

**Alaska Judicial Council
2010 Judicial Retention Performance Evaluation Materials
Judge Patrick J. McKay
Anchorage Superior Court**

The Judicial Council finds Judge McKay to be **Qualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote **"YES"** to retain him as a superior court judge.

Retention evaluation materials for this judge

1. Voter pamphlet page	2
This page will appear in the State of Alaska Election Pamphlet sent to each Alaskan household.	
2. Judge questionnaire	3
The judge's response to a Judicial Council questionnaire.	
3. Survey scores in 2010	
<i>(To view survey scores for all judges on the ballot go to main 2010 retention page.)</i>	
a. Attorney; Peace Officer; Social Worker/Guardians Ad Litem/CASA scores	10
b. Juror survey scores	17
c. Court employee survey scores	18
4. Survey scores in previous retention evaluations	
<i>(This judge is standing for retention for the first time)</i>	

Retention evaluation materials for all judges on the ballot

(To view these materials go to main 2010 retention page.)

1. Peremptory challenges	
Analysis of peremptory challenge rates for judges.	
2. Recusals	
Evaluation of judge's record of self-disqualification from cases, or "recusals."	
3. Appellate Affirmance Rates	
Analysis of appellate decisions involving each trial judge's cases.	
4. Salary Warrant Withholdings	
Evaluation of judge's record of pay withholding for undecided or uncompleted decisions.	

Alaska Judicial Council Recommendation

Judge Patrick J. McKay, Superior Court, Anchorage

Judicial Council Recommendation 2010

The Alaska Judicial Council is a non-partisan citizens' commission established by the Alaska constitution. Alaskan law requires the Council to evaluate judges' performance and authorizes the Council to recommend to voters whether judges should be retained in office. The Judicial Council reviews judges' integrity, diligence, legal ability, fairness, demeanor, ability to manage their caseloads, and overall performance of their judicial responsibilities in and out of the courtroom. Judge McKay was reprimanded by the Alaska Supreme Court as a result of the judge's conviction for Driving Under the Influence. Judge McKay responded honestly and constructively to this reprimand and complied with the court's treatment requirements and other conditions in every respect. The Judicial Council finds Judge McKay to be **Qualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote "YES" to retain him as a superior court judge.

Judicial Council Evaluation

The Judicial Council surveyed thousands of Alaskans including peace and probation officers, court employees, attorneys, jurors, social workers/guardians ad litem, and child advocates about the judges on the ballot. Respondents were asked to rate judicial performance and to submit comments. The Council also reviewed the ratings and observations of the Alaska Judicial Observers, independent community-based volunteers. The Council reviewed the judge's peremptory challenge, recusals, and appellate affirmance and reversal rates; any civil or criminal litigation involving the judge; APOC and court system conflict-of-interest statements; any disciplinary files involving the judge; and whether a judge's pay was withheld for an untimely decision. The Council reviewed other court records and investigated judicial conduct in specific cases. The Council interviewed some judges, attorneys, and court staff, and held a statewide public hearing to obtain comments about judges.

	Attorney Survey	Peace Officer Survey	Juror Survey	Court Employee Survey	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs
Legal Ability	4.1	---	---	---	---
Impartiality	4.2	3.6	4.9	4.5	3.5
Integrity	4.0	3.2	---	4.3	3.0
Temperament	4.1	3.7	5.0	4.5	3.5
Diligence	4.1	3.6	---	4.5	3.5
Overall	4.1	3.3	5.0	4.6	3.5

Ratings are based on a one to five scale. Five is the best rating and three is "acceptable."

Rating Scale

5.0 = Excellent
 4.0 = Good
 3.0 = Acceptable
 2.0 = Deficient
 1.0 = Poor

Summary of Survey Information

Survey respondents rated Judge McKay on the categories summarized in the table above, using 5 as the highest rating possible. The attorney rating for Judge McKay on overall performance was 4.1. Peace and probation officers gave Judge McKay a rating of 3.3. Jurors rated him 5.0 overall, court employees gave him 4.6, and social workers, guardians ad litem and CASA volunteers rated him at 3.5. The Alaska Judicial Observers rated him 3.57.

