

**Alaska Judicial Council
2010 Judicial Retention Performance Evaluation Materials
Judge Jack W. Smith
Anchorage Superior Court**

The Judicial Council finds Judge Smith to be **Qualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote **"YES"** to retain him as a superior court judge.

Retention evaluation materials for this judge

1. Voter pamphlet page..... 2
This page will appear in the State of Alaska Election Pamphlet sent to each Alaskan household.

2. Judge questionnaire..... 3
The judge’s response to a Judicial Council questionnaire.

3. Survey scores in 2010
(To view survey scores for all judges on the ballot go to main 2010 retention page.)

a. Attorney; Peace Officer; Social Worker/Guardians Ad Litem/CASA scores..... 10

b. Juror survey scores..... 17

c. Court employee survey scores..... 18

4. Survey scores in previous retention evaluations..... 19

Retention evaluation materials for all judges on the ballot

(To view these materials go to main 2010 retention page.)

- 1. Peremptory challenges**
Analysis of peremptory challenge rates for judges.
- 2. Recusals**
Evaluation of judge’s record of self-disqualification from cases, or “recusals.”
- 3. Appellate Affirmance Rates**
Analysis of appellate decisions involving each trial judge’s cases.
- 4. Salary Warrant Withholdings**
Evaluation of judge’s record of pay withholding for undecided or uncompleted decisions.

Alaska Judicial Council Recommendation

Judge Jack W. Smith, Superior Court, Anchorage

Judicial Council Recommendation 2010

The Alaska Judicial Council is a non-partisan citizens' commission established by the Alaska constitution. Alaskan law requires the Council to evaluate judges' performance and authorizes the Council to recommend to voters whether judges should be retained in office. The Judicial Council reviews judges' integrity, diligence, legal ability, fairness, demeanor, ability to manage their caseloads, and overall performance of their judicial responsibilities in and out of the courtroom. The Judicial Council finds Judge Smith to be **Qualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote "YES" to retain him as a superior court judge.

Judicial Council Evaluation

The Judicial Council surveyed thousands of Alaskans including peace and probation officers, court employees, attorneys, jurors, social workers/guardians ad litem, and child advocates about the judges on the ballot. Respondents were asked to rate judicial performance and to submit comments. The Council also reviewed the ratings and observations of the Alaska Judicial Observers, independent community-based volunteers. The Council reviewed the judge's preemptory challenge, recusals, and appellate affirmance and reversal rates; any civil or criminal litigation involving the judge; APOC and court system conflict-of-interest statements; any disciplinary files involving the judge; and whether a judge's pay was withheld for an untimely decision. The Council reviewed other court records and investigated judicial conduct in specific cases. The Council interviewed some judges, attorneys, and court staff, and held a statewide public hearing to obtain comments about judges.

	Attorney Survey	Peace Officer Survey	Juror Survey	Court Employee Survey	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs
Legal Ability	3.7	---	---	---	---
Impartiality	4.0	4.2	4.9	4.4	5.0
Integrity	4.3	4.2	---	4.4	5.0
Temperament	4.1	4.3	4.9	4.2	5.0
Diligence	4.2	4.4	---	4.2	5.0
Overall	4.0	4.2	4.9	4.3	5.0

Ratings are based on a one to five scale. Five is the best rating and three is "acceptable."

Rating Scale
 5.0 = Excellent
 4.0 = Good
 3.0 = Acceptable
 2.0 = Deficient
 1.0 = Poor

Summary of Survey Information

Survey respondents rated Judge Smith on the categories summarized in the table above, using 5 as the highest rating possible. The attorney rating for Judge Smith on overall performance was 4.0. Peace and probation officers gave Judge Smith a rating of 4.2. Jurors rated him 4.9 overall, court employees gave him 4.3, and social workers, guardians ad litem and CASA volunteers rated him at 5.0. The Alaska Judicial Observers rated him 3.46.

