

Alaska Judicial Council Recommendation

Judge Gregory J. Motyka, District Court, Anchorage

Judicial Council Recommendation

The Alaska Judicial Council, a non-partisan citizens commission established by the Alaska constitution, evaluates judges on a number of criteria, including their legal ability, demeanor, their diligence, their ability to manage their caseloads, and their fairness and integrity. The Judicial Council finds Judge Motyka to be **Qualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote "YES" to retain him as a district court judge.

Judicial Council Evaluation

The Judicial Council surveyed 3,036 attorneys, 1,492 peace and probation officers, social workers/guardians ad litem, and child advocates, jurors, and court employees about the judges on the ballot. Respondents were asked to rate judicial performance and to submit comments. The Council also reviewed the ratings and observations of the Alaska Judicial Observers, independent community-based volunteers. The Council reviewed court system records concerning peremptory challenges, recusals, and appellate affirmance and reversal rates; any civil or criminal litigation involving the judge; APOC and court system conflict-of-interest statements; any disciplinary files; and whether a judge's pay was withheld for an untimely decision. The Council investigated judicial conduct in specific cases. The Council interviewed some judges, attorneys, court staff, and others. The Council held a statewide public hearing to obtain comments about judges.

	Attorney Survey	Peace Officer Survey	Juror Survey	Court Employee Survey	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs
Legal Ability	4.1	---	---	---	---
Impartiality	4.2	4.2	4.8	4.2	---
Integrity	4.3	4.3	---	4.3	---
Temperament	4.1	4.2	4.9	4.2	---
Diligence	4.1	4.2	---	4.1	---
Overall	4.2	4.2	4.8	4.2	---

Ratings are based on a one to five scale. Five is the best rating and three is "acceptable."

Rating Scale
 5.0 = Excellent
 4.0 = Good
 3.0 = Acceptable
 2.0 = Deficient
 1.0 = Poor

Summary of Survey Information

Attorneys in Alaska rated Judge Motyka on the six categories summarized in the table above, using 5 as the highest rating possible. The attorney rating for Judge Motyka on overall performance was 4.2. Peace and probation officers rated Judge Motyka on five categories, using the 5-point scale above. They gave Judge Motyka a rating of 4.2.

Four other groups were also asked to evaluate Judge Motyka's performance, using the same 5-point scale with 5 as the highest rating. Jurors rated him 4.8, and court employees gave him 4.2. There were no responses from social workers, guardians ad litem and CASA volunteers. The Alaska Judicial Observers rated him 3.7.

Recommendation: Vote "YES" to retain Judge Gregory J. Motyka

Contact the Judicial Council at 1029 W. Third Avenue, Suite 201, Anchorage, AK 99501 (telephone: (907) 279-2526) for more detailed information, or review the information on our Internet site at:

www.ajc.state.ak.us

November 2006



RECEIVED
JAN 25 2006

ALASKA
JUDICIAL COUNCIL

alaska judicial council

1029 W. Third Avenue, Suite 201, Anchorage, Alaska 99501-1969 (907) 279-2526 FAX (907) 276-5046
http://www.ajc.state.ak.us E-Mail: postmaster@ajc.state.ak.us

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
Larry Cohn

NON-ATTORNEY MEMBERS
Eleanor Andrews
Bill Gordon
Christena Williams

ATTORNEY MEMBERS
Douglas Bailly
Robert B. Groseclose
Susan Ortansky

CHAIR, EX OFFICIO
Alexander O. Bryner
Chief Justice
Supreme Court

Alaska Judicial Council Questionnaire

Trial Judge 2006 Candidates for Judicial Retention

November 21, 2005

Gregory J. Motyka	District Court
Name	Court

1. Describe your workload during your present term.

a) <u>30</u> % Civil Cases	b) _____ # of trials/year
<u>60</u> % Criminal Cases	_____ # Administrative Appeals
<u>10</u> % Court Administrative	
100 % Total	

2. Please describe your participation on court/bar committees or other administrative activities during your current term of office.