Recommendation: Vote "YES" to retain Judge Patrick J. McKay

RECEIVED

DEC 9 2009

ALASKA JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Alaska Judicial Council Questionnaire

Trial Judge 2010 Candidates for Judicial Retention

October 22, 2009

Patrick J. McKay	Anchorage Superior Court
Name	Court

1. Please estimate your workload during your present term.

- | | |
|--|---|
| <p>a) $\frac{23}{75}$ % civil cases</p> <p> $\frac{75}{2}$ % criminal cases</p> <p> $\frac{2}{100}$ % court administrative work</p> <p> 100 % Total</p> | <p>b) $\frac{25}{15}$ # of jury trials/year</p> <p> $\frac{15}{10}$ # of non-jury trials/year</p> <p> $\frac{10}{}$ # of administrative appeals/year</p> |
|--|---|

I was assigned a civil calendar the first year, criminal for almost 3 years and now back to a civil calendar. These are averages over all calendars, all years

2. Please describe your participation on court/bar committees or other administrative activities during your current term of office.

I have had the opportunity to try cases in Naknek and Dillingham as the Dillingham perempt judge. I was also able to assist in Kenai during their period of judicial reorganization. After a short time on the criminal bench, I was assigned to the "Project Phoenix" committee- a comprehensive overhaul of the Anchorage felony caseload to improve caseflow management. Additionally, I have performed other duties as requested including student tours, bench/bar meetings and the like.

*Alaska Judicial Council
Trial Judge Questionnaire
Retention*

3. Please assess, in one or two paragraphs, your judicial performance during your present term. Appropriate areas of comment could include: satisfaction with your judicial role, specific contributions to the judiciary or the field of law, increases in legal knowledge and judicial skills, or other measures of judicial abilities that you believe to be important.

After my appointment, I was first assigned to the civil calendar and I recall the surprise at the number of pro per parties, especially in domestic cases. I was fortunate to conduct an unusual number of jury trial days as a civil judge which served well when I was asked to serve on the criminal bench. After a steep, but quick, learning curve, I believe I was able to respond well to the task. During my tenure on the criminal bench, the felony case load grew exponentially, but as a team, I am proud that we were able to maximize our resources and meet the serious challenges to the entire system, from support staff to jury clerks, judicial services, in-courts and administrative assistants.

Back in civil, after an almost three year stint on the criminal bench, I can see the burgeoning effects of the continued increase in pro per involvement in the court system. I see the need for more education of these external customers. I'd like to be part of that process.

My most passionate interest since appointment has been to express, by word and actions, the value that citizens who have contact with the court system provide to the justice system. I love working with jurors and respect their time and commitment.

I continue to enjoy the daily challenges of a fast-pace, yet detail oriented, profession. I believe it is important to remember that even small disputes may be the most important issue a litigant has to currently deal with in their life.

I believe I perform this job well and would be honored to continue.

Alaska Judicial Council
Trial Judge Questionnaire
Retention

4. During your most recent term as a judge, have you:
- a) had a tax lien filed or other collection procedure instituted against you by federal, state, or local authorities? Yes No
 - b) been involved in a non-judicial capacity in any legal proceeding whether as a party or otherwise? Yes No
 - c) engaged in the practice of law (other than as a judge)? Yes No
 - d) held office in any political party? Yes No
 - e) held any other local state or federal office? Yes No
 - f) had any complaints, charges or grievances filed against you with the Alaska Commission on Judicial Conduct, the Alaska Bar Association, or with the Alaska Court System that resulted in public proceedings or sanctions? Yes No

5. If your answer to any of the questions above is "yes," please give full details, including dates, facts, case numbers and outcomes.

5 (b) & (f). I have not technically had a complaint filed, but I self reported to the Alaska Commission on Judicial Conduct that I was arrested (08/27/09) and pled no contest for DUI. 3 ANM-09-9939CR. I am in full compliance with all terms of my sentence and other interested agencies.

6. Please provide any other information which you believe would assist the Council in conducting its evaluations and in preparing its recommendations for the 2010 retention elections.

I would hope my personal transgression will not outweigh the personal and professional accomplishments I believe I bring to the bench.

Alaska Judicial Council
 Trial Judge Questionnaire
 Retention

7. Please list your three most recent jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: Rose Okpealuk *Case Number:* 3 AN-07-6226 CI
v. William Goedde

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Rose Okpealuk, pro per</u>	Name: <u>John Dean</u>
Address: _____	Address: <u>1835 Bragaw St. STE. 110</u>
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99508 258-1835</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 2

Case Name: Peter and Shirley Ludvick *Case Number:* 3AN-08-8578 CI
v. Illiamna Natives Ltd.

