# Alaska Judicial Council 2010 Judicial Retention Performance Evaluation Materials Judge Patrick J. McKay Anchorage Superior Court

The Judicial Council finds Judge McKay to be **Qualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote **"YES"** to retain him as a superior court judge.

## Retention evaluation materials for this judge

1.	Voter pan This pag	nphlet page	2
2.	•	estionnaire	3
3.		cores in 2010 v survey scores for all judges on the ballot go to main 2010 retention page.)	
	a.	Attorney; Peace Officer; Social Worker/Guardians Ad Litem/CASA scores	10
	b.	Juror survey scores	17
	c.	Court employee survey scores	18
4.	Survey so	cores in previous retention evaluations  dge is standing for retention for the first time)	

# Retention evaluation materials for all judges on the ballot

(To view these materials go to main 2010 retention page.)

#### 1. Peremptory challenges

Analysis of peremptory challenge rates for judges.

#### 2. Recusals

Evaluation of judge's record of self-disqualification from cases, or "recusals."

#### 3. Appellate Affirmance Rates

Analysis of appellate decisions involving each trial judge's cases.

#### 4. Salary Warrant Withholdings

Evaluation of judge's record of pay withholding for undecided or uncompleted decisions.

# Alaska Judicial Council Recommendation Judge Patrick J. McKay, Superior Court, Anchorage

#### **Judicial Council Recommendation 2010**

The Alaska Judicial Council is a non-partisan citizens' commission established by the Alaska constitution. Alaskan law requires the Council to evaluate judges' performance and authorizes the Council to recommend to voters whether judges should be retained in office. The Judicial Council reviews judges' integrity, diligence, legal ability, fairness, demeanor, ability to manage their caseloads, and overall performance of their judicial responsibilities in and out of the courtroom. Judge McKay was reprimanded by the Alaska Supreme Court as a result of the judge's conviction for Driving Under the Influence. Judge McKay responded honestly and constructively to this reprimand and complied with the court's treatment requirements and other conditions in every respect. The Judicial Council finds Judge McKay to be *Qualified* and recommends unanimously that the public vote "YES" to retain him as a superior court judge.

#### **Judicial Council Evaluation**

The Judicial Council surveyed thousands of Alaskans including peace and probation officers, court employees, attorneys, jurors, social workers/guardians ad litem, and child advocates about the judges on the ballot. Respondents were asked to rate judicial performance and to submit comments. The Council also reviewed the ratings and observations of the Alaska Judicial Observers, independent community-based volunteers. The Council reviewed the judge's peremptory challenge, recusals, and appellate affirmance and reversal rates; any civil or criminal litigation involving the judge; APOC and court system conflict-of-interest statements; any disciplinary files involving the judge; and whether a judge's pay was withheld for an untimely decision. The Council reviewed other court records and investigated judicial conduct in specific cases. The Council interviewed some judges, attorneys, and court staff, and held a statewide public hearing to obtain comments about judges.

	Attorney Survey	Peace Officer Survey	Juror Survey	Court Employee Survey	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs
Legal Ability	4.1				
Impartiality	4.2	3.6	4.9	4.5	3.5
Integrity	4.0	3.2		4.3	3.0
Temperament	4.1	3.7	5.0	4.5	3.5
Diligence	4.1	3.6		4.5	3.5
Overall	4.1	3.3	5.0	4.6	3.5

Ratings are based on a one to five scale. Five is the best rating and three is "acceptable."

Rating Scale

5.0 = Excellent

4.0 = Good

3.0 = Acceptable

2.0 = Deficient

1.0 = Poor

#### **Summary of Survey Information**

Survey respondents rated Judge McKay on the categories summarized in the table above, using 5 as the highest rating possible. The attorney rating for Judge McKay on overall performance was 4.1. Peace and probation officers gave Judge McKay a rating of 3.3. Jurors rated him 5.0 overall, court employees gave him 4.6, and social workers, guardians ad litem and CASA volunteers rated him at 3.5. The Alaska Judicial Observers rated him 3.57.