Recommendation: Vote "YES" to retain Judge Jack W. Smith

RECEIVED

NOV 12 2009

ALASKA JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Alaska Judicial Council Questionnaire

Trial Judge 2010 Candidates for Judicial Retention

October 23, 2010

Jack W. Smith
Name

Anchorage Superior Court
Court

1. Please estimate your workload during your present term.

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| a) <u>78</u> % civil cases | b) <u>4</u> # of jury trials/year |
| <u>20</u> % criminal cases | <u>61</u> # of non-jury trials/year |
| <u>2</u> % court administrative work | <u>4</u> # of administrative appeals/year |
| 100 % Total | |

My cases were 100% civil until 1 September 2009. As of that date I have a criminal caseload. Until closeout of my term I will be doing almost 100% criminal cases. The number of jury trials performed should rise dramatically with a criminal case load. Correspondingly the number of non-jury trials should decrease.

2. Please describe your participation on court/bar committees or other administrative activities during your current term of office.

During this term I have been a training judge for magistrates in Palmer, Dillingham, Kenai and Cordova in 2008 and 2009. I was the traveling judge for superior court cases in Cordova during 2008 and 2009. Both of these additional duties ended when I began a criminal caseload 8-31-2009.

Alaska Judicial Council
Trial Judge Questionnaire
2010 Retention

4. During your most recent term as a judge, have you:

- a) had a tax lien filed or other collection procedure instituted against you by federal, state, or local authorities? Yes _____ No .
- b) been involved in a non-judicial capacity in any legal proceeding whether as a party or otherwise? Yes _____ No .
- c) engaged in the practice of law (other than as a judge)? Yes _____ No .
- d) held office in any political party? Yes _____ No .
- e) held any other local state or federal office? Yes _____ No .
- f) had any complaints, charges or grievances filed against you with the Alaska Commission on Judicial Conduct, the Alaska Bar Association, or with the Alaska Court System that resulted in public proceedings or sanctions? Yes _____ No .

5. If your answer to any of the questions above is "yes," please give full details, including dates, facts, case numbers and outcomes.

N/A

6. Please provide any other information which you believe would assist the Council in conducting its evaluations and in preparing its recommendations for the 2010 retention elections.

Am scheduled to complete the academic requirements for the Masters of Judicial Studies from the University of Reno and the National Judicial College in August 2010. A published article will then need to be completed for award of the degree.

7. Please list your three most recent jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: State of Alaska Case Number: 3AN-08-12937CR
v. Cheng Saelee

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Gustaf Olson</u>	Name: <u>Michael Schweiger</u>
Address: <u>310 K St., Ste 520</u>	Address: <u>900 W. 5th Ave., Ste 200</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 2

Case Name: State of Alaska Case Number: 3AN-07-2224CR
v. Showers-Glover

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Taylor Winston</u>	Name: <u>Rex Butler</u>
Address: <u>310 K St., Ste 520</u>	Address: <u>745 W. 4th Ave Ste 300</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 3

Case Name: State of Alaska Case Number: 3AN-09-00047CR
v. Mickey Richardson

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Mara Michaletz</u>	Name: <u>Keri Ann Brady</u>
Address: <u>310 K St., Ste 520</u>	Address: <u>900 W. 5th Ave, Ste 525</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

8. Please list your three most recent non-jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in your three most recent non-jury trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: Matthew Creech *Case Number:* 3AN-06-8229 CI
v. Alaina Wright

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Herman Walker, Jr.</u>	Name: <u>pro per defendant</u>
Address: <u>606 E St., Ste 203</u>	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: _____
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 2

Case Name: Megan Baeza *Case Number:* 3AN-07-8380 CI
v. Matthew Baeza

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Paul Stockler</u>	Name: <u>Peggy Roston</u>
Address: <u>1309 W. 16th Ave</u>	Address: <u>4241 B St, Ste 203</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99503</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 3

Case Name: Kelly Powers *Case Number:* 3AN-06-13334 CI
v. John Scardina

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Terry Aglietti</u>	Name: <u>David Baranow</u>
Address: <u>733 W. 4th Ave, Ste 206</u>	Address: <u>2020 Abbott Rd, Ste 4</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99507</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Alaska Judicial Council
 Trial Judge Questionnaire
 2010 Retention

