

**Alaska Judicial Council  
2010 Judicial Retention Performance Evaluation Materials  
Judge Anna M. Moran  
Kenai Superior Court**

The Judicial Council finds Judge Moran to be **Qualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote **"YES"** to retain her as a superior court judge.

**Retention evaluation materials for this judge**

**1. Voter pamphlet page**..... 2  
This page will appear in the State of Alaska Election Pamphlet sent to each Alaskan household.

**2. Judge questionnaire**..... 3  
The judge's response to a Judicial Council questionnaire.

**3. Survey scores in 2010**  
*(To view survey scores for all judges on the ballot go to main 2010 retention page.)*

**a. Attorney; Peace Officer; Social Worker/Guardians Ad Litem/CASA scores**..... 10

**b. Juror survey scores**..... 17

**c. Court employee survey scores**..... 18

**4. Survey scores in previous retention evaluations**  
*(This judge is standing for retention for the first time)*

**Retention evaluation materials for all judges on the ballot**

*(To view these materials go to main 2010 retention page.)*

- 1. Peremptory challenges**  
Analysis of peremptory challenge rates for judges.
- 2. Recusals**  
Evaluation of judge's record of self-disqualification from cases, or "recusals."
- 3. Appellate Affirmance Rates**  
Analysis of appellate decisions involving each trial judge's cases.
- 4. Salary Warrant Withholdings**  
Evaluation of judge's record of pay withholding for undecided or uncompleted decisions.

# Alaska Judicial Council Recommendation

## Judge Anna M. Moran, Superior Court, Kenai

### Judicial Council Recommendation 2010

The Alaska Judicial Council is a non-partisan citizens' commission established by the Alaska constitution. Alaskan law requires the Council to evaluate judges' performance and authorizes the Council to recommend to voters whether judges should be retained in office. The Judicial Council reviews judges' integrity, diligence, legal ability, fairness, demeanor, ability to manage their caseloads, and overall performance of their judicial responsibilities in and out of the courtroom. The Judicial Council finds Judge Moran to be **Qualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote "YES" to retain her as a superior court judge.

### Judicial Council Evaluation

The Judicial Council surveyed thousands of Alaskans including peace and probation officers, court employees, attorneys, jurors, social workers/guardians ad litem, and child advocates about the judges on the ballot. Respondents were asked to rate judicial performance and to submit comments. The Council also reviewed the ratings and observations of the Alaska Judicial Observers, independent community-based volunteers. The Council reviewed the judge's preemptory challenge, recusals, and appellate affirmance and reversal rates; any civil or criminal litigation involving the judge; APOC and court system conflict-of-interest statements; any disciplinary files involving the judge; and whether a judge's pay was withheld for an untimely decision. The Council reviewed other court records and investigated judicial conduct in specific cases. The Council interviewed some judges, attorneys, and court staff, and held a statewide public hearing to obtain comments about judges.

	Attorney Survey	Peace Officer Survey	Juror Survey	Court Employee Survey	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs
Legal Ability	3.9	---	---	---	---
Impartiality	4.0	3.0	4.9	4.4	4.5
Integrity	4.2	3.3	---	4.4	4.8
Temperament	3.9	3.2	4.9	4.2	4.5
Diligence	3.9	3.4	---	4.4	4.8
Overall	3.9	3.2	4.9	4.4	4.8

Ratings are based on a one to five scale. Five is the best rating and three is "acceptable."

#### Rating Scale

5.0 = Excellent  
 4.0 = Good  
 3.0 = Acceptable  
 2.0 = Deficient  
 1.0 = Poor

### Summary of Survey Information

Survey respondents rated Judge Moran on the categories summarized in the table above, using 5 as the highest rating possible. The attorney rating for Judge Moran on overall performance was 3.9. Peace and probation officers gave Judge Moran a rating of 3.2. Jurors rated her 4.9 overall, court employees gave her 4.4, and social workers, guardians ad litem and CASA volunteers rated her at 4.8.

**Recommendation: Vote "YES" to retain Judge Anna M. Moran**

**Alaska Judicial Council  
Questionnaire**

**Trial Judge  
2010 Candidates for Judicial Retention**

**October 22, 2009**

Anna M. Moran	Kenai Superior Court
Name	Court

1. Please estimate your workload during your present term.

a) <u>45</u> % civil cases <u>45</u> % criminal cases <u>10</u> % court administrative work <u>100</u> % Total	b) <u>3-4</u> # of jury trials/year <u>15</u> # of non-jury trials/year <u>5</u> # of administrative appeals/year
---	---

2. Please describe your participation on court/bar committees or other administrative activities during your current term of office.