Recommendation: Vote "YES" to retain Judge Patrick J. McKay

RECEIVED

DEC 9 2009

ALASKA JUDICIAL COUNCIL

# Alaska Judicial Council Questionnaire

# Trial Judge 2010 Candidates for Judicial Retention

October 22, 2009

		Patrick J. McKay		Anchorage Superior Court
		Name		Court
1.	Pleas	se estimate your workload during your	presen	it term.
	a)	23 % civil cases 75 % criminal cases 2 % court administrative work 100 % Total	b)	# of jury trials/year    15
These	e are ave	d a civil calendar the first year, criminal for erages over all calendars, all years		
2.		se describe your participation on court/bag your current term of office.	ar con	nmittees or other administrative activities
also a bench felony	ible to as i, I was a caseloa onally, I	e opportunity to try cases in Naknek and Di ssist in Kenai during their period of judicial assigned to the "Project Phoenix" committe ad to improve caseflow management. have performed other duties as requested	reorgar e- a co	nization. After a short time on the criminal imprehensive overhaul of the Anchorage

Alaska Judicial Council Trial Judge Questionnaire Retention

3. Please assess, in one or two paragraphs, your judicial performance during your present term. Appropriate areas of comment could include: satisfaction with your judicial role, specific contributions to the judiciary or the field of law, increases in legal knowledge and judicial skills, or other measures of judicial abilities that you believe to be important.

After my appointment, I was first assigned to the civil calendar and I recall the surprise at the number of pro per parties, especially in domestic cases. I was fortunate to conduct an unusual number of jury trial days as a civil judge which served well when I was asked to serve on the criminal bench. After a steep, but quick, learning curve, I believe I was able to respond well to the task. During my tenure on the criminal bench, the felony case load grew exponentially, but as a team, I am proud that we were able to maximize our resources and meet the serious challenges to the entire system, from support staff to jury clerks, judicial services, in-courts and administrative assistants.

Back in civil, after an almost three year stint on the criminal bench, I can see the burgeoning effects of the continued increase in pro per involvement in the court system. I see the need for more education of these external customers. I'd like to be part of that process.

My most passionate interest since appointment has been to express, by word and actions, the value that citizens who have contact with the court system provide to the justice system. I love working with jurors and respect their time and commitment.

I continue to enjoy the daily challenges of a fast-pace, yet detail oriented, profession. I believe it is important their life.

to remember that even small disputes may be the most important issue a litigant has to currently deal with in I believe I perform this job well and would be honored to continue.

4.	During	g your most recent term as a judge, have you:
	a)	had a tax lien filed or other collection procedure instituted against you by federal, state, or local authorities? ☐ Yes  ☐ No
	b)	been involved in a non-judicial capacity in any legal proceeding whether as a party or otherwise?   ✓ Yes □ No
	c)	engaged in the practice of law (other than as a judge)? □ Yes ♥No
	d)	held office in any political party? ☐ Yes   No
	e)	held any other local state or federal office? □ Yes  ✓ No
	f)	had any complaints, charges or grievances filed against you with the Alaska Commission on Judicial Conduct, the Alaska Bar Association, or with the Alaska Court System that resulted in public proceedings or sanctions? ☐ Yes  ✓ No
5.		answer to any of the questions above is "yes," please give full details, including dates, case numbers and outcomes.
Judicia complia	(f). I hav al Condu ance wit	ve not technically had a complaint filed, but I self reported to the Alaska Commission on act that I was arrested (08/27/09) and pled no contest for DUI. 3 ANM-09-9939CR. I am in full the all terms of my sentence and other interested agencies.
		provide any other information which you believe would assist the Council in ting its evaluations and in preparing its recommendations for the 2010 retention as.
I would believe	hope m	ny personal transgression will not outweigh the personal and professional accomplishments I to the bench.

