

**Alaska Judicial Council  
2010 Judicial Retention Performance Evaluation Materials  
Judge Carl Bauman  
Kenai Superior Court**

The Judicial Council finds Judge Bauman to be **Qualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote **"YES"** to retain him as a superior court judge.

**Retention evaluation materials for this judge**

**1. Voter pamphlet page**..... 2  
This page will appear in the State of Alaska Election Pamphlet sent to each Alaskan household.

**2. Judge questionnaire**..... 3  
The judge's response to a Judicial Council questionnaire.

**3. Survey scores in 2010**  
*(To view survey scores for all judges on the ballot go to main 2010 retention page.)*

**a. Attorney; Peace Officer; Social Worker/Guardians Ad Litem/CASA scores**..... 10

**b. Juror survey scores**..... 17

**c. Court employee survey scores**..... 18

**4. Survey scores in previous retention evaluations**  
*(This judge is standing for retention for the first time)*

**Retention evaluation materials for all judges on the ballot**

*(To view these materials go to main 2010 retention page.)*

**1. Peremptory challenges**  
Analysis of peremptory challenge rates for judges.

**2. Recusals**  
Evaluation of judge's record of self-disqualification from cases, or "recusals."

**3. Appellate Affirmance Rates**  
Analysis of appellate decisions involving each trial judge's cases.

**4. Salary Warrant Withholdings**  
Evaluation of judge's record of pay withholding for undecided or uncompleted decisions.

# Alaska Judicial Council Recommendation

---

## Judge Carl Bauman, Superior Court, Kenai

### Judicial Council Recommendation 2010

The Alaska Judicial Council is a non-partisan citizens' commission established by the Alaska constitution. Alaskan law requires the Council to evaluate judges' performance and authorizes the Council to recommend to voters whether judges should be retained in office. The Judicial Council reviews judges' integrity, diligence, legal ability, fairness, demeanor, ability to manage their caseloads, and overall performance of their judicial responsibilities in and out of the courtroom. The Judicial Council finds Judge Bauman to be **Qualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote "YES" to retain him as a superior court judge.

### Judicial Council Evaluation

The Judicial Council surveyed thousands of Alaskans including peace and probation officers, court employees, attorneys, jurors, social workers/guardians ad litem, and child advocates about the judges on the ballot. Respondents were asked to rate judicial performance and to submit comments. The Council also reviewed the ratings and observations of the Alaska Judicial Observers, independent community-based volunteers. The Council reviewed the judge's preemptory challenge, recusals, and appellate affirmance and reversal rates; any civil or criminal litigation involving the judge; APOC and court system conflict-of-interest statements; any disciplinary files involving the judge; and whether a judge's pay was withheld for an untimely decision. The Council reviewed other court records and investigated judicial conduct in specific cases. The Council interviewed some judges, attorneys, and court staff, and held a statewide public hearing to obtain comments about judges.

	Attorney Survey	Peace Officer Survey	Juror Survey	Court Employee Survey	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs
Legal Ability	3.9	---	---	---	---
Impartiality	3.9	3.1	4.7	4.6	3.8
Integrity	4.0	2.9	---	4.4	4.0
Temperament	3.9	3.7	4.8	4.7	3.8
Diligence	3.7	3.2	---	4.3	3.2
Overall	3.8	3.0	4.7	4.4	3.8

Ratings are based on a one to five scale. Five is the best rating and three is "acceptable."

**Rating Scale**

5.0 = Excellent  
 4.0 = Good  
 3.0 = Acceptable  
 2.0 = Deficient  
 1.0 = Poor

### Summary of Survey Information

Survey respondents rated Judge Bauman on the categories summarized in the table above, using 5 as the highest rating possible. The attorney rating for Judge Bauman on overall performance was 3.8. Peace and probation officers gave Judge Bauman a rating of 3.0. Jurors rated him 4.7 overall, court employees gave him 4.4, and social workers, guardians ad litem and CASA volunteers rated him at 3.8.

**Recommendation: Vote "YES" to retain Judge Carl Bauman**

## Alaska Judicial Council Questionnaire

### Trial Judge 2010 Candidates for Judicial Retention

October 22, 2009

Carl Bauman  
Name

Kenai Superior Court Judge  
Court

1. Please estimate your workload during your present term.

a) <u>40</u> % civil cases <u>50</u> % criminal cases <u>10</u> % court administrative work 100 % Total	b) <u>3</u> # of jury trials/year <u>9</u> # of non-jury trials/year <u>6</u> # of administrative appeals/year
--	--

The percentage allocations between civil cases, criminal cases, and administrative work are rough estimates. Administrative work includes various non-case specific meetings, clerical, reading case decisions, and a myriad of other details.