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>James Vollintine</u>	Name: <u>Robert Hume, Jr.; Matthew Mead</u>
Address: <u>P.O. Box 113329</u>	Address: <u>701 W. 8th Ave STE. 1200</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage AK 99511 346-4446</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501 276-5152</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 3

Case Name: State of Alaska *Case Number:* 3 AN-06-06987 CR
v. Richard Dorsey

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Aaron Sperbeck</u>	Name: <u>Chong Yim</u>
Address: <u>310 K St. STE. 520</u>	Address: <u>900 W. 5th Ave. STE. 200</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501 269-6300</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501 344-4424</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Alaska Judicial Council
 Trial Judge Questionnaire
 Retention

8. Please list your three most recent non-jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in your three most recent non-jury trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: Daryl and Nelvey Kooley *Case Number:* 3AN-06-05016 CI
v. Lisa Sindorf

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Michael Schneider</u>	Name: <u>Rod Sisson ; Peter Diemer</u>
Address: <u>880 N. St. STE. 202</u>	Address: <u>445 W. 9th Ave.</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501 277-9306</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501 258-1991</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 2

Case Name: Shawn Boquist *Case Number:* 3AN-09-6067 CI
v. Mechelle Boquist

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Ian Wheelles</u>	Name: <u>Maryann Foley</u>
Address: <u>800 E. Dimond STE. 3-620</u>	Address: <u>750 W. 2d Ave #203</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99515 344-8851</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501 279-0783</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 3

Case Name: Tiffany Montalbo *Case Number:* 3AN-08-8871 CI
v. Scott Montalbo

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Terry Aglietti</u>	Name: <u>Scott Montalbo, pro per</u>
Address: <u>733 W. 4th Ave. STE. 206</u>	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501 279-8657</u>	City, State, Zip: _____
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Alaska Judicial Council
Trial Judge Questionnaire
Retention

9. Please list your three most recent cases, including case names and numbers, which did not go to trial, but on which you did significant work (such as settlement conference, hearings, motion work, etc.). Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: State Case Number: 3AN-91-01179 CR
 v. John Carr

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>John Novak</u>	Name: <u>Thomas Smith</u>
Address: <u>310 K. St. STE 403</u>	Address: <u>900 W. 5th Ave. OPA</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501 269-6379</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501 269-3500</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 2

Case Name: State Case Number: 3AN-08-11503 CR
 v. John Salm & Kelly Wolf

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Robert Henderson</u>	Name: <u>John Cashion</u>
Address: <u>310 K. St. STE 520</u>	Address: <u>900 W. 5th Ave STE 210</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501 269-6300</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501 334-2177</u>
Name: <u>Keriann Brady</u>	Name: _____
Address: <u>900 W. 5th Ave.</u>	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501 334-5925</u>	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 3

Case Name: Southwood Manor Case Number: 3 AN-08-8669 CI
 v. Dennis Wilson

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>David Gross</u>	Name: <u>Goriune Dudukgian</u>
Address: <u>1127 W. 7th Ave.</u>	Address: <u>310 K St. STE 200</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501 276-1550</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501 264-6634</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Alaska Judicial Council
 Trial Judge Questionnaire
 Retention

10. *Optional:* If you deem it helpful to the Council, please list up to three other cases during your past term in which you believe your work was particularly noteworthy. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: Ahtna Inc. **Case Number:** 3AN 04- 5669 CI
 v. Patrick Anderson v. DeLoitte, Touche Inc.

Attorneys Involved:

Name: Patrick Gilmore
 Address: 420 L. St. STE. 500
 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501 276-1700

Name: James Torgerson
 Address: 510 L. St. STE .500
 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501 277-1900

Name: Howard Trickey
 Address: 3000 A. St. STE 300
 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99503 563-8844

Name: Clay Alex Young
 Address: 1007 W.3rd Ave STE. 400
 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501 279-3581

Case Number 2

Case Name: University of Alaska **Case Number:** 3 AN-05-14495 CI
 v. Cherie Northon

Attorneys Involved:

Name: Mark Ashburn
 Address: 1227 W. 9th Ave STE 200
 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501 276-4331

Name: Howard Trickey
 Address: 3000 A St. STE 300
 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501 563-8844

Name: _____
 Address: _____
 City, State, Zip: _____

Name: _____
 Address: _____
 City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 3

Case Name: _____ **Case Number:** _____
 v. _____

Attorneys Involved:

Name: _____
 Address: _____
 City, State, Zip: _____

Name: _____
 Address: _____
 City, State, Zip: _____

Name: _____
 Address: _____
 City, State, Zip: _____

Name: _____
 Address: _____
 City, State, Zip: _____

Third Judicial District Patrick J. McKay - Anchorage Superior Court

Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores

Summary of survey information

Judge McKay's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 4.1 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 3.3 overall, and social workers, Guardians ad Litem and CASA volunteers rated him 3.5 overall.