Deputy Presiding - 3rd Judicial District

Also in charge of scheduling settlement conferences.

3. Please assess, in one or two paragraphs, your judicial performance during your present term. Appropriate areas of comment could include: satisfaction with your judicial role, specific contributions to the judiciary or the field of law, increases in legal knowledge and judicial skills, or other measures of judicial abilities that you believe to be important.

Since October 2004 I have been appointed Deputy Presiding Judge with all of the administrative duties that go with that position. The appointment has given me the opportunity to implement some changes to the day to day operation of District Court attempting to improve the efficiency of the district court that sees roughly 25,000 new civil and criminal cases filed each year.

By the time of the upcoming retention elections, I will have been on the bench for just over 15 years. My new administrative duties have given me an interesting twist on a job that continues to be challenging and rewarding.

4. During your most recent term as a judge, have you:
- a) had a tax lien filed or other collection procedure instituted against you by federal, state, or local authorities? Yes _____ No X.
 - b) been involved in a nonjudicial capacity in any legal proceeding whether as a party or otherwise? Yes _____ No X.
 - c) engaged in the practice of law (other than as a judge)? Yes _____ No X.
 - d) held office in any political party? Yes _____ No X.
 - e) held any other local state or federal office? Yes _____ No X.

5. If your answer to any of the questions above is "yes," please give full details, including dates, facts, case numbers and outcomes.

6. Please provide any other information which you believe would assist the Council in conducting its evaluations and in preparing its recommendations for the 2006 retention elections.

7. Please list the names and case numbers of the three most recent jury cases tried before you, identify the attorneys involved, and show their current addresses. (Attach additional pages if necessary)

Case Number 1

Case Name: State of Alaska **Case Number:** 3AN-S05-02929CR
v. Kevin D. Sailor

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Andrew Petersen</u> <u>District Attorney</u>	Name: <u>Chong Yim</u> <u>Public Defender</u>
Address: <u>1031 W. 4th Ave., Ste. 200</u>	Address: <u>900 W. 5th Ave., Ste. 200</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>

Name: _____ Name: _____
Address: _____ Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____ City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 2

Case Name: Municipality of Anchorage **Case Number:** 3AN-M05-02572CR
v. Sabrina Eddie

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Gustav Olson</u> <u>Municipal Prosecutor</u>	Name: <u>Richard Kibby</u>
Address: <u>632 W. 6th Ave., Ste. 210</u>	Address: <u>405 W. 36th Ave., Ste. 1001</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99503</u>

Name: _____ Name: _____
Address: _____ Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____ City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 3

Case Name: Municipality of Anchorage **Case Number:** 3AN-M05-02436CR
v. Lakiesha Turner-Lunsford

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Gustav Olson</u> <u>Municipal Prosecutor</u>	Name: <u>Pamela Washington</u> <u>Gorton & Logue</u>
Address: <u>632 W. 6th Ave., Ste. 210</u>	Address: <u>737 M Street</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>

Name: _____ Name: _____
Address: _____ Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____ City, State, Zip: _____

8. Please list the names and case numbers of the three most recent non-jury cases tried before you, identify the attorneys involved, and show their current addresses. (Attach additional pages if necessary)

Case Number 1

Case
Name: Municipality of Anchorage *Case Number:* 3AN-M05-09895CR
v. Randall Borello

Attorneys Involved:

Name <u>Christina Otto</u>	Name <u>Michael Logue</u>
Address <u>Municipal Prosecutor</u>	Address <u>Gorton & Logue</u>
Address <u>632 W. 6th Ave., Ste. 210</u>	Address <u>737 M Street</u>
City, State, Zip <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>	City, State, Zip <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>
Name _____	Name _____
Address: _____	Address _____
City, State, Zip _____	City, State, Zip _____