2. Please describe your participation on court/bar committees or other administrative activities during your current term of office.

The presiding superior court judge of the third judicial district allocated local Kenai Peninsula administrative duties among the three superior court judges in Kenai. I am the designated judicial liaison with the Kenai Clerk of Court and the Clerk's Office, principally for internal administrative matters.

I maintain my membership in the Family Law Section of the Alaska Bar Association although I can no longer be fairly characterized as an active participant.

I attended "judge school" in Reno in April 2008 for two weeks. In addition to reading the Alaska reported cases I read the judicial ethics materials circulated by Judge Jack Smith from the American Judicature Society and the Brennan Center at NYU Law School. I also routinely read the High Conflict Institute reports. I attend the new judge training conferences and the annual Spring and Fall judicial conferences.

Alaska Judicial Council  
Trial Judge Questionnaire  
Retention

---

3. Please assess, in one or two paragraphs, your judicial performance during your present term. Appropriate areas of comment could include: satisfaction with your judicial role, specific contributions to the judiciary or the field of law, increases in legal knowledge and judicial skills, or other measures of judicial abilities that you believe to be important.

My private law practice experience with criminal law matters, especially felonies, was quite limited. As a new judge I was challenged to learn the "language" of criminal law matters, let alone the statutory definitional distinctions and the many Court of Appeals, Alaska Supreme Court, and US Supreme Court decisions that bear on decisions in criminal law cases. I had very little experience with juvenile delinquency proceedings or with child in need of aid (CINA) cases. For a number of months I was essentially back in law school with a steep learning curve on criminal and CINA matters.

I very much enjoy being a superior court judge. Each day brings new matters and new challenges to correctly perceive and understand issues, arguments, nuances, and special situations. Making credibility decisions with regard to facts attested to by witnesses and parties is often required, including those who testify telephonically. I try to be patient, hear from both sides, and respect the parties and counsel.

One of the challenges has been developing an appreciation of the problems associated with alcohol and substance abuse -- the crimes, the effect on victims, the problems caused to spouses and families, and what is available, affordable, and effective as far as assessment and treatment. Developing insights and understanding of domestic violence, both as a crime and as a factor in custody disputes, has been another significant challenge.

I try to understand and appreciate the every day problems that people face on the Kenai Peninsula, including problems associated with cabin and tent living, seasonal work at fish processing plants, and the demands of commercial fishing. Those every day problems include the problems of the unemployed, the barely employed, the abused, the neglected, the indigents, those who live in Villages, those without running water, and those with cultural and language differences. Many people cannot afford a lawyer, and the courtroom can be intimidating. Every day problems include people and businesses who experience theft, vandalism, and injuries and death from criminal conduct. Every day problems also include the dangerous situations that police officers, probation officers, judicial service officers, and VSOs have to confront.

I cannot point to any specific contribution that I have made to the judiciary. One of my rulings in a criminal case is on appeal with regard to the need for a second Miranda warning. Rulings in civil cases are on appeal with regard to an air park subdivision, a challenge to the Kenai Borough sales tax, and a challenge to the Kenai Borough capital spending authority versus a limitation by initiative.

Alaska Judicial Council  
 Trial Judge Questionnaire  
 Retention

4. During your most recent term as a judge, have you:
- a) had a tax lien filed or other collection procedure instituted against you by federal, state, or local authorities?  Yes  No
  - b) been involved in a non-judicial capacity in any legal proceeding whether as a party or otherwise?  Yes  No
  - c) engaged in the practice of law (other than as a judge)?  Yes  No
  - d) held office in any political party?  Yes  No
  - e) held any other local state or federal office?  Yes  No
  - f) had any complaints, charges or grievances filed against you with the Alaska Commission on Judicial Conduct, the Alaska Bar Association, or with the Alaska Court System that resulted in public proceedings or sanctions?  Yes  No
5. If your answer to any of the questions above is "yes," please give full details, including dates, facts, case numbers and outcomes.