Before my appointment, I was a member of the Children's Rules Committee and I was a Magistrate Training Judge. After my appointment in 2007, Justice Fabe asked if I would step down from these responsibilities to attend to the affairs of the Kenai court, especially given the retirement of Judge Brown, the deployment of Judge Huguelet to Iraq, and the impending Kenai courthouse remodel project. Since that time, I have not been asked to join any state committees. However, I have been active on the local level and have participated and attended several Bench/Bar meetings with civil attorneys, criminal attorneys, and those who routinely handle children's cases. I have also been actively involved with the local attorneys and the Clerk of Court in redesigning our criminal court calendar.

*Alaska Judicial Council  
Trial Judge Questionnaire  
Retention*

---

3. Please assess, in one or two paragraphs, your judicial performance during your present term. Appropriate areas of comment could include: satisfaction with your judicial role, specific contributions to the judiciary or the field of law, increases in legal knowledge and judicial skills, or other measures of judicial abilities that you believe to be important.

My first year was indeed a challenge. Within just a few months of my appointment, Judge Brown retired, Judge Huguelet was deployed to Iraq and the Kenai courthouse began a major remodel requiring the creation of a new calendar.

I was fortunate to have the support of a wonderful clerk's office, a good judicial assistant and law clerk, as well as help from judges in Anchorage and elsewhere who covered the Seward and Homer calendars. We weathered many storms. Like all storms, the skies eventually cleared: Judge Bauman was appointed to the bench, Judge Huguelet returned from Iraq, safe and sound, and the court house remodel was finished.

I have always loved my job, first as a Magistrate/Master and now as a Superior Court Judge. I especially enjoy the wide range of cases and unique and complex questions of law that come before me on a regular basis.

My goal as a judge is to be compassionate and fair to all parties, and to recognize each person's individuality. This means being a good listener, treating everyone equally, and ensuring each citizen has had the opportunity to be heard. I try to apply these concepts whether I am sentencing a defendant in a criminal case, making a custody determination in a family law case, or deciding complex property rights in a civil matter. It continues to be a pleasure to serve the State of Alaska in this capacity.

Alaska Judicial Council  
Trial Judge Questionnaire  
Retention

---

4. During your most recent term as a judge, have you:
- a) had a tax lien filed or other collection procedure instituted against you by federal, state, or local authorities?     Yes  No
  - b) been involved in a non-judicial capacity in any legal proceeding whether as a party or otherwise?     Yes  No
  - c) engaged in the practice of law (other than as a judge)?     Yes  No
  - d) held office in any political party?     Yes  No
  - e) held any other local state or federal office?     Yes  No
  - f) had any complaints, charges or grievances filed against you with the Alaska Commission on Judicial Conduct, the Alaska Bar Association, or with the Alaska Court System that resulted in public proceedings or sanctions?     Yes  No

5. If your answer to any of the questions above is "yes," please give full details, including dates, facts, case numbers and outcomes.

My husband has an administrative appeal pending in the Superior Court. I am not a named party but I have assisted him in his preparations.

6. Please provide any other information which you believe would assist the Council in conducting its evaluations and in preparing its recommendations for the 2010 retention elections.

Alaska Judicial Council  
 Trial Judge Questionnaire  
 Retention

7. Please list your three most recent jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

**Case Number 1**

*Case Name:* Marcella Scroggs *Case Number:* 3KN-07-988 CI  
 v. Brian C. Powell

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Dale Walther</u>	Name: <u>Laura Farley</u>
Address: <u>1029 W. 3rd Avenue, Suite 250</u>	Address: <u>807 G Street, Suite 250</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

**Case Number 2**

*Case Name:* State of Alaska *Case Number:* 3KN-07-1445 CR  
 v. David Rupeiks

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Jean Seaton</u>	Name: <u>Ben Adams</u>
Address: <u>304 Lake St. Room 202</u>	Address: <u>130 Trading Bay Drive, Suite 390</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Sitka, AK 99835</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, AK 99611</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

**Case Number 3**

*Case Name:* Rory Polak *Case Number:* 3KN-05-496 CI  
 v. Ingersall-Rand et al

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Robert Jurasek</u>	Name: <u>William Ingaldson</u>
Address: <u>1400 W. Benson Blvd, Suite 550</u>	Address: <u>813 W. 3rd Ave,</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99503</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Alaska Judicial Council  
 Trial Judge Questionnaire  
 Retention