Case Number 2

Case
Name: Carlile Enterprises *Case Number:* 3AN-03-05655CI
v. Waterpark Company of Alaska

Attorneys Involved:

Name <u>Roy Longacre</u>	Name <u>Rhonda Butterfield</u>
Address <u>425 G Street, Suite 910</u>	Address <u>310 K Street, Suite 200</u>
City, State, Zip <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>	City, State, Zip <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>
Name _____	Name _____
Address _____	Address _____
City, State, Zip _____	City, State, Zip _____

Case Number 3

Case
Name: Hi-Tec Professional Paint *Case Number:* 3AN-02-13956CI
v. Quarles, Johnson, et al

Attorneys Involved:

Name <u>Kevin Anderson</u>	Name <u>David Baranow</u>
Address <u>PMB 570 645 G Street</u>	Address <u>2020 Abbott Road, Suite 4</u>
City, State, Zip <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>	City, State, Zip <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99507</u>
Name _____	Name _____
Address _____	Address _____
City, State, Zip _____	City, State, Zip _____

9. Please list the names and case numbers of the three most recent cases which did not go to trial, but on which you did significant work (such as settlement conference, hearings, motion work, etc.), identify the attorneys involved, and show their current addresses.
(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Case Number 1

Case
Name: State of Alaska **Case Number:** 3AN-S04-03858CR
v. Thomas A. Williams

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>SaraEllen M. Hutchison</u>	Name: <u>Dan Allan</u>
Address <u>310 K Street, Suite 310</u>	Address <u>800 E. Dimond Blvd., Ste. 3-620</u>
City, State, Zip <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>	City, State, Zip <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99515</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip _____	City, State, Zip _____

Case Number 2

Case
Name: Municipality of Anchorage **Case Number:** 3AN-M05-00866CR
v. Ralph Sanchez

Attorneys Involved:

Name <u>Rachel Plumlee/Ramgren</u>	Name: <u>Allen Dayan</u>
Address <u>632 W. 6th Ave., Ste. 210</u>	Address: <u>745 W. 4th Ave., Ste. 230</u>
City, State, Zip <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>
Name _____	Name: _____
Address _____	Address _____
City, State, Zip _____	City, State, Zip _____

Case Number 3

Case
Name: Municipality of Anchorage **Case Number:** 3AN-M04-10359CR
v. Aaron E. Kreisel

Attorneys Involved:

Name <u>Robert J. Warren</u>	Name <u>James Gould</u>
Address <u>632 W. 6th Ave., Ste. 210</u>	Address: <u>737 M Street</u>
City, State, Zip <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>
Name _____	Name _____
Address _____	Address _____
City, State, Zip _____	City, State, Zip _____

10. If you deem it helpful to the Council, please list the name, case number and attorneys' names and current addresses of any other cases during your judicial career in which you believe your work was particularly noteworthy. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: _____ *Case Number:* _____
v. _____
Attorneys Involved:

Name: _____	Name: _____
Address _____	Address _____
City, State, Zip _____	City, State, Zip _____
Name _____	Name _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip _____	City, State, Zip _____

Case Number 2

Case Name: _____ *Case Number:* _____
v. _____
Attorneys Involved:

Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip _____	City, State, Zip _____
Name: _____	Name _____
Address _____	Address _____
City, State, Zip _____	City, State, Zip _____

Case Number 3

Case Name: _____ *Case Number:* _____
v. _____
Attorneys Involved:

Name _____	Name _____
Address _____	Address _____
City, State, Zip _____	City, State, Zip _____
Name: _____	Name _____
Address _____	Address _____
City, State, Zip _____	City, State, Zip _____

55. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE GREGORY MOTYKA

A. Alaska Bar Association

Demographic Description (N=332)