Following a separation in April 2007 I represented myself in a divorce proceeding from June 2007 through entry of a decree of divorce in July 2008 and through the initial post-divorce motions. The case was Bauman v. Bauman, 3AN-07-07866 CI. The outcome was a divorce, with a mediated settlement that includes long term alimony. After the first round of post-divorce motions, I retained counsel for further post-divorce proceedings.

I represented myself with regard to sale of the former family home in Anchorage (no litigation was involved other than the then pending divorce), and acquisition and sale of a residential residence in Wasilla (again, no litigation was required for either the purchase or the sale).

6. Please provide any other information which you believe would assist the Council in conducting its evaluations and in preparing its recommendations for the 2010 retention elections.

Through eclectic acquaintances across a broad spectrum of society, I have gained a few insights into what is likely to work or not work as far as common sense, practical solutions within the available legal framework. As an Alaska resident since 1973 I have personally experienced the effect of the larger economic forces on Alaskans. Through having been counsel in several extraordinarily large civil cases, I had the opportunity to study Territorial times in Alaska, the Constitutional Convention, and the development of natural resource, public land, mining, Native rights, royalty, production tax, corporate income tax, and environmental law since Statehood. I participated in the taking of hundreds of depositions of then present and former State of Alaska commissioners, directors, and key staff on tax, land, royalty, and tax issues. These experiences give me an appreciation of what the law is, and the forces and intentions that underlie how particular laws came to be.

Alaska Judicial Council  
 Trial Judge Questionnaire  
 Retention

7. Please list your three most recent jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

**Case Number 1**

*Case Name:* Carl Waggoner & D'Ann Waggoner *Case Number:* 3KN-08-527 CI  
 v. Gary Parker and Cathy Parker

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Mark Osterman</u>	Name: <u>Arthur Robinson</u>
Address: <u>105 Trading Bay Rd., # 105</u>	Address: <u>35401 Kenai Spur Hwy</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, Alaska 99611 283-5660</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Soldotna, Alaska 99669 262-9164</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

**Case Number 2**

*Case Name:* State of Alaska *Case Number:* 3KN-08-56 CR  
 v. Eric McDonald

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Scot Leaders, Ass't District Att'y</u>	Name: <u>Pro Per -- Eric McDonald</u>
Address: <u>120 Trading Bay Rd., # 200</u>	Address: <u>% Wildwood Pretrial, 10 Chugach Ave</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, Alaska 99611 283-3131</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, AK 99611 260-7200</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

**Case Number 3**

*Case Name:* State of Alaska *Case Number:* 3KN-08-1 CR  
 v. William Foondle

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Kelly Lawson, Ass't District Att'y</u>	Name: <u>Angela O'Brien</u>
Address: <u>120 Trading Bay Rd., # 200</u>	Address: <u>130 Trading Bay Rd., # 390</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, Alaska 99611 283-3131</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, Alaska 99611 283-3129</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Alaska Judicial Council  
 Trial Judge Questionnaire  
 Retention

8. Please list your three most recent non-jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in your three most recent non-jury trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

**Case Number 1**

*Case Name:* Anne L. Forgue *Case Number:* 3KN-08-357 CI  
 v. Scott A. Forgue

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Michelle Minor</u>	Name: <u>Carol Brenkle</u>
Address: <u>1120 E. Huffman Rd., # 664</u>	Address: <u>130 Trading Bay Rd., #340</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99515 274-6980</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, AK 99611 283-4343</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

**Case Number 2**

*Case Name:* Angalena M. Richardson *Case Number:* 3KN-07-256 CI  
 v. Dale H. Richardson

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Kevin Koch</u>	Name: <u>Linn Plous</u>
Address: <u>35477 Spur Hwy, # 207</u>	Address: <u>100 Trading Bay Rd., # 4</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Soldotna, AK 99669 262-4604</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, Ak 99611 283-7272</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

**Case Number 3**

*Case Name:* Ashely Udelhoven *Case Number:* 3KN-08-393 CI  
 v. Gayle Udelhoven

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Thomas Gingras</u>	Name: <u>Phil Nash</u>
Address: <u>425 G St. #930</u>	Address: <u>10 S. Willow St. #104</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501 279-0930</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, AK 99611 283-7514</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Alaska Judicial Council  
 Trial Judge Questionnaire  
 Retention

9. Please list your three most recent cases, including case names and numbers, which did not go to trial, but on which you did significant work (such as settlement conference, hearings, motion work, etc.). Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