7. Please list your three most recent jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

#### Case Number 1 Case Name: Rose Okpealuk Case Number: 3 AN-07-6226 CI v. William Goedde Attorneys Involved: <sub>Name:</sub> John Dean Name: Rose Okpealuk, pro per Address: 1835 Bragaw St. STE. 110 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99508 City, State, Zip: 258-1835 Address: Address: City, State, Zip: City, State, Zip: Case Number 2 Case Name: Peter and Shirley Ludvick Case Number: 3AN-08-8578 CI v. Illiamna Natives Ltd. Attorneys Involved: Name: Robert Hume, Jr.; Matthew Mead Name: James Vollintine Address: P.O. Box 113329 Address: 701 W. 8th Ave STE. 1200 City, State, Zip: Anchorage AK 99511 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501 346-4446 276-5152 Name: Address: Address: City, State, Zip: City, State, Zip: Case Number 3 Case Name: State of Alaska Case Number: 3 AN-06-06987 CR v. Richard Dorsey Attorneys Involved: Name: Aaron Sperbeck Name: Chong Yim Address: 310 K St. STE. 520 Address: 900 W. 5th Ave. STE. 200 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501 269-6300 344-4424 City, State, Zip: City, State, Zip:

8. Please list your three most recent non-jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in your three most recent non-jury trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

#### Case Number 1

Case Name: Daryl and Nelvey Kooley	Case Number: 3AN-06-05016 CI
v. Lisa Sindorf	
Attorneys	Involved:
Name: Michael Schneider	Name: Rod Sisson ; Peter Diemer
Address: 880 N. St. STE. 202	Address: 445 W. 9th Ave.
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501 277-9306	City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501 258-1991
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City, State, Zip:	
Case No	ımber 2
Case Name: Shawn Boquist	Case Number: 3AN-09-6067 CI
v. Mechelle Boquist	
Attorneys	Involved:
Name: Ian Wheeles	<sub>Name:</sub> Maryann Foley
Address: 800 E. Dimond STE. 3-620	Address: 750 W. 2d Ave #203
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99515 344-8851	City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501 279-0783
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:
Case Nu	
Case Name: Tiffany Montalbo	Case Number: 3AN-08-8871 CI
v. Scott Montalbo	
Attorneys	Involved:
Name: <b>Terry Aglietti</b>	Name: Scott Montalbo, pro per
Address: 733 W. 4th Ave. STE. 206	Address:
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501 279-8657	City, State, Zip:
Name:	Name:
Address:	Name:
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:

9. Please list your three most recent cases, including case names and numbers, which did not go to trial, but on which you did significant work (such as settlement conference, hearings, motion work, etc.). Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

#### Case Number 1 Case Name: State Case Number: 3AN-91-01179 CR v. John Carr Attorneys Involved: Name: Thomas Smith Name: John Novak Address: 310 K. St. STE 403 Address: 900 W. 5th Ave. OPA City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501 269-6379 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501 269-3500 Name: Address: City, State, Zip: City, State, Zip: Case Number 2 Case Name: State Case Number: 3AN-08-11503 CR v. John Salm & Kelly Wolf Attorneys Involved: Name: Robert Henderson Name: John Cashion Address: 310 K. St. STE 520 Address: 900 W. 5th Ave STE 210 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501 269-6300 334-2177 Name: Keriann Brady Address: 900 W. 5th Ave. Address: City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501 334-5925 City, State, Zip: Case Number 3 Case Name: Southwood Manor Case Number: 3 AN-08-8669 CI v. Dennis Wilson Attorneys Involved: Name: David Gross Name: Goriune Dudukgian Address: 1127 W. 7th Ave. Address: 310 K St. STE 200 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501 276-1550 264-6634 Address: City, State, Zip:

City, State, Zip:

Alaska Judicial Council Trial Judge Questionnaire Retention

10. Optional: If you deem it helpful to the Council, please list up to three other cases during your past term in which you believe your work was particularly noteworthy. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case I	Number 1
Case Name: Ahtna Inc.	Case Number: 3AN 04- 5669 CI
v. Patrick Anderson v. DeLoitte, Touche	nc.
•	vs Involved:
Name: Patrick Gilmore	Name: James Torgerson
Address: 420 L. St. STE. 500	Address: 510 L. St. STE .500
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501 276-17	700 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501 277-1900
Name: Howard Trickey	<sub>Name:</sub> Clay Alex Young
Address: 3000 A. St. STE 300	Address: 1007 W.3rd Ave STE. 400
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99503 563-8	
Case N	lumber 2
Case Name: University of Alaska	Case Number: 3 AN-05-14495 CI
v. Cherie Northon	<del></del>
	s Involved:
Name: Mark Ashburn	Name: Howard Trickey
Address: 1227 W. 9th Ave STE 200	Address: 3000 A St. STE 300
City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501 276-433	31 City, State, Zip: Anchorage, AK 99501 563-8844
Name:	None
Address:	Name:
City, State, Zip:	Address: City, State, Zip:
Caso	lumber 3
Case Name:	Case Number:
v.	Cuse Number.
Attorney	s Involved:
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:
Name:	Name:
Address:	Address:
City, State, Zip:	City State 7in:

# Third Judicial District Patrick J. McKay - Anchorage Superior Court

#### **Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores**

#### Summary of survey information

Judge McKay's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated him 4.1 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated him 3.3 overall, and social workers, Guardians ad Litem and CASA volunteers rated him 3.5 overall.

	Attorney Survey N=187	Peace Officer Survey N=15	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs N=2
Legal Ability	4.1		
Impartiality	4.2	3.6	3.5
Integrity	4.0	3.2	3.0
Temperament	4.1	3.7	3.5
Diligence	4.1	3.6	3.5
Overall	4.1	3.3	3.5

#### The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, public comments, and the ratings by the independent citizen volunteers at Alaska Judicial Observers. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at www.ajc.state.ak.us.

# **Superior Court Judge Patrick J. McKay**

#### A. Alaska Bar Association

# **Demographic Description**

		N	%
Type of Practice			
	No Response	3	.9%
	Private, Solo	75	22.1%
	Private, 2-5 Attorneys	58	17.1%
	Private, 6+ Attorneys	40	11.8%
	Private, Corporate Employee	6	1.8%
	Judge or Judicial Officer	51	15.0%
	Government	90	26.5%
	Public Service Agency or Organization	8	2.4%
	(Not Govt)		
	Other	8	2.4%
<b>Length of Alaska Practice</b>			
	No Response	7	2.1%
	5 Years or fewer	39	11.5%
	6 to 10 years	27	8.0%
	11 to 15 years	35	10.3%
	16 to 20 years	30	8.8%
	21 years or more	201	59.3%
Gender	•		
	No Response	7	2.1%
	Male	218	64.3%
	Female	114	33.6%
Cases Handled			
	No Response	3	.9%
	Prosecution	21	6.2%
	Mainly Criminal	30	8.8%
	Mixed Criminal & Civil	77	22.7%
	Mainly Civil	195	57.5%
	Other	13	3.8%
<b>Location of Practice</b>			
	No Response	2	.6%
	First District	15	4.4%
	Second District	3	.9%
	Third District	306	90.3%
	Fourth District	12	3.5%
	Outside of Alaska	1	.3%