8. Please list your three most recent non-jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in your three most recent non-jury trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

**Case Number 1**

*Case Name:* Shane Chafee *Case Number:* 3KN-07-834 CI  
 v. Kimbery Traxler

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Carole Brenckle</u>	Name: <u>Charles Winegarden</u>
Address: <u>130 Trading Bay Drive, Suite 340</u>	Address: <u>220 Main Street Loop</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, AK 99611</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, AK 99611</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

**Case Number 2**

*Case Name:* Michael McLeod *Case Number:* 3KN-07-485 CI  
 v. Sarah McLeod

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Carole Brenckle</u>	Name: <u>Margaret Rogers</u>
Address: <u>130 Trading Bay, Suite 340</u>	Address: <u>100 Cushman Street, Suite 5113</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, AK 99611</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Fairbanks, AK 99701</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

**Case Number 3**

*Case Name:* ITMO B.C. *Case Number:* 3KN-05-166 PR  
 v. \_\_\_\_\_

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Jennifer Wagner</u>	Name: <u>Lanai Austin-Kelly</u>
Address: <u>421 West 1st Avenue</u>	Address: <u>310 K Street</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

*Alaska Judicial Council  
Trial Judge Questionnaire  
Retention*

9. Please list your three most recent cases, including case names and numbers, which did not go to trial, but on which you did significant work (such as settlement conference, hearings, motion work, etc.). Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

**Case Number 1**

**Case Name:** State of Alaska **Case Number:** 3KN-06-1428 CR  
**v.** Keith Moyer

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Scott Leaders</u>	Name: <u>Joshua Fannon</u>
Address: <u>120 Trading Bay, Suite 200</u>	Address: <u>808 S. Bailey Street, Suite 101</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, AK 99611</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Palmer, AK 99645</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

**Case Number 2**

**Case Name:** Alliance of Concerned Taxpayers **Case Number:** 3KN-07-896 CI  
**v.** Kenai Peninsula Borough

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Kenneth Jacobus</u>	Name: <u>Collette Thompson</u>
Address: <u>310 K Street</u>	Address: <u>144 North Binkley</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Soldotna, AK 99669</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

**Case Number 3**

**Case Name:** State of Alaska **Case Number:** 3KN-07-1519 CR  
**v.** Wendall Welch

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Jean Seaton</u>	Name: <u>Joe Ray Skrha</u>
Address: <u>304 Lake Street, Suite 202</u>	Address: <u>150 N. Willow, Suite 2</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Sitka, AK 99835</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, AK 99611</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____



Alaska Judicial Council  
Trial Judge Questionnaire  
Retention

10. *Optional:* If you deem it helpful to the Council, please list up to three other cases during your past term in which you believe your work was particularly noteworthy. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

**Case Number 1**

*Case Name:* \_\_\_\_\_ *Case Number:* \_\_\_\_\_

*v.* \_\_\_\_\_

***Attorneys Involved:***

Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

**Case Number 2**

*Case Name:* \_\_\_\_\_ *Case Number:* \_\_\_\_\_

*v.* \_\_\_\_\_

***Attorneys Involved:***

Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

**Case Number 3**

*Case Name:* \_\_\_\_\_ *Case Number:* \_\_\_\_\_

*v.* \_\_\_\_\_

***Attorneys Involved:***

Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

## Third Judicial District Anna M. Moran - Kenai Superior Court

### Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores

#### ***Summary of survey information***

Judge Moran's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated her 3.9 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated her 3.2 overall, and social workers, Guardians ad Litem and CASA volunteers rated her 4.8 overall.

	Attorney Survey N=89	Peace Officer Survey N=25	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs N=6
Legal Ability	3.9	--	--
Impartiality	4.0	3.0	4.5
Integrity	4.2	3.3	4.8
Temperament	3.9	3.2	4.5
Diligence	3.9	3.4	4.8
Overall	3.9	3.2	4.8

#### ***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, public comments, and the ratings by the independent citizen volunteers at Alaska Judicial Observers. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).