	Attorney Survey N=187	Peace Officer Survey N=15	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs N=2
Legal Ability	4.1	--	--
Impartiality	4.2	3.6	3.5
Integrity	4.0	3.2	3.0
Temperament	4.1	3.7	3.5
Diligence	4.1	3.6	3.5
Overall	4.1	3.3	3.5

The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, public comments, and the ratings by the independent citizen volunteers at Alaska Judicial Observers. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at www.ajc.state.ak.us.

Superior Court Judge Patrick J. McKay**A. Alaska Bar Association****Demographic Description**

		N	%
Type of Practice			
	No Response	3	.9%
	Private, Solo	75	22.1%
	Private, 2-5 Attorneys	58	17.1%
	Private, 6+ Attorneys	40	11.8%
	Private, Corporate Employee	6	1.8%
	Judge or Judicial Officer	51	15.0%
	Government	90	26.5%
	Public Service Agency or Organization (Not Govt)	8	2.4%
	Other	8	2.4%
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No Response	7	2.1%
	5 Years or fewer	39	11.5%
	6 to 10 years	27	8.0%
	11 to 15 years	35	10.3%
	16 to 20 years	30	8.8%
	21 years or more	201	59.3%
Gender			
	No Response	7	2.1%
	Male	218	64.3%
	Female	114	33.6%
Cases Handled			
	No Response	3	.9%
	Prosecution	21	6.2%
	Mainly Criminal	30	8.8%
	Mixed Criminal & Civil	77	22.7%
	Mainly Civil	195	57.5%
	Other	13	3.8%
Location of Practice			
	No Response	2	.6%
	First District	15	4.4%
	Second District	3	.9%
	Third District	306	90.3%
	Fourth District	12	3.5%
	Outside of Alaska	1	.3%

Judge Patrick J. McKay: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation												
No Response		4		1		6		6		5		2
Direct Professional	4.1	185	4.2	188	4.0	183	4.1	183	4.1	184	4.1	187
Professional Reputation	3.8	28	4.0	27	3.3	29	3.9	26	3.8	25	3.3	32
Other Personal Contacts	4.0	10	4.2	10	3.5	10	4.1	9	4.3	7	3.8	10
Type of Practice												
No Response		0		0		0		1		0		0
Private, Solo	4.4	43	4.4	42	4.3	43	4.4	43	4.4	42	4.3	43
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	4.1	33	4.2	34	4.3	31	4.4	32	4.1	32	4.1	33
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.2	21	4.1	21	4.0	21	4.2	20	4.1	21	4.1	21
Private, Corporate Employee	4.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	5.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.2	29	4.3	31	3.8	31	3.9	30	4.1	30	4.1	30
Government	3.9	50	3.8	51	3.7	48	3.7	49	3.9	50	3.7	51
Public Service Agency or Organization (Not Govt)	3.7	3	4.0	3	4.0	3	4.0	3	4.3	3	4.0	3
Other	5.0	3	4.7	3	3.3	3	4.7	3	5.0	3	4.7	3
Years of Practice in Alaska												
No Response		2		0		3		4		2		1
5 Years or fewer	3.9	21	3.7	21	3.6	21	3.7	21	4.0	21	3.8	21
6 to 10 years	3.6	14	3.7	15	3.8	14	3.6	15	4.0	14	3.6	14
11 to 15 years	4.1	16	4.4	17	4.1	17	4.3	17	4.0	17	4.1	17
16 to 20 years	4.4	17	4.3	16	4.0	15	4.4	15	4.4	16	4.3	17
21 years or more	4.2	111	4.2	113	4.1	110	4.2	109	4.2	111	4.1	112
Gender												
No Response		2		1		5		3		2		1
Male	4.2	121	4.2	122	4.1	118	4.2	120	4.2	121	4.1	122
Female	4.0	60	4.0	61	3.8	60	4.0	59	4.0	59	3.9	61
Cases Handled												
No Response		0		1		5		5		3		0
Prosecution	3.6	16	3.4	16	3.6	16	3.3	16	3.8	16	3.4	16
Mainly Criminal	4.0	19	4.2	20	3.9	20	3.8	20	4.2	20	4.0	20
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.3	42	3.4	45	4.0	44	4.2	44	4.4	43	4.2	43
Mainly Civil	4.2	98	4.2	97	4.1	93	4.3	93	4.1	95	4.1	98
Other	4.1	8	3.8	8	3.4	8	4.0	8	3.9	8	4.0	8
Location of Practice												
No Response		1		1		5		5		3		0
First District	4.0	3	4.0	5	2.6	5	3.3	4	3.8	4	3.0	4
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.1	178	4.2	178	4.0	174	4.1	174	4.1	176	4.1	179
Fourth District	4.3	3	4.3	4	4.0	3	4.3	4	4.7	3	4.3	3
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Superior Court Judge Patrick J. McKay**B. Peace and Probation Officers****Demographic Description**