Judge Patrick J. McKay: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Leg		Impart		T4		Jud	D:I!~		Overall Evaluation		
	<b>Abi</b> Mean	nty N	Fairr Mean	iess N	Integ Mean	grity N	Mean	rament N	Dilig Mean	ence N	Mean	
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>												
No Response		4		1		6		6		5		2
Direct Professional	4.1	185	4.2	188	4.0	183	4.1	183	4.1	184	4.1	187
Professional Reputation	3.8	28	4.0	27	3.3	29	3.9	26	3.8	25	3.3	32
Other Personal Contacts	4.0	10	4.2	10	3.5	10	4.1	9	4.3	7	3.8	10
Type of Practice												
No Response		0		0		0		1		0		0
Private, Solo	4.4	43	4.4	42	4.3	43	4.4	43	4.4	42	4.3	43
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	4.1	33	4.2	34	4.3	31	4.4	32	4.1	32	4.1	33
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.2	21	4.1	21	4.0	21	4.2	20	4.1	21	4.1	21
Private, Corporate Employee	4.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	5.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.2	29	4.3	31	3.8	31	3.9	30	4.1	30	4.1	30
Government	3.9	50	3.8	51	3.7	48	3.7	49	3.9	50	3.7	51
Public Service Agency or												
Organization (Not Govt)	3.7	3	4.0	3	4.0	3	4.0	3	4.3	3	4.0	3
Other	5.0	3	4.7	3	3.3	3	4.7	3	5.0	3	4.7	3
Years of Practice in Alaska												
No Response		2		0		3		4		2		1
5 Years or fewer	3.9	21	3.7	21	3.6	21	3.7	21	4.0	21	3.8	21
6 to 10 years	3.6	14	3.7	15	3.8	14	3.6	15	4.0	14	3.6	14
11 to 15 years	4.1	16	4.4	17	4.1	17	4.3	17	4.0	17	4.1	17
16 to 20 years	4.4	17	4.3	16	4.0	15	4.4	15	4.4	16	4.3	17
21 years or more	4.2	111	4.2	113	4.1	110	4.2	109	4.2	111	4.1	112
Gender												
No Response		2		1		5		3		2		1
Male	4.2	121	4.2	122	4.1	118	4.2	120	4.2	121	4.1	122
Female	4.0	60	4.0	61	3.8	60	4.0	59	4.0	59	3.9	61
Cases Handled												
No Response		0		1		5		5		3		0
Prosecution	3.6	16	3.4	16	3.6	16	3.3	16	3.8	16	3.4	16
Mainly Criminal	4.0	19	4.2	20	3.9	20	3.8	20	4.2	20	4.0	20
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.3	42	3.4	45	4.0	44	4.2	44	4.4	43	4.2	43
Mainly Civil	4.2	98	4.2	97	4.1	93	4.3	93	4.1	95	4.1	98
Other	4.1	8	3.8	8	3.4	8	4.0	8	3.9	8	4.0	8
<b>Location of Practice</b>												
No Response		1		1		5		5		3		0
First District	4.0	3	4.0	5	2.6	5	3.3	4	3.8	4	3.0	4
Second District		0		0		0		0		0		0
Third District	4.1	178	4.2	178	4.0	174	4.1	174	4.1	176	4.1	179
Fourth District	4.3	3	4.3	4	4.0	3	4.3	4	4.7	3	4.3	3
Outside of Alaska		0		0		0		0		0		0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

# **Superior Court Judge Patrick J. McKay**

### **B.** Peace and Probation Officers

# **Demographic Description**

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response		0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	7	31.8%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	8	36.4%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)		0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	6	27.3%
	Other	1	4.5%
Length of Alaska Experience	ee		
	No Response	1	4.5%
	5 Years or fewer	9	40.9%
	6 to 10 years	2	9.1%
	11 to 15 years	7	31.8%
	16 to 20 years	2	9.1%
	21 years or more	1	4.5%
Gender			
	No Response		0.0%
	Male	16	72.7%
	Female	6	27.3%
<b>Location of Work</b>			
	No Response		0.0%
	First District		0.0%
	Second District		0.0%
	Third District	22	100%
	Fourth District		0.0%
	Outside of Alaska		0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response		0.0%
	Under 2,000		0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	9.1%
	Over 35,000	20	90.9%

Judge Patrick J. McKay Peace and Probation Officers

	Imparti Fairn		Inton	:4	Judici	Dilia		Overall Evaluation		
	Mean	ess N	Integ Mean	rity N	Tempera Mean	ment N	Diligo Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
Direct Professional	3.6	15	3.2	15	3.7	15	3.6	14	3.3	15
Professional Reputation	3.2	5	2.5	6	3.0	4	3.0	5	2.7	6
Other Personal Contacts		0		0		0		0		0
Type of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
State Law Enforcement Officer	3.6	5	3.4	5	3.8	5	4.0	4	3.4	5
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.2	5	3.4	5	4.0	5	4.0	5	3.4	5
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)		0		0		0		0		0
Probation/Parole Officer	2.8	4	2.5	4	2.8	4	2.8	4	2.8	4
Other	4.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
<b>Length of Experience</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	3.3	6	3.2	6	3.3	6	3.4	5	3.2	6
6 to 10 years	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
11 to 15 years	3.3	4	2.3	4	3.3	4	3.0	4	2.5	4
16 to 20 years	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2
21 years or more	4.0	1	3.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	3.0	1
Gender										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	3.7	12	3.2	12	3.7	12	3.7	12	3.3	12
Female	3.3	3	3.3	3	3.7	3	3.5	2	3.3	3
<b>Location of Work</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
First District		0		0		0		0		0
Second District		0		0		0		0		0
Third District	3.6	15	3.2	15	3.7	15	3.6	14	3.3	15
Fourth District		0		0		0		0		0
Outside of Alaska		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
Under 2,000		0		0		0		0		0
Between 2,000 and 35,000		0		0		0		0		0
Over 35,000	3.6	15	3.2	15	3.7	15	3.6	14	3.3	15

