

Table 49
Judge Nathaniel Peters
Demographic Description of Respondents - Bar Association Members

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	75	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	69	92.0
Professional reputation	2	2.7
Other personal contacts	4	5.3
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	63	95.5
Substantial amount of experience	26	38.2
Moderate amount of experience	13	19.1
Limited amount of experience	29	42.6
Type of Practice		
No response	1	1.3
Private, solo	7	9.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	9	12.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	7	9.3
Private, corporate employee	1	1.3
Judge or judicial officer	23	30.7
Government	19	25.3
Public service agency or organization	1	1.3
Retired	6	8.0
Other	1	1.3
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	2	2.7
5 years or fewer	7	9.3
6 to 10 years	10	13.3
11 to 15 years	9	12.0
16 to 20 years	17	22.7
More than 20 years	30	40.0
Cases Handled		
No response	1	1.3
Prosecution	7	9.3
Criminal	11	14.7
Mixed criminal & civil	35	46.7
Civil	16	21.3
Other	5	6.7
Location of Practice		
No response	1	1.3
First District	2	2.7
Second District	1	1.3
Third District	54	72.0
Fourth District	17	22.7
Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender		
No response	2	2.7
Male	47	62.7
Female	26	34.7

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 50
Judge Nathaniel Peters
Detailed Responses - Bar Association Members

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	75	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.3
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	69	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	63	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.2
Experience not within last 5 years	3	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.7	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	26	4.0	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.3	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	13	4.2	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4
Limited amount of experience	29	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2
Professional reputation	2	4.5	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5
Other personal contacts	4	3.8	4.0	4.5	4.8	4.0	4.3
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	7	4.1	3.7	4.0	4.3	4.1	4.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	8	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	7	3.3	3.7	3.6	4.0	3.1	3.6
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	21	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.9
Government	18	3.6	3.9	4.5	4.2	4.0	3.8
Public service agency or organization	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Retired	5	4.0	4.6	4.8	4.2	4.2	4.4
Other	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	7	3.9	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.1
6 to 10 years	8	3.5	4.0	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.1
11 to 15 years	9	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.1	4.3
16 to 20 years	16	4.3	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.4	4.4
More than 20 years	27	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.3
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	6	3.8	4.0	4.5	4.7	4.3	4.0
Criminal	11	3.6	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.0	4.0
Mixed criminal & civil	32	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.6
Civil	14	4.0	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.1
Other	5	3.8	3.6	4.6	4.2	3.8	4.0
Location of Practice*							
First District	2	3.0	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.0	3.5
Second District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Third District	50	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.1	4.2
Fourth District	15	4.4	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.6	4.7
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	45	4.2	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4
Female	22	3.8	4.0	4.3	4.1	3.9	4.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 34:
Judge Nathaniel Peters
Description of Respondents' Experiences - Peace and Probation Officers

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	12	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	11	91.7
Professional reputation	1	8.3
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	11	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	4	36.4
Moderate amount of experience	4	36.4
Limited amount of experience	3	27.3

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 35:
Judge Nathaniel Peters
Detailed Responses - Peace and Probation Officers

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	12	3.8	3.8	4.1	3.9	3.8
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	11	3.9	3.9	4.2	4.0	3.9
Experience within last 5 years	11	3.9	3.9	4.2	4.0	3.9
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	4	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	4	4.3	4.3	4.8	4.5	4.3
Limited amount of experience	3	3.0	3.0	3.3	3.0	3.0
Professional reputation	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 43
Judge Nathaniel Peters
Description of Respondents' Experience - Court Employees

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	10	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	7	70.0
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	3	30.0
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	7	100
Substantial amount of experience	3	42.9
Moderate amount of experience	1	14.3
Limited amount of experience	3	42.9

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 44
Judge Nathaniel Peters
Detailed Responses - Court Employees

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	10	4.8	4.9	5.0	4.9	4.9
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	7	4.7	4.9	5.0	4.9	4.9
Experience within last 5 years	7	4.7	4.9	5.0	4.9	4.9
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	1	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0
Limited amount of experience	3	4.7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Professional reputation	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 18
Judge Nathaniel Peters
Demographic Description of Respondents' Experience - Social Service Professionals

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	10	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	10	100
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	10	100
Substantial amount of experience	6	60.0
Moderate amount of experience	3	30.0
Limited amount of experience	1	10.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 19
Judge Nathaniel Peters
Detailed Responses - Social Service Professionals

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	10	3.9	4.1	4.3	3.9	3.9
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	10	3.9	4.1	4.3	3.9	3.9
Experience within last 5 years	10	3.9	4.1	4.3	3.9	3.9
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	6	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.3	4.2
Moderate amount of experience	3	3.0	4.0	4.0	2.5	2.5
Limited amount of experience	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-