	N	%
Type of Practice		
No Response	3	0.9%
Private, Solo	83	25.0%
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	65	19.5%
Private, 6+ Attorneys	53	15.9%
Private, Corporate Employee	7	2.1%
State Judge or Judicial Officer	27	8.1%
Government	81	24.3%
Public Service Agency or Organization (not govt)	6	1.8%
Other	7	2.1%
Length of Alaska Practice		
No Response	5	1.5%
5 Years or fewer	36	10.8%
6 to 10 years	31	9.3%
11 to 15 years	47	14.1%
16 to 20 years	43	12.9%
21 years or more	170	51.2%
Gender		
No Response	3	0.9%
Male	237	71.3%
Female	92	27.7%
Cases Handled		
No Response	4	1.2%
Prosecution	36	10.8%
Mainly Criminal	31	9.3%
Mixed Criminal & Civil	83	25.0%
Mainly Civil	168	50.6%
Other	10	3.0%
Location of Practice		
No Response	3	0.9%
First District	4	1.2%
Second District	4	1.2%
Third District	306	92.1%
Fourth District	11	3.3%
Outside of Alaska	4	1.2%

**Evaluation of Judge Gregory Motyka:
Alaska Bar Association Members**

Summary of Findings

Judge Gregory Motyka was evaluated by 295 Alaska Bar Association members who reported having direct professional experience with the judge. The mean score on *overall evaluation* was 4.2. The highest mean score was obtained on *integrity* (4.3) and the lowest scores were obtained on *legal ability* (4.1), *judicial temperament* (4.1) and *diligence* (4.1). Details are present in the two tables that follow.

	Poor		Deficient		Accept		Good		Excellent		Mean
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Legal Ability	3	1.0%	6	2.0%	48	16.3%	134	45.7%	102	34.8%	4.1
Impartiality/Fairness	5	1.6%	13	4.4%	42	14.2%	105	35.5%	130	44.0%	4.2
Integrity	4	1.3%	2	0.6%	37	12.6%	100	34.1%	150	51.1%	4.3
Judicial Temperament	5	1.7%	8	2.7%	56	19.0%	99	33.6%	126	42.8%	4.1
Diligence	5	1.7%	7	2.4%	47	16.4%	115	40.3%	111	38.9%	4.1
Overall Rating	3	1.0%	8	2.7%	46	15.6%	115	39.2%	121	41.2%	4.2

