Table 39 Judge J. Patrick Hanley Demographic Description of Respondents - Bar Association Members

		n	%
	All respondents	178	100
Experience with Judge	•		
	Direct professional experience	152	85.4
	Professional reputation	15	8.4
	Other personal contacts	11	6.2
Detailed Experience*	·		
<del>-</del>	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	136	91.3
	Substantial amount of experience	56	36.8
	Moderate amount of experience	63	41.4
	Limited amount of experience	33	21.7
Type of Practice			
•	No response	2	1.1
	Private, solo	31	17.4
	Private, 2-5 attorneys	16	9.0
	Private, 6+ attorneys	18	10.1
	Private, corporate employee	4	2.2
	Judge or judicial officer	35	19.7
	Government	54	30.3
	Public service agency or organization	4	2.2
	Retired	12	6.7
	Other	2	1.1
Length of Alaska Practice			
9	No response	1	0.6
	5 years or fewer	12	6.7
	6 to 10 years	24	13.5
	11 to 15 years	15	8.4
	16 to 20 years	23	12.9
	More than 20 years	103	57.9
Cases Handled	· ·		
	No response	2	1.1
	Prosecution	18	10.1
	Criminal	21	11.8
	Mixed criminal & civil	65	36.5
	Civil	65	36.5
	Other	7	3.9
Location of Practice			
	No response	2	1.1
	First District	6	3.4
	Second District	-	
	Third District	161	90.4
	Fourth District	7	3.9
	Outside Alaska	2	1.1
Gender			
	No response	2	1.1
	Male	117	65.7
	Female	59	33.1

<sup>\*</sup>Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 40 Judge J. Patrick Hanley Detailed Responses - Bar Association Members

		Legal	Impartiality/	mpartiality/ Judicial			
	n	Ability <i>M</i>	Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence M	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	178	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>							
Direct professional experience	152	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.8
Experience within last 5 years	136	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.8
Experience not within last 5 years	13	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Substantial amount of experience	56	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Moderate amount of experience	63	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Limited amount of experience	33	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.9
Professional reputation	15	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Other personal contacts	11	4.8	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.9	4.9
Type of Practice*				2.0	2.0	,	,
Private, solo	28	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.8
Private, 2-5 attorneys	13	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Private, 6+ attorneys	11	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.9
Private, corporate employee	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Judge or judicial officer	34	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.9
Government	46	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.6
Public service agency or organization	3	4.3	4.7	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.7
Retired	11	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.5
Other	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*		3.0	5.0	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.0
5 years or fewer	11	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
6 to 10 years	23	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.6	4.8
11 to 15 years	13	4.6	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.5	4.8
16 to 20 years	17	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.9
More than 20 years	87	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Cases Handled*	07	т./	7.0	7.0	7.0	т./	7.0
Prosecution	16	4.3	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.4
Criminal	19	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Mixed criminal & civil	60	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8
Civil	50	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.8
Other	5	4.4	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.6	4.8
Location of Practice*	3	4.4	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
First District	1	12	1.5	1.5	15	1.5	1.5
Second District	4	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Third District	139	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	- 4.8
Fourth District	6	4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.3
Outside Alaska	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Gender*	101	16	4.0	10	1.0	1 6	4.7
Male	101	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.7
Female *Ratings from only those respondents repo	49	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.8

<sup>\*</sup>Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

*Table 24:* Judge J. Patrick Hanley Description of Respondents' Experiences - Peace and Probation Officers

		n	%
	All respondents	38	100.0
Experience with Judge			
-	Direct professional experience	36	94.7
	Professional reputation	2	5.3
	Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*			
<del>-</del>	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	33	94.3
	Substantial amount of experience	9	25.0
	Moderate amount of experience	19	52.8
	Limited amount of experience	8	22.2

<sup>\*</sup>Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

*Table 25:* Judge J. Patrick Hanley **Detailed Responses - Peace and Probation Officers** 

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	n	M	M	M	M	M
All respondents	38	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	36	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8
Experience within last 5 years	33	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.9
Experience not within last 5 years	2	4.0	4.5	5.0	4.0	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	9	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.9	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	19	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8
Limited amount of experience	8	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.6
Professional reputation	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>\*</sup>Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 33 Judge J. Patrick Hanley Description of Respondents' Experience - Court Employees

		n	%
	All respondents	30	100
Experience with Judge			
	Direct professional experience	25	83.3
	Professional reputation	2	6.7
	Other personal contacts	3	10.0
Detailed Experience*			
	Recent experience (within last 5 years)	25	100
	Substantial amount of experience	6	24.0
	Moderate amount of experience	15	60.0
	Limited amount of experience	4	16.0

<sup>\*</sup>Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 34 Judge J. Patrick Hanley Detailed Responses - Court Employees

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	n	M	M	M	M	M
All respondents	30	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.9
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>						
Direct professional experience	25	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.9
Experience within last 5 years	25	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.9
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	6	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	15	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8
Limited amount of experience	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Professional reputation	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other personal contacts	3	4.3	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.7