4.0	3	4.0	3
Location of Practice												
No Response		1		2		2		3		3		1
First District	4.0	2	4.0	3	4.0	3	4.0	3	4.0	2	4.0	3
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	3.9	110	4.1	109	4.3	109	4.0	108	4.1	108	4.1	110
Fourth District	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
Outside of Alaska	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Superior Court Judge Michael Spaan**B. Peace and Probation Officers****Demographic Description**

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	7	35.0%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4	20.0%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1	5.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	7	35.0%
	Other	1	5.0%
Length of Alaska Experience			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	7	35.0%
	6 to 10 years	2	10.0%
	11 to 15 years	6	30.0%
	16 to 20 years	3	15.0%
	21 years or more	2	10.0%
Gender			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	14	70.0%
	Female	6	30.0%
Location of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	20	100%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
	Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%
Community Population			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	1	5.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	5.0%
	Over 35,000	18	90.0%

**Judge Michael Spaan
Peace and Probation Officers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation										
No Response		0		0		1		0		0
Direct Professional	3.9	18	4.1	18	4.0	17	4.0	18	3.9	18
Professional Reputation	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Type of Work										
No Response		0		0		1		0		0
State Law Enforcement Officer	4.3	6	4.5	6	4.2	6	4.3	6	4.3	6
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.5	4	4.3	4	4.3	4	4.3	4	4.3	4
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Probation/Parole Officer	3.0	6	3.2	6	3.4	5	3.2	6	3.0	6
Other	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Length of Experience										
No Response		0		0		1		0		0
5 Years or fewer	3.7	7	3.9	7	4.0	6	3.6	7	3.7	7
6 to 10 years	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.0	2	4.0	2	3.5	2
11 to 15 years	4.3	4	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.0	4	4.0	4
16 to 20 years	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.7	3
21 years or more	4.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.0	2
Gender										
No Response		0		0		1		0		0
Male	4.2	13	4.2	13	4.2	12	4.2	13	4.1	13
Female	3.4	5	3.6	5	3.6	5	3.6	5	3.6	5
Location of Work										
No Response		0		0		1		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	3.9	18	4.1	18	4.0	17	4.0	18	3.9	18
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Community Population										
No Response		0		0		1		0		0
Under 2,000	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	3.9	17	4.0	17	4.0	16	3.9	17	3.9	17

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Superior Court Judge Michael Spaan**C. Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers****Demographic Description**

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	1	50.0%
	Guardian ad Litem	--	0.0%
	CASA Volunteer	1	50.0%
	Other	--	0.0%
Length of Alaska Experience			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	--	0.0%
	6 to 10 years	2	100%
	11 to 15 years	--	0.0%
	16 to 20 years	--	0.0%
	21 years or more	--	0.0%
Gender			
	No Response	1	50.0%
	Male	--	0.0%
	Female	1	50.0%
Location of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	2	100%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
	Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%
Community Population			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0.0%
	Over 35,000	2	100%

Judge Michael Spaan
Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Direct Professional	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Professional Reputation	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Type of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Guardian ad Litem	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
CASA Volunteer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Length of Experience										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
6 to 10 years	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
11 to 15 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Gender										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Female	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Location of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Community Population										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

<p style="text-align: center;">Juror Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation Michael Spaan</p>												
		Distribution of Ratings										
Survey Category	Mean	Excellent		Good		Acceptable		Deficient		Poor		Total Responses
		%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	
Impartiality/Fairness	4.8	83%	220	16%	41	.4%	1	0%	0	0%	0	262
Respectful/Courteous	4.9	90%	238	10%	25	.4%	1	0%	0	0%	0	264
Attentive during Proceedings	4.8	83%	217	17%	44	1%	2	0%	0	0%	0	263
Control over Proceedings	4.9	86%	228	13%	35	.4%	1	0%	0	0%	0	264
Intelligence/Skill as a Judge	4.9	88%	233	11%	30	.4%	1	0%	0	0%	0	264
Overall Evaluation	4.9	88%	233	11%	29	.4%	1	0%	0	0%	0	263

Court Employee Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation Michael Spaan												
Question	Excellent		Good		Acceptable		Deficient		Poor		Mean	Total Responses
	%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)		
Impartiality/Fairness	62%	33	30%	16	8%	4	0%	0	0%	0	4.6	53
Integrity	63%	32	31%	16	6%	3	0%	0	0%	0	4.6	51
Judicial Temperament	67%	36	24%	13	7%	4	2%	1	0%	0	4.6	54
Diligence	59%	30	35%	18	6%	3	0%	0	0%	0	4.5	51
Overall Evaluation	61%	33	33%	18	6%	3	0%	0	0%	0	4.6	54