**Case Number 1**

*Case Name:* State of Alaska *Case Number:* 3KN-08-568 CR  
 v. Richard Miller

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Scot Leaders, Ass't District Att'y</u>	Name: <u>Mark Osterman</u>
Address: <u>120 Trading Bay Rd. #200</u>	Address: <u>105 Trading Bay Rd., # 105</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, AK 99611 283-3131</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, Alaska 99611 283-5660</u>
Name: _____	Name: <u>Joshua Chartier</u>
Address: _____	Address: <u>1016 W. 6th Ave., #100</u>
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501 269-6075</u>

**Case Number 2**

*Case Name:* State of Alaska *Case Number:* 3KN-08-671 CR  
 v. John Amend

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Scot Leaders, Ass't District Att'y</u>	Name: <u>Mark Osterman</u>
Address: <u>120 Trading Bay Rd. #200</u>	Address: <u>105 Trading Bay Rd., # 105</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, AK 99611 283-3131</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, AK 99611 283-5660</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

**Case Number 3**

*Case Name:* ITMO: CB, CB, CB, CB *Case Number:* 3KN-09-54, 55, 56, & 57 CP  
 v. \_\_\_\_\_

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Michelle Higuchi, AAG</u>	Name: <u>Charles Winegarden</u>
Address: <u>120 Trading Bay Rd., #200</u>	Address: <u>222 Main Street Loop # 2</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, AK 99611 283-8917</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, AK 99611 283-5774</u>
Name: <u>Mary Anderson</u>	Name: _____
Address: <u>P.O. Box 948</u>	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, AK 99611 283-2682</u>	City, State, Zip: _____



Alaska Judicial Council  
 Trial Judge Questionnaire  
 Retention

10. *Optional:* If you deem it helpful to the Council, please list up to three other cases during your past term in which you believe your work was particularly noteworthy. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

**Case Number 1**

*Case Name:* Alliance of Concerned Taxpayers, Inc. *Case Number:* 3KN-06-1129 CI  
 v. Kenai Peninsula Borough

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Kenneth Jacobus</u>	Name: <u>Colette Thompson</u>
Address: <u>310 K St., # 200</u>	Address: <u>144 N. Binkley St.</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501 277-3333</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Soldotna, AK 99669 714-2120</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

**Case Number 2**

*Case Name:* Kenneth Manning, et al *Case Number:* 3KN-09-178 CI  
 v. State of Alaska, et al

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Kenneth Manning, Pro per</u>	Name: <u>Kevin Saxby, AAG</u>
Address: <u>P.O. Box 775</u>	Address: <u>1031 W. 4th Ave., # 200</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Kasilof, AK 99610 262-4377</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501 269-5100</u>
Name: <u>Michael Kramer</u>	Name: <u>John Starkey</u>
Address: <u>100 Cushman St., # 311</u>	Address: <u>88 Mill Hill Rd.</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Fairbanks, AK 99701 452-1666</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Barnet, VT 05821 715-557-0357</u>

**Case Number 3**

*Case Name:* State of Alaska *Case Number:* 3KN-06-377 CR  
 v. Bert Nelson

**Attorneys Involved:**

Name: <u>Lance Joanis, District Attorney</u>	Name: <u>Mark Osterman</u>
Address: <u>120 Trading Bay Rd. #200</u>	Address: <u>105 Trading Bay Rd. #105</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, AK 99611 283-3131</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Kenai, AK 99611 283-5660</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

## Third Judicial District Carl Bauman - Kenai Superior Court

### Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores

#### ***Summary of survey information***

Judge Bauman's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 3.8 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 3.0 overall, and social workers, Guardians ad Litem and CASA volunteers rated him 3.8 overall.

	Attorney Survey N=85	Peace Officer Survey N=12	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs N=5
Legal Ability	3.9	--	--
Impartiality	3.9	3.1	3.8
Integrity	4.0	2.9	4.0
Temperament	3.9	3.7	3.8
Diligence	3.7	3.2	3.2
Overall	3.8	3.0	3.8

#### ***The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process***

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, public comments, and the ratings by the independent citizen volunteers at Alaska Judicial Observers. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at [www.ajc.state.ak.us](http://www.ajc.state.ak.us).