9. Please list your three most recent cases, including case names and numbers, which did not go to trial, but on which you did significant work (such as settlement conference, hearings, motion work, etc.). Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: Andree McLeod *Case Number:* 3AN-08-10869CI
 v. Sarah Alin, et al

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Donald Mitchell</u>	Name: <u>Michael Mitchell</u>
Address: <u>1335 F St</u>	Address: <u>1031 W. 4th Ave., Ste 200</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 2

Case Name: Jennifer Mabry *Case Number:* 3AN-06-13505CI
 v. Monte Mabry

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Gary Eschbacher</u>	Name: <u>Michael Gershel</u>
Address: <u>718 Barrow St.</u>	Address: <u>211 H. St</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 3

Case Name: State of Alaska *Case Number:* 3AN-08-13494CR
 v. Christopher Moore

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Regan Williams</u>	Name: <u>Michael Schwaiger</u>
Address: <u>310 K ST., Ste 520</u>	Address: <u>900 W. 5th Ave., Ste 200</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Alaska Judicial Council
 Trial Judge Questionnaire
 2010 Retention

10. *Optional:* If you deem it helpful to the Council, please list up to three other cases during your past term in which you believe your work was particularly noteworthy. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: Ahtna Tene Nene' Subsistence Cttee ^{etal} Case Number: 3AN-07-8072 CJ
 v. Alaska Board of Game

Attorneys Involved:

Name: Heather R. Kendall-Miller
 Address: 801B St Ste 401
 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501

Name: Kevin Saxby
 Address: 1031 W. 4th Ave Ste 200
 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501

Name: John Starkey
 Address: 4800 Snow Circle
 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99508

Name: _____
 Address: _____
 City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 2

Case Name: In the Matter of William Bigley Case Number: 3AN-08-00247 PR
 v. _____

Attorneys Involved:

Name: Linda Beecher
 Address: 900 W. 5th Ave, Ste 200
 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501

Name: Timothy Twomey
 Address: 1031 W. 4th Ave., Ste 200
 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501

Name: James Gottstein
 Address: 406 G St, Ste 206
 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501

Name: Elizabeth Brennan
 Address: 900 W. 5th Ave, Ste 200
 City, State, Zip: Anchorage AK 99501

Case Number 3

Case Name: Aurora Power Resources, Inc Case Number: 3AN-08-12259 CJ
 v. Semco Energy, Inc

Attorneys Involved:

Name: Robin Brena
 Address: 810 N St, ste 100
 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501

Name: A. William Saupe
 Address: 1227 W. 9th Ave, Ste 200
 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501

Name: _____
 Address: _____
 City, State, Zip: _____

Name: _____
 Address: _____
 City, State, Zip: _____

Third Judicial District Jack W. Smith - Anchorage Superior Court

Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores

Summary of survey information

Judge Smith's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 4.0 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 4.2 overall, and social workers, Guardians ad Litem and CASA volunteers rated him 5.0 overall.

	Attorney Survey N=171	Peace Officer Survey N=14	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs N=2
Legal Ability	3.7	--	--
Impartiality	4.0	4.2	5.0
Integrity	4.3	4.2	5.0
Temperament	4.1	4.3	5.0
Diligence	4.2	4.4	5.0
Overall	4.0	4.2	5.0

The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, public comments, and the ratings by the independent citizen volunteers at Alaska Judicial Observers. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at www.ajc.state.ak.us.

Superior Court Judge Jack W. Smith**A. Alaska Bar Association****Demographic Description**