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

**Judge Gregory Motyka: Detailed Information Responses
Alaska Bar Association Members**

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Rating	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation of Judge												
No Response	3.5	8	3.6	8	3.9	8	3.8	8	3.6	8	3.8	8
Direct Professional	4.1	293	4.2	295	4.3	293	4.1	294	4.1	285	4.2	293
Professional Reputation	3.8	32	3.8	32	4.0	32	3.8	32	3.8	32	3.8	32
Other Personal Contacts	3.7	3	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.3	3	4.5	2	4.0	3
Type of Practice												
No Response	2.3	3	2.0	3	3.0	3	2.0	3	2.0	3	2.0	3
Private, Solo	4.1	80	4.3	80	4.4	81	4.2	80	4.2	79	4.2	81
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	4.2	61	4.2	61	4.3	61	4.3	61	4.2	59	4.3	60
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.2	39	4.3	40	4.5	40	4.3	39	4.3	37	4.3	38
Private, Corporate Employee	4.3	6	4.1	7	4.4	7	4.0	7	4.5	6	4.3	7
State Judge or Judicial Officer	4.0	21	4.1	21	4.3	21	4.0	21	4.0	21	4.0	21
Government	4.1	72	4.1	72	4.3	70	4.1	72	4.1	70	4.1	72
Public Service Agency or Organization (not govt)	3.8	5	3.4	5	4.3	4	3.4	5	4.0	4	3.6	5
Other	3.7	6	4.2	6	4.5	6	4.3	6	3.7	6	4.2	6
Years Experience												
No Response	3.0	5	3.0	5	3.6	5	2.8	5	3.0	5	3.0	5
5 Years or fewer	4.5	33	4.4	34	4.5	33	4.4	33	4.5	31	4.4	32
6 to 10 years	4.4	28	4.3	29	4.4	28	4.2	29	4.3	27	4.3	29
11 to 15 years	4.1	43	4.0	44	4.3	44	4.2	44	4.1	43	4.2	44
16 to 20 years	3.8	36	3.8	36	4.0	36	3.8	36	3.7	36	3.8	36
21 years or more	4.1	148	4.2	147	4.4	147	4.2	147	4.2	143	4.2	147
Gender												
No Response	2.3	3	2.0	3	3.0	3	2.0	3	2.0	3	2.0	3
Male	4.2	209	4.3	211	4.4	211	4.2	210	4.2	203	4.2	209
Female	4.1	81	4.0	81	4.2	79	4.0	81	4.0	79	4.1	81
Majority of Practice Consists of												
No Response	2.3	3	2.0	3	3.0	3	2.0	3	2.0	3	2.0	3
Prosecution	4.3	36	4.4	36	4.4	36	4.3	36	4.3	36	4.4	36
Mainly Criminal	3.9	27	3.7	28	4.1	28	3.8	28	3.9	27	3.9	28
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.0	75	4.1	75	4.3	75	4.1	75	4.1	74	4.1	75
Mainly Civil	4.2	144	4.3	145	4.4	143	4.2	144	4.2	137	4.2	143
Other	3.9	8	4.1	8	4.4	8	4.0	8	4.0	8	4.3	8
Location of Practice												
No Response	2.3	3	2.0	3	3.0	3	2.0	3	2.0	3	2.0	3
First District	4.0	3	4.0	2	4.0	3	4.0	2	4.0	3	4.0	3
Second District	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
Third District	4.1	273	4.2	276	4.3	273	4.1	275	4.1	265	4.2	273
Fourth District	4.3	9	4.6	9	4.4	9	4.6	9	4.4	9	4.4	9
Outside of Alaska	4.0	3	4.0	3	4.3	3	4.3	3	4.0	3	4.3	3

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

55. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE GREGORY MOTYKA

B. Peace and Probation Officers

Demographic Description (N=50)

		N	%
Type of Work	No Response	--	0
	State Law Enforcement Officer	14	28.0%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	32	64.0%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0
	Probation/Parole Officer	3	6.0%
	Other	1	2.0%
Length of Alaska Experience	No Response	--	0
	5 Years or fewer	4	8.0%
	6 to 10 years	12	24.0%
	11 to 15 years	18	36.0%
	16 to 20 years	5	10.0%
	21 years or more	11	22.0%
Gender	No Response	--	0
	Male	39	78.0%
	Female	11	22.0%
Location of Practice	No Response	--	0
	First District	1	2.0%
	Second District	--	0
	Third District	49	98.0%
	Fourth District	--	0
	Outside of Alaska	--	0
Community Population	No Response	--	0
	Under 2,000	2	4.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	4.0%
	Over 35,000	46	92.0%

**Evaluation of Judge Gregory Motyka
Peace and Probation Officers**

Summary of Findings

Judge Gregory Motyka was evaluated by 40 Peace and Probation Officers who reported having direct professional experience with the judge. The mean score on *overall evaluation* was 4.2. The highest mean score was obtained on *integrity* (4.3) and all the other areas obtained a score of 4.2. Details are present in the two tables that follow.