**Superior Court Judge Anna M. Moran****A. Alaska Bar Association****Demographic Description**

	N	%
<b>Type of Practice</b>		
No Response	1	1.0%
Private, Solo	17	17.2%
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	16	16.2%
Private, 6+ Attorneys	7	7.1%
Private, Corporate Employee	1	1.0%
Judge or Judicial Officer	32	32.3%
Government	23	23.2%
Public Service Agency or Organization (Not Govt)	--	0.0%
Other	2	2.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>		
No Response	4	4.0%
5 Years or fewer	3	3.0%
6 to 10 years	6	6.1%
11 to 15 years	7	7.1%
16 to 20 years	11	11.1%
21 years or more	68	68.7%
<b>Gender</b>		
No Response	3	3.0%
Male	64	64.6%
Female	32	32.3%
<b>Cases Handled</b>		
No Response	2	2.0%
Prosecution	3	3.0%
Mainly Criminal	6	6.1%
Mixed Criminal & Civil	39	39.4%
Mainly Civil	44	44.4%
Other	5	5.1%
<b>Location of Practice</b>		
No Response	2	2.0%
First District	2	2.0%
Second District	2	2.0%
Third District	86	86.9%
Fourth District	6	6.1%
Outside of Alaska	1	1.0%

## Judge Anna M. Moran: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		0		0		0		0		2		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>89</b>
Professional Reputation	3.7	9	3.2	9	3.4	9	3.4	8	3.3	9	3.4	9
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		0		0		0		0		1		0
Private, Solo	4.1	16	4.2	16	4.3	16	3.9	16	4.1	15	4.0	16
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	3.7	15	3.8	15	3.9	15	3.7	15	3.5	15	3.7	15
Private, 6+ Attorneys	3.4	5	3.4	5	3.4	5	3.4	5	3.4	5	3.4	5
Private, Corporate Employee	3.0	1	3.0	1	5.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.3	30	4.8	30	4.5	30	4.2	30	4.4	29	4.4	30
Government	3.4	20	3.6	20	3.9	20	3.8	20	3.4	20	3.4	20
Public Service Agency or Organization (Not Govt)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other	4.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	4.5	2
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		0		0		0		0		2		0
5 Years or fewer	2.3	3	2.3	3	2.7	3	2.3	3	2.3	3	2.3	3
6 to 10 years	3.4	5	3.6	5	3.8	5	3.8	5	3.4	5	3.6	5
11 to 15 years	4.0	6	4.3	6	4.3	6	4.0	6	4.2	6	4.2	6
16 to 20 years	4.1	11	4.1	11	4.4	11	4.4	11	4.3	11	4.2	11
21 years or more	3.9	61	4.1	61	4.2	61	3.9	61	3.9	59	3.9	61
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		0		0		0		0		2		0
Male	4.0	59	4.1	59	4.2	59	3.9	59	4.0	57	4.0	59
Female	3.7	28	3.8	28	4.2	28	3.9	28	3.7	28	3.7	28
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		0		0		0		0		1		0
Prosecution	2.5	2	3.0	2	3.0	2	3.0	2	3.0	2	3.0	2
Mainly Criminal	3.8	5	4.0	5	4.0	5	3.8	5	4.0	5	4.0	5
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.2	35	4.3	35	4.4	35	4.2	35	4.2	34	4.2	35
Mainly Civil	3.8	41	3.9	41	4.1	41	3.9	41	3.7	40	3.7	41
Other	3.2	5	3.4	5	3.6	5	3.2	5	3.6	5	3.4	5
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		0		0		0		0		1		0
First District	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
Second District	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	1	4.5	2
Third District	3.8	79	4.0	79	4.1	79	3.9	79	3.9	78	3.9	79
Fourth District	3.8	4	3.5	4	4.5	4	3.5	4	3.5	4	3.8	4
Outside of Alaska	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Superior Court Judge Anna M. Moran****B. Peace and Probation Officers****Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	16	55.2%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	7	24.1%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	4	13.8%
	Other	2	6.9%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	5	17.2%
	6 to 10 years	5	17.2%
	11 to 15 years	8	27.6%
	16 to 20 years	8	27.6%
	21 years or more	3	10.3%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	28	96.6%
	Female	1	3.4%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	26	89.7%
	Fourth District	3	10.3%
	Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	2	6.9%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	23	79.3%
	Over 35,000	4	13.8%