	N	%
Type of Practice		
No Response	2	1.1%
Private, Solo	43	23.4%
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	34	18.5%
Private, 6+ Attorneys	16	8.7%
Private, Corporate Employee	2	1.1%
Judge or Judicial Officer	29	15.8%
Government	54	29.3%
Public Service Agency or Organization (Not Govt)	2	1.1%
Other	2	1.1%
Length of Alaska Practice		
No Response	6	3.3%
5 Years or fewer	16	8.7%
6 to 10 years	21	11.4%
11 to 15 years	20	10.9%
16 to 20 years	21	11.4%
21 years or more	100	54.3%
Gender		
No Response	5	2.7%
Male	114	62.0%
Female	65	35.3%
Cases Handled		
No Response	4	2.2%
Prosecution	15	8.2%
Mainly Criminal	20	10.9%
Mixed Criminal & Civil	45	24.5%
Mainly Civil	93	50.5%
Other	7	3.8%
Location of Practice		
No Response	3	1.6%
First District	4	2.2%
Second District	1	.5%
Third District	172	93.5%
Fourth District	4	2.2%
Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%

Judge Jack W. Smith: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation												
No Response		1		2		9		5		7		2
Direct Professional	3.7	171	4.0	170	4.3	163	4.1	167	4.2	165	4.0	170
Professional Reputation	3.3	9	3.4	8	3.9	8	3.8	8	3.5	8	3.5	8
Other Personal Contacts	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.5	2	5.0	1	5.0	1
Type of Practice												
No Response		0		0		0		1		0		1
Private, Solo	3.7	42	3.8	42	4.2	41	4.0	41	4.2	42	3.9	43
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	3.6	32	3.9	32	4.1	30	4.1	31	4.0	29	3.9	31
Private, 6+ Attorneys	2.9	13	3.4	12	3.8	12	3.2	11	3.5	12	3.1	12
Private, Corporate Employee	4.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.1	26	4.4	26	4.7	26	4.4	26	4.4	26	4.3	26
Government	3.87	51	4.2	51	4.2	47	4.3	51	4.2	49	4.2	51
Public Service Agency or Organization (Not Govt)	4.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.0	2	4.5	2	4.0	2
Other	4.0	2	5.0	2	4.5	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	4.5	2
Years of Practice in Alaska												
No Response		1		2		5		4		3		2
5 Years or fewer	3.8	15	4.2	15	4.4	15	4.3	15	4.4	14	4.3	15
6 to 10 years	3.8	21	4.2	21	4.2	18	4.1	21	4.3	20	4.1	21
11 to 15 years	4.3	20	4.4	20	4.6	20	4.4	19	4.5	20	4.5	20
16 to 20 years	4.2	20	4.3	20	4.5	19	4.5	20	4.4	18	4.3	20
21 years or more	3.5	91	3.9	90	4.2	87	4.0	88	4.0	89	3.8	90
Gender												
No Response		1		1		7		1		3		0
Male	3.7	107	4.1	107	4.3	101	4.1	107	4.2	105	4.0	108
Female	3.7	60	4.0	59	4.2	58	4.1	56	4.1	56	4.0	58
Cases Handled												
No Response		1		1		6		3		5		1
Prosecution	3.9	15	4.5	15	4.5	13	4.5	15	4.4	15	4.5	15
Mainly Criminal	4.0	20	4.2	20	4.3	20	4.3	20	4.4	20	4.3	20
Mixed Criminal & Civil	3.9	40	4.2	39	4.4	39	4.2	39	4.3	38	4.1	39
Mainly Civil	3.5	87	3.9	87	4.2	82	4.0	85	4.0	83	3.8	87
Other	3.8	6	3.8	6	3.8	6	4.2	5	3.8	6	3.8	6
Location of Practice												
No Response		1		2		9		5		6		2
First District	4.3	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.3	4	4.3	4	4.3	4
Second District	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1
Third District	3.7	160	4.0	159	4.3	152	4.1	156	4.2	155	4.0	159
Fourth District	3.8	4	3.8	4	4.0	4	4.0	4	3.3	3	4.0	4
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Superior Court Judge Jack W. Smith**B. Peace and Probation Officers****Demographic Description**

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	4	23.5%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	9	52.9%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	4	23.5%
	Other	--	0.0%
Length of Alaska Experience			
	No Response	1	5.9%
	5 Years or fewer	2	11.8%
	6 to 10 years	3	17.6%
	11 to 15 years	8	47.1%
	16 to 20 years	1	5.9%
	21 years or more	2	11.8%
Gender			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	14	82.4%
	Female	3	17.6%
Location of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	17	100%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
	Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%
Community Population			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	11.8%
	Over 35,000	15	88.2%