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

# **Superior Court Judge Patrick J. McKay**

### C. Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers

# **Demographic Description**

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response		0.0%
	Social Worker		0.0%
	Guardian ad Litem	2	50.0%
	CASA Volunteer	2	50.0%
	Other		0.0%
Length of Alaska Experier	nce		
_	No Response		0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	1	25.0%
	6 to 10 years	1	25.0%
	11 to 15 years		0.0%
	16 to 20 years		0.0%
	21 years or more	2	50.0%
Gender	•		
	No Response		0.0%
	Male	1	25.0%
	Female	3	75.0%
Location of Work			
	No Response		0.0%
	First District		0.0%
	Second District		0.0%
	Third District	4	100%
	Fourth District		0.0%
	Outside of Alaska		0.0%
<b>Community Population</b>			
	No Response		0.0%
	Under 2,000		0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000		0.0%
	Over 35,000	4	100%

Judge Patrick J. McKay Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers

	Impartia			Judici	al			Overall		
	Fairness		Integrity		Tempera		Diligence		Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
<b>Basis for Evaluation</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Direct Professional</b>	3.5	2	3.0	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2
Professional Reputation	4.0	2	3.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	4.0	2
Other Personal Contacts		0		0		0		0		0
Type of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker		0		0		0		0		0
Guardian ad Litem	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
CASA Volunteer	3.0	1	2.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Length of Experience										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer		0		0		0		0		0
6 to 10 years	3.0	1	2.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
11 to 15 years		0		0		0		0		0
16 to 20 years		0		0		0		0		0
21 years or more	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Gender										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male		0		0		0		0		0
Female	3.5	2	3.0	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2
Location of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District		0		0		0		0		0
Second District		0		0		0		0		0
Third District	3.5	2	3.0	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2
Fourth District		0		0		0		0		0
Outside of Alaska		0		0		0		0		0
<b>Community Population</b>										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000		0		0		0		0		0
Between 2,000 and 35,000		0		0		0		0		0
Over 35,000	3.5	2	3.0	2	3.5	2	3.5	2	3.5	2

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

# Juror Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation Patrick J. McKay

		Distribution of Ratings											
Survey Category	Mean	Excellent % (n)		Good % (n)		Acceptable % (n)		Deficient % (n)		Poor % (n)		Total Responses	
Impartiality/Fairness	4.9	93%	282	6%	19	1%	2	0%	0	0%	0	303	
Respectful/Courteous	5.0	97%	295	3%	9	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	304	
Attentive during Proceedings	5.0	96%	291	4%	12	.3%	1	0%	0	0%	0	304	
Control over Proceedings	4.9	92%	280	8%	23	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	303	
Intelligence/Skill as a Judge	4.9	93%	284	6%	19	.3%	1	0%	0	0%	0	304	
Overall Evaluation	5.0	95%	290	5%	14	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	304	

# Court Employee Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation Patrick J. McKay

Question	Exce %	llent (n)	Good % (n)		Acceptable % (n)		Deficient % (n)		Poor % (n)		Mean	Total Responses
Impartiality/Fairness	62%	44	27%	19	9%	6	3%	2	0%	0	4.5	71
Integrity	59%	44	21%	16	12%	9	8%	6	0%	0	4.3	75
Judicial Temperament	66%	49	24%	18	5%	4	4%	3	0%	0	4.5	74
Diligence	61%	43	31%	22	6%	4	1%	1	0%	0	4.5	70
Overall Evaluation	65%	47	26%	19	7%	5	1%	1	0%	0	4.6	72