**Superior Court Judge Carl Bauman****A. Alaska Bar Association****Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Practice</b>			
	No Response	1	.9%
	Private, Solo	26	23.9%
	Private, 2-5 Attorneys	19	17.4%
	Private, 6+ Attorneys	18	16.5%
	Private, Corporate Employee	2	1.8%
	Judge or Judicial Officer	24	22.0%
	Government	18	16.5%
	Public Service Agency or Organization (Not Govt)	--	0.0%
	Other	1	.9%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>			
	No Response	2	1.8%
	5 Years or fewer	4	3.7%
	6 to 10 years	4	3.7%
	11 to 15 years	8	7.3%
	16 to 20 years	10	9.2%
	21 years or more	81	74.3%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	3	2.8%
	Male	77	70.6%
	Female	29	26.6%
<b>Cases Handled</b>			
	No Response	3	2.8%
	Prosecution	5	4.6%
	Mainly Criminal	6	5.5%
	Mixed Criminal & Civil	24	22.0%
	Mainly Civil	67	61.5%
	Other	4	3.7%
<b>Location of Practice</b>			
	No Response	2	1.8%
	First District	2	1.8%
	Second District	1	.9%
	Third District	102	93.6%
	Fourth District	2	1.8%
	Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%

## Judge Carl Bauman: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		1		0		1		1		2		1
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>84</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>85</b>
Professional Reputation	3.7	18	3.7	18	3.9	18	3.8	17	4.0	17	3.7	18
Other Personal Contacts	4.3	4	4.0	4	4.3	4	4.3	4	4.0	3	4.3	4
<b>Type of Practice</b>												
No Response		0		0		1		1		0		1
Private, Solo	4.1	20	4.1	20	4.4	20	4.2	20	4.1	20	4.1	20
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	3.5	17	3.7	17	3.7	17	3.6	16	3.1	17	3.6	17
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.2	13	4.1	13	4.1	12	4.2	13	3.9	13	4.0	13
Private, Corporate Employee	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.0	21	4.1	21	4.1	21	4.0	21	3.8	20	4.0	20
Government	3.3	13	3.4	13	3.5	13	3.4	13	3.4	12	3.2	13
Public Service Agency or Organization (Not Govt)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other	--	0	2.0	1	2.0	1	2.0	1	3.0	1	2.0	1
<b>Years of Practice in Alaska</b>												
No Response		1		0		1		0		0		1
5 Years or fewer	4.7	3	3.7	3	4.7	3	3.7	3	4.3	3	4.3	3
6 to 10 years	4.0	3	4.0	3	3.7	3	4.3	3	3.0	3	3.7	3
11 to 15 years	3.6	7	3.6	7	3.6	7	3.4	7	3.3	6	3.4	7
16 to 20 years	3.9	10	3.7	10	3.8	10	3.9	9	3.5	10	3.6	10
21 years or more	3.8	61	4.0	62	4.1	61	3.9	62	3.7	62	3.9	61
<b>Gender</b>												
No Response		0		0		1		1		0		0
Male	3.9	65	4.0	65	4.1	64	4.0	64	3.8	65	4.0	65
Female	3.6	19	3.6	20	3.5	20	3.6	20	3.2	19	3.3	19
<b>Cases Handled</b>												
No Response		1		0		1		1		0		1
Prosecution	2.5	2	3.0	2	2.5	2	3.0	2	3.0	2	3.0	2
Mainly Criminal	4.3	4	5.0	4	4.8	4	4.8	4	4.8	4	4.8	4
Mixed Criminal & Civil	3.9	21	4.1	21	4.1	21	4.2	21	4.0	20	4.0	21
Mainly Civil	3.9	52	3.8	53	3.9	52	3.8	52	3.5	53	3.7	52
Other	3.8	4	3.5	4	3.8	4	2.8	4	3.3	4	3.5	4
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		1		0		1		1		1		1
First District	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2
Second District	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	2.0	1	4.0	1
Third District	3.8	80	3.9	81	4.0	80	3.9	80	3.7	80	3.8	80
Fourth District	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Superior Court Judge Carl Bauman****B. Peace and Probation Officers****Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	5	41.7%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	1	8.3%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	4	33.3%
	Other	2	16.7%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	4	33.3%
	6 to 10 years	2	16.7%
	11 to 15 years	3	25.0%
	16 to 20 years	1	8.3%
	21 years or more	2	16.7%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	11	91.7%
	Female	1	8.3%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	11	91.7%
	Fourth District	1	8.3%
	Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	11	91.7%
	Over 35,000	1	8.3%