	Poor		Deficient		Accept		Good		Excellent		Mean
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Impartiality/Fairness	--	0	2	5.0%	4	10.0%	18	45.0%	16	40.0%	4.2
Integrity	--	0	--	0	6	15.3%	15	38.4%	18	46.1%	4.3
Judicial Temperament	--	0	2	5.1%	5	12.8%	16	41.0%	16	41.0%	4.2
Diligence	--	0	2	5.1%	4	10.2%	19	48.7%	14	35.8%	4.2
Overall Rating	--	0	2	5.0%	5	12.5%	17	42.5%	16	40.0%	4.2

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Judge Gregory Motyka: Detailed Information on Responses Peace and Probation Officers

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Rating	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation of Judge										
No Response	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Direct Professional	4.2	40	4.3	39	4.2	39	4.2	39	4.2	40
Professional Reputation	3.4	9	3.6	9	3.6	9	3.4	9	3.6	9
Other Personal Contacts	3.0	1	3.0	1	1.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Type of Work										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
State Law Enforcement Officer	4.3	13	4.4	13	4.3	13	4.2	13	4.2	13
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.2	25	4.3	24	4.2	24	4.2	24	4.2	25
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Other	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Years Experience										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
5 Years or fewer	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	4.7	3	4.7	3
6 to 10 years	4.0	11	4.0	10	4.1	11	4.1	10	4.0	11
11 to 15 years	4.2	15	4.3	15	4.1	14	4.1	15	4.1	15
16 to 20 years	4.8	4	4.8	4	4.8	4	4.5	4	4.8	4
21 years or more	3.9	7	4.1	7	3.9	7	4.0	7	4.0	7
Gender										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Male	4.1	31	4.2	30	4.1	30	4.0	30	4.1	31
Female	4.6	9	4.6	9	4.6	9	4.6	9	4.6	9
Location of Practice										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.2	40	4.3	39	4.2	39	4.2	39	4.2	40
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Community Population										
No Response	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Under 2,000	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.0	2	4.5	2
Over 35,000	4.2	37	4.3	36	4.2	36	4.2	36	4.2	37

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.


55. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE GREGORY MOTYKA

C. Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers

No respondents for Judge Gregory Motyka for this group.

District Court Judge Gregory Motyka

Average Ratings from All Groups Surveyed



■ Alaska Bar Association	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.2
▨ Peace and Probation Officers		4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2

*Legal Ability items are only completed by Alaska Bar Association members.

<p style="text-align: center;">Juror Survey Results 2006 Retention Evaluation Gregory Motyka</p>											
		Distribution of Ratings									
Survey Category	Mean	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Poor % (n)	Total Returned = 47				
Impartiality/Fairness	4.8	77% 36	21% 10	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0					
Respectful/Courteous	4.9	85% 40	15% 7	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0					
Attentive during Proceedings	4.7	70% 33	28% 13	2% 1	0% 0	0% 0					
Control over Proceedings	4.9	85% 40	15% 7	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0					
Intelligence/Skill as a Judge	4.8	81% 38	17% 8	2% 1	0% 0	0% 0					
Overall Evaluation	4.8	83% 39	17% 8	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0					

Court Employee Survey Results 2006 Retention Evaluation Gregory Motyka												
		Distribution of Ratings										
Survey Category	Mean	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Poor % (n)	Total Returned = 62					
Impartiality/Fairness	4.2	39% 24	39% 24	13% 8	3% 2	0% 0	58					
Integrity	4.3	42% 26	36% 22	13% 8	2% 1	0% 0	57					
Judicial Temperament	4.2	42% 26	32% 20	18% 11	0% 0	2% 1	58					
Diligence	4.1	39% 24	31% 19	19% 12	3% 2	0% 0	57					
Overall Evaluation	4.2	44% 27	31% 19	15% 9	3% 2	0% 0	57					

Judge Gregory J. Motyka
 Prior Scores Summary
 Retention 2006
 Appointed 07/26/91 to Anchorage District Court

Bar Survey						
2006 Retention	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.2
2004 Interim	3.9	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.0
2002 Retention	4.0	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1
1998 Retention	3.7	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.8
1994 Retention	3.7	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.8
	Legal Ability	Impartiality	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Performance

Peace & Probation Officer Survey					
2006 Retention	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2
2004 Interim	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.4	4.5
2002 Retention	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3
1998 Retention	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.3
1994 Retention	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.2
	Impartiality	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Performance