**Judge Anna M. Moran**  
**Peace and Probation Officers**

	<b>Impartiality/ Fairness</b>		<b>Integrity</b>		<b>Judicial Temperament</b>		<b>Diligence</b>		<b>Overall Evaluation</b>	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		1		0		1		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>25</b>
Professional Reputation	3.0	2	3.7	3	3.3	3	3.7	3	3.7	3
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
State Law Enforcement Officer	2.9	14	3.2	14	3.0	14	3.2	13	2.9	14
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	2.8	5	3.5	4	3.6	5	3.6	5	3.4	5
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	3.5	4	3.8	4	3.8	4	4.5	4	4.0	4
Other	3.0	2	2.5	2	3.0	2	2.5	2	3.0	2
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
5 Years or fewer	3.2	5	2.8	5	3.4	5	3.6	5	3.2	5
6 to 10 years	3.3	3	4.0	3	3.7	3	4.3	3	3.7	3
11 to 15 years	2.4	7	3.0	7	2.6	7	2.7	6	2.7	7
16 to 20 years	3.3	7	3.6	7	3.6	7	3.6	7	3.3	7
21 years or more	3.0	3	3.5	2	3.3	3	3.3	3	3.3	3
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		1		0		1		0
Male	3.0	24	3.4	23	3.3	24	3.4	23	3.2	24
Female	2.0	1	1.0	1	2.0	1	3.0	1	2.0	1
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		1		0		1		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	3.1	22	3.5	21	3.3	22	3.4	21	3.3	22
Fourth District	2.3	3	2.0	3	2.7	3	3.3	3	2.3	3
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		1		0		1		0
Under 2,000	3.0	2	3.0	2	3.0	2	3.0	2	3.0	2
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2.9	20	3.2	19	3.1	20	3.4	19	3.1	20
Over 35,000	3.7	3	4.0	3	4.3	3	3.7	3	3.7	3

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Superior Court Judge Anna M. Moran****C. Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers****Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	5	83.3%
	Guardian ad Litem	1	16.7%
	CASA Volunteer	--	0.0%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	1	16.7%
	6 to 10 years	2	33.3%
	11 to 15 years	1	16.7%
	16 to 20 years	1	16.7%
	21 years or more	1	16.7%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	1	16.7%
	Female	5	83.3%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	6	100%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
	Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	1	16.7%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	4	66.7%
	Over 35,000	1	16.7%

**Judge Anna M. Moran**  
**Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>6</b>
Professional Reputation	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	4.4	5	4.8	5	4.6	5	4.8	5	4.8	5
Guardian ad Litem	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
CASA Volunteer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
6 to 10 years	4.0	2	4.5	2	4.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
11 to 15 years	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
16 to 20 years	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
21 years or more	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Female	4.4	5	4.8	5	4.4	5	4.8	5	4.8	5
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.5	6	4.8	6	4.5	6	4.8	6	4.8	6
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.5	4	4.8	4	4.3	4	4.8	4	4.8	4
Over 35,000	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.



<b>Juror Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation Anna M. Moran</b>												
		<b>Distribution of Ratings</b>										
<b>Survey Category</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Excellent</b>		<b>Good</b>		<b>Acceptable</b>		<b>Deficient</b>		<b>Poor</b>		<b>Total Responses</b>
		<b>%</b>	<b>(n)</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>(n)</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>(n)</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>(n)</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>(n)</b>	
<b>Impartiality/Fairness</b>	<b>4.9</b>	86%	44	14%	7	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	51
<b>Respectful/Courteous</b>	<b>4.9</b>	92%	47	8%	4	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	51
<b>Attentive during Proceedings</b>	<b>4.7</b>	75%	38	24%	12	2%	1	0%	0	0%	0	51
<b>Control over Proceedings</b>	<b>4.8</b>	82%	42	16%	8	2%	1	0%	0	0%	0	51
<b>Intelligence/Skill as a Judge</b>	<b>4.8</b>	82%	42	14%	7	4%	2	0%	0	0%	0	51
<b>Overall Evaluation</b>	<b>4.9</b>	88%	45	12%	6	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	51

<b>Court Employee Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation Anna M. Moran</b>												
<b>Question</b>	<b>Excellent</b>		<b>Good</b>		<b>Acceptable</b>		<b>Deficient</b>		<b>Poor</b>		<b>Mean</b>	<b>Total Responses</b>
	<b>%</b>	<b>(n)</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>(n)</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>(n)</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>(n)</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>(n)</b>		
<b>Impartiality/Fairness</b>	58%	15	27%	7	15%	4	0%	0	0%	0	4.4	26
<b>Integrity</b>	52%	13	32%	8	16%	4	0%	0	0%	0	4.4	25
<b>Judicial Temperament</b>	41%	11	41%	11	15%	4	4%	1	0%	0	4.2	27
<b>Diligence</b>	54%	14	27%	7	19%	5	0%	0	0%	0	4.4	26
<b>Overall Evaluation</b>	52%	14	33%	9	15%	4	0%	0	0%	0	4.4	27