Judge Jack W. Smith
Peace and Probation Officers

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation										
No Response		1		1		0		0		0
Direct Professional	4.2	13	4.2	13	4.3	14	4.4	14	4.2	14
Professional Reputation	4.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	5.0	1	4.5	2
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Type of Work										
No Response		1		0		0		0		0
State Law Enforcement Officer	4.0	2	4.0	2	3.5	2	4.0	2	3.5	2
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.4	7	4.4	8	4.4	8	4.5	8	4.4	8
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	4.0	4	4.0	3	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.3	4
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Length of Experience										
No Response		1		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
6 to 10 years	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
11 to 15 years	4.3	6	4.3	7	4.3	7	4.4	7	4.3	7
16 to 20 years	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
21 years or more	4.0	2	4.0	1	3.5	2	4.0	2	3.5	2
Gender										
No Response		1		1		0		0		0
Male	4.4	11	4.4	11	4.5	11	4.6	11	4.4	11
Female	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.7	3	4.0	3	3.7	3
Location of Work										
No Response		1		1		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.2	13	4.2	13	4.3	14	4.4	14	4.2	14
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Community Population										
No Response		1		1		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	4.2	13	4.2	13	4.3	14	4.4	14	4.2	14

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Superior Court Judge Jack W. Smith**C. Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers****Demographic Description**

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	2	33.3%
	Guardian ad Litem	2	33.3%
	CASA Volunteer	2	33.3%
	Other	--	0.0%
Length of Alaska Experience			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	1	16.7%
	6 to 10 years	2	33.3%
	11 to 15 years	1	16.7%
	16 to 20 years	--	0.0%
	21 years or more	2	33.3%
Gender			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	1	16.7%
	Female	5	83.3%
Location of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	6	100%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
	Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%
Community Population			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0.0%
	Over 35,000	6	100%

Judge Jack W. Smith
Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Direct Professional	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
Professional Reputation	3.0	4	3.5	4	3.3	4	3.3	4	3.3	4
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Type of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Guardian ad Litem	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
CASA Volunteer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Length of Experience										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
6 to 10 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
11 to 15 years	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Gender										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Female	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
Location of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Community Population										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Juror Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation Jack W. Smith												
		Distribution of Ratings										
Survey Category	Mean	Excellent		Good		Acceptable		Deficient		Poor		Total Responses
		%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	
Impartiality/Fairness	4.9	88%	82	11%	10	1%	1	0%	0	0%	0	93
Respectful/Courteous	4.9	94%	87	5%	5	1%	1	0%	0	0%	0	93
Attentive during Proceedings	4.9	88%	82	10%	9	2%	2	0%	0	0%	0	93
Control over Proceedings	4.9	86%	80	14%	13	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	93
Intelligence/Skill as a Judge	4.9	87%	81	13%	12	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	93
Overall Evaluation	4.9	94%	87	7%	6	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	93

Court Employee Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation Jack W. Smith									
Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Poor % (n)	Mean	Total Responses		
Impartiality/Fairness	54% 32	31% 18	14% 8	0% 0	2% 1	4.4	59		
Integrity	60% 36	25% 15	12% 7	0% 0	3% 2	4.4	60		
Judicial Temperament	51% 31	26% 16	16% 10	5% 3	2% 1	4.2	61		
Diligence	54% 32	22% 13	19% 11	3% 2	2% 1	4.2	59		
Overall Evaluation	52% 31	28% 17	18% 11	0% 0	2% 1	4.3	60		

Prior Retention Scores
 Judge Jack W. Smith
 Anchorage Superior Court: Retention 2010
 Appointed to Anchorage Superior Court 2006
 Appointed to Anchorage District Court 2003

Bar Survey						
2010 Retention	3.7	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.2	4.0
2006 Retention	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
	Legal Ability	Impartiality	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Performance
Peace & Probation Officer Survey						
2010 Retention	4.2	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.2	
2006 Retention	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.2	
	Impartiality	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Performance	