**Judge Carl Bauman**  
**Peace and Probation Officers**

	<b>Impartiality/ Fairness</b>		<b>Integrity</b>		<b>Judicial Temperament</b>		<b>Diligence</b>		<b>Overall Evaluation</b>	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>12</b>
Professional Reputation	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
State Law Enforcement Officer	3.0	5	3.0	5	3.4	5	2.8	5	2.8	5
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	2.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	3.0	4	2.5	4	3.8	4	3.8	4	2.8	4
Other	4.0	2	3.5	2	4.5	2	3.0	2	4.0	2
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	3.5	4	3.3	4	4.5	4	3.8	4	3.3	4
6 to 10 years	4.0	2	3.5	2	4.0	2	3.5	2	4.0	2
11 to 15 years	2.3	3	2.0	3	3.0	3	2.3	3	2.0	3
16 to 20 years	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
21 years or more	2.5	2	3.0	2	3.0	2	3.0	2	3.0	2
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	3.1	11	2.9	11	3.7	11	3.2	11	3.0	11
Female	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	3.1	11	2.9	11	3.6	11	3.2	11	3.0	11
Fourth District	3.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3.1	11	2.9	11	3.7	11	3.2	11	3.0	11
Over 35,000	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Superior Court Judge Carl Bauman****C. Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers****Demographic Description**

		<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Type of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	4	66.7%
	Guardian ad Litem	2	33.3%
	CASA Volunteer	--	0.0%
	Other	--	0.0%
<b>Length of Alaska Experience</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	--	0.0%
	6 to 10 years	2	33.3%
	11 to 15 years	1	16.7%
	16 to 20 years	1	16.7%
	21 years or more	2	33.3%
<b>Gender</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	1	16.7%
	Female	5	83.3%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	6	100%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
	Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response	1	16.7%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	3	50.0%
	Over 35,000	2	33.3%

**Judge Carl Bauman**  
**Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers**

	<b>Impartiality/ Fairness</b>		<b>Integrity</b>		<b>Judicial Temperament</b>		<b>Diligence</b>		<b>Overall Evaluation</b>	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>5</b>
Professional Reputation	2.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	2.0	1	2.0	1
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Type of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	4.0	4	4.3	4	4.0	4	3.5	4	4.0	4
Guardian ad Litem	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	2.0	1	3.0	1
CASA Volunteer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
6 to 10 years	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
11 to 15 years	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	2.0	1	3.0	1
16 to 20 years	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1
21 years or more	3.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	2.0	1	3.0	1
<b>Gender</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1
Female	3.8	4	4.0	4	3.8	4	3.3	4	3.8	4
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	3.8	5	4.0	5	3.8	5	3.2	5	3.8	5
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.0	3	4.0	3	4.0	3	3.7	3	4.0	3
Over 35,000	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.



<b>Juror Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation Carl Bauman</b>											
		<b>Distribution of Ratings</b>									
<b>Survey Category</b>	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Excellent % (n)</b>	<b>Good % (n)</b>	<b>Acceptable % (n)</b>	<b>Deficient % (n)</b>	<b>Poor % (n)</b>	<b>Total Responses</b>				
<b>Impartiality/Fairness</b>	<b>4.7</b>	71% 39	29% 16	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	55				
<b>Respectful/Courteous</b>	<b>4.8</b>	78% 43	22% 12	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	55				
<b>Attentive during Proceedings</b>	<b>4.7</b>	75% 41	24% 13	2% 1	0% 0	0% 0	55				
<b>Control over Proceedings</b>	<b>4.7</b>	73% 40	26% 14	2% 1	0% 0	0% 0	55				
<b>Intelligence/Skill as a Judge</b>	<b>4.7</b>	71% 39	27% 15	2% 1	0% 0	0% 0	55				
<b>Overall Evaluation</b>	<b>4.7</b>	69% 38	31% 17	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	55				

<b>Court Employee Survey Results                      2010 Retention Evaluation                      Carl Bauman</b>							
Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Poor % (n)	Mean	Total Responses
Impartiality/Fairness	77% 10	15% 2	0% 0	8% 1	0% 0	4.6	13
Integrity	60% 9	27% 4	7% 1	7% 1	0% 0	4.4	15
Judicial Temperament	80% 12	13% 2	0% 0	7% 1	0% 0	4.7	15
Diligence	56% 9	25% 4	6% 1	13% 2	0% 0	4.3	16
Overall Evaluation	63% 10	25% 4	6% 1	6% 1	0% 0	4.4	16