Table 53
Judge William T. Montgomery
Demographic Description of Respondents - Bar Association Members

		n	*%*
	All respondents	37	100
Experience with Judge			
	Direct professional experience	31	83.8
	Professional reputation	4	10.8
	Other personal contacts	2	5.4
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	30	100.0
	Substantial amount of experience	15	50.0
	Moderate amount of experience	7	23.3
	Limited amount of experience	8	26.7
Type of Practice			
	No response	1	2.7
	Private, solo	1	2.7
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	3	8.1
	Private, 6+ attorneys	3	8.1
	Private, corporate employee	-	-
	Judge or judicial officer	16	43.2
	Government	9	24.3
	Public service agency or organization	2	5.4
	Retired	2	5.4
	Other	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No response	1	2.7
	5 years or fewer	5	13.5
	6 to 10 years	5	13.5
	11 to 15 years	6	16.2
	16 to 20 years	5	13.5
	More than 20 years	15	40.5
Cases Handled			
	No response	1	2.7
	Prosecution	3	8.1
	Criminal	7	18.9
	Mixed criminal & civil	21	56.8
	Civil	4	10.8
	Other	1	2.7
Location of Practice			
	No response	1	2.7
	First District	1	2.7
	Second District	-	-
	Third District	19	51.4
	Fourth District	16	43.2
	Outside Alaska	-	-
Gender			
	No response	2	5.4
	Male	25	67.6
	Female	10	27.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 54
Judge William T. Montgomery
Detailed Responses - Bar Association Members

	n	Legal Ability M	Impartiality/ Fairness M	Integrity M	Judicial Temperament M	Diligence M	Overall M
All respondents	37	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.1
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	**31**	**4.2**	**4.5**	**4.6**	**4.3**	**4.3**	**4.3**
Experience within last 5 years	30	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	15	4.2	4.4	4.7	4.4	4.3	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	7	4.4	4.7	4.7	4.4	4.4	4.6
Limited amount of experience	8	3.9	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.0
Professional reputation	4	3.3	3.3	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Other personal contacts	2	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Private, 2-5 attorneys	2	4.5	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.5
Private, 6+ attorneys	3	3.3	4.0	3.3	3.7	3.3	3.3
Private, corporate employee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Judge or judicial officer	14	4.6	4.9	5.0	4.9	5.0	4.8
Government	8	3.6	4.1	4.4	3.6	3.6	3.9
Public service agency or organization	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Retired	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	5	3.8	4.2	4.6	3.8	3.8	4.0
6 to 10 years	3	3.7	4.3	3.7	3.7	4.0	3.7
11 to 15 years	6	4.2	4.3	4.8	4.3	4.2	4.3
16 to 20 years	5	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4
More than 20 years	11	4.4	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.5
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	3	3.3	4.0	4.3	4.0	2.7	3.7
Criminal	6	4.0	4.3	4.5	3.7	4.3	4.2
Mixed criminal & civil	17	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.4
Civil	4	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Location of Practice*	-						
First District	1	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	2.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	15	3.9	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.2
Fourth District	14	4.6	4.6	4.9	4.6	4.5	4.6
Outside Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*							
Male	23	4.2	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.3
Female	6	3.8	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 38:
Judge William T. Montgomery
Description of Respondents' Experiences - Peace and Probation Officers

	n	%
All respondents	**9**	**100.0**
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	8	88.9
Professional reputation	1	11.1
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	8	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	1	12.5
Moderate amount of experience	4	50.0
Limited amount of experience	3	37.5

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 39:
Judge William T. Montgomery
Detailed Responses - Peace and Probation Officers

	n	Impartiality/ Fairness M	Integrity M	Judicial Temperament M	Diligence M	Overall M
All respondents	9	4.0	3.8	4.0	4.1	3.9
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	**8**	**4.3**	**4.0**	**4.3**	**4.4**	**4.1**
Experience within last 5 years	8	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	1	5.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	4	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3
Limited amount of experience	3	3.7	3.7	4.0	4.0	3.7
Professional reputation	1	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 47
Judge William T. Montgomery
Description of Respondents' Experience - Court Employees

		n	*%*
	All respondents	**10**	**100**
Experience with Judge			
	Direct professional experience	8	80.0
	Professional reputation	1	10.0
	Other personal contacts	1	10.0
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	8	100
	Substantial amount of experience	3	37.5
	Moderate amount of experience	2	25.0
	Limited amount of experience	3	37.5

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 48
Judge William T. Montgomery
Detailed Responses - Court Employees

	n	Impartiality/ Fairness *M*	Integrity *M*	Judicial Temperament *M*	Diligence *M*	Overall *M*
All respondents	10	4.7	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.7
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	**8**	**4.6**	**4.5**	**4.4**	**4.5**	**4.6**
Experience within last 5 years	8	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.6
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	3	5.0	4.7	4.3	4.7	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	2	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Limited amount of experience	3	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Professional reputation	1	-	4.0	-	4.0	-
Other personal contacts	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0