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	7	31.8%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	8	36.4%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	6	27.3%
	Other	1	4.5%
Length of Alaska Experience			
	No Response	1	4.5%
	5 Years or fewer	9	40.9%
	6 to 10 years	2	9.1%
	11 to 15 years	7	31.8%
	16 to 20 years	2	9.1%
	21 years or more	1	4.5%
Gender			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	16	72.7%
	Female	6	27.3%
Location of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	22	100%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
	Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%
Community Population			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	9.1%
	Over 35,000	20	90.9%

Judge Patrick J. McKay
Peace and Probation Officers

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
Direct Professional	3.6	15	3.2	15	3.7	15	3.6	14	3.3	15
Professional Reputation	3.2	5	2.5	6	3.0	4	3.0	5	2.7	6
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Type of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
State Law Enforcement Officer	3.6	5	3.4	5	3.8	5	4.0	4	3.4	5
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.2	5	3.4	5	4.0	5	4.0	5	3.4	5
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	2.8	4	2.5	4	2.8	4	2.8	4	2.8	4
Other	4.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Length of Experience										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	3.3	6	3.2	6	3.3	6	3.4	5	3.2	6
6 to 10 years	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
11 to 15 years	3.3	4	2.3	4	3.3	4	3.0	4	2.5	4
16 to 20 years	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2
21 years or more	4.0	1	3.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	3.0	1
Gender										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	3.7	12	3.2	12	3.7	12	3.7	12	3.3	12
Female	3.3	3	3.3	3	3.7	3	3.5	2	3.3	3
Location of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	3.6	15	3.2	15	3.7	15	3.6	14	3.3	15
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Community Population										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	3.6	15	3.2	15	3.7	15	3.6	14	3.3	15

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Superior Court Judge Patrick J. McKay**C. Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers****Demographic Description**

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	--	0.0%
	Guardian ad Litem	2	50.0%
	CASA Volunteer	2	50.0%
	Other	--	0.0%
Length of Alaska Experience			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	1	25.0%
	6 to 10 years	1	25.0%
	11 to 15 years	--	0.0%
	16 to 20 years	--	0.0%
	21 years or more	2	50.0%
Gender			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	1	25.0%
	Female	3	75.0%
Location of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	4	100%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
	Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%
Community Population			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0.0%
	Over 35,000	4	100%

Judge Patrick J. McKay
Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Direct Professional	3.5	2	3.0	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2
Professional Reputation	4.0	2	3.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Type of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Guardian ad Litem	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
CASA Volunteer	3.0	1	2.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Length of Experience										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
6 to 10 years	3.0	1	2.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
11 to 15 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Gender										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Female	3.5	2	3.0	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2
Location of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	3.5	2	3.0	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Community Population										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	3.5	2	3.0	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

<p style="text-align: center;">Juror Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation Patrick J. McKay</p>												
		Distribution of Ratings										
Survey Category	Mean	Excellent		Good		Acceptable		Deficient		Poor		Total Responses
		%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	
Impartiality/Fairness	4.9	93%	282	6%	19	1%	2	0%	0	0%	0	303
Respectful/Courteous	5.0	97%	295	3%	9	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	304
Attentive during Proceedings	5.0	96%	291	4%	12	.3%	1	0%	0	0%	0	304
Control over Proceedings	4.9	92%	280	8%	23	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	303
Intelligence/Skill as a Judge	4.9	93%	284	6%	19	.3%	1	0%	0	0%	0	304
Overall Evaluation	5.0	95%	290	5%	14	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	304

Court Employee Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation Patrick J. McKay									
Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Poor % (n)	Mean	Total Responses		
Impartiality/Fairness	62% 44	27% 19	9% 6	3% 2	0% 0	4.5	71		
Integrity	59% 44	21% 16	12% 9	8% 6	0% 0	4.3	75		
Judicial Temperament	66% 49	24% 18	5% 4	4% 3	0% 0	4.5	74		
Diligence	61% 43	31% 22	6% 4	1% 1	0% 0	4.5	70		
Overall Evaluation	65% 47	26% 19	7% 5	1% 1	0% 0	4.6	72		