

Table 19
Judge Dani Crosby
Demographic Description of Respondents - Bar Association Members

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	235	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	194	82.6
Professional reputation	27	11.5
Other personal contacts	14	6.0
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	177	95.7
Substantial amount of experience	65	33.9
Moderate amount of experience	67	34.9
Limited amount of experience	60	31.3
Type of Practice		
No response	4	1.7
Private, solo	53	22.6
Private, 2-5 attorneys	40	17.0
Private, 6+ attorneys	36	15.3
Private, corporate employee	6	2.6
Judge or judicial officer	29	12.3
Government	47	20.0
Public service agency or organization	3	1.3
Retired	14	6.0
Other	3	1.3
Length of Alaska Practice		
No response	4	1.7
5 years or fewer	22	9.4
6 to 10 years	19	8.1
11 to 15 years	14	6.0
16 to 20 years	32	13.6
More than 20 years	144	61.3
Cases Handled		
No response	3	1.3
Prosecution	4	1.7
Criminal	11	4.7
Mixed criminal & civil	53	22.6
Civil	151	64.3
Other	13	5.5
Location of Practice		
No response	3	1.3
First District	6	2.6
Second District	-	-
Third District	217	92.3
Fourth District	5	2.1
Outside Alaska	4	1.7
Gender		
No response	4	1.7
Male	141	60.0
Female	90	38.3

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 20
Judge Dani Crosby
Detailed Responses - Bar Association Members

	<i>n</i>	Legal Ability <i>M</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	235	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.5
Basis for Evaluation							
Direct professional experience	194	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4
Experience within last 5 years	177	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4
Experience not within last 5 years	8	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9
Substantial amount of experience	65	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	67	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.6
Limited amount of experience	60	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.4
Professional reputation	27	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Other personal contacts	14	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.8
Type of Practice*							
Private, solo	47	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.3
Private, 2-5 attorneys	34	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.4
Private, 6+ attorneys	29	4.6	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.7
Private, corporate employee	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Judge or judicial officer	26	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.6	4.4	4.6
Government	38	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.3
Public service agency or organization	2	4.0	4.5	4.5	5.0	5.0	4.5
Retired	8	4.1	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.4
Other	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Alaska Practice*							
5 years or fewer	20	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.8	4.4	4.5
6 to 10 years	17	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
11 to 15 years	12	4.3	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.3
16 to 20 years	23	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.4	4.5
More than 20 years	119	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Cases Handled*							
Prosecution	4	4.3	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.3	4.5
Criminal	7	4.4	4.1	4.5	4.2	4.0	4.1
Mixed criminal & civil	47	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.3
Civil	123	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.5	4.5
Other	11	4.6	4.5	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.7
Location of Practice*							
First District	4	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.8	5.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	181	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Fourth District	4	3.3	3.3	3.5	3.5	3.8	3.5
Outside Alaska	3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Gender*							
Male	117	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.5
Female	74	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.4

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 13
Judge Dani Crosby
Description of Respondents' Experience - Court Employees

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	36	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	30	83.3
Professional reputation	6	16.7
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	29	100
Substantial amount of experience	3	10.0
Moderate amount of experience	15	50.0
Limited amount of experience	12	40.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 14
Judge Dani Crosby
Detailed Responses - Court Employees

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	36	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.7
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	30	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Experience within last 5 years	29	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	15	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
Limited amount of experience	12	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5
Professional reputation	6	4.4	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 6
Judge Dani Crosby
Demographic Description of Respondents' Experience - Social Service Professionals

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	10	100
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	10	100
Professional reputation	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	10	100
Substantial amount of experience	3	30.0
Moderate amount of experience	3	30.0
Limited amount of experience	4	40.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 7
Judge Dani Crosby
Detailed Responses - Social Service Professionals

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
All respondents	10	4.0	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.3
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	10	4.0	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.3
Experience within last 5 years	10	4.0	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.3
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	3	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.3	4.7
Moderate amount of experience	3	4.0	4.0	4.7	4.0	4.0
Limited amount of experience	4	3.8	4.5	4.0	4.3	4.3
Professional reputation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-