Alaska Judicial Council 2010 Judicial Retention Performance Evaluation Materials Judge Kari Kristiansen Palmer Superior Court

The Judicial Council finds Judge Kristiansen to be **Qualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote **"YES"** to retain her as a superior court judge.

Retention evaluation materials for this judge

1.	Voter pan This pag	nphlet page	2
2.	• .	estionnaire	3
3.		cores in 2010 v survey scores for all judges on the ballot go to main 2010 retention page.)	
	a.	Attorney; Peace Officer; Social Worker/Guardians Ad Litem/CASA scores	10
	b.	Juror survey scores	17
	c.	Court employee survey scores	18
4.		cores in previous retention evaluations dge is standing for retention for the first time)	

Retention evaluation materials for all judges on the ballot

(To view these materials go to main 2010 retention page.)

1. Peremptory challenges

Analysis of peremptory challenge rates for judges.

2. Recusals

Evaluation of judge's record of self-disqualification from cases, or "recusals."

3. Appellate Affirmance Rates

Analysis of appellate decisions involving each trial judge's cases.

4. Salary Warrant Withholdings

Evaluation of judge's record of pay withholding for undecided or uncompleted decisions.

Alaska Judicial Council Recommendation Judge Kari Kristiansen, Superior Court, Palmer

Judicial Council Recommendation 2010

The Alaska Judicial Council is a non-partisan citizens' commission established by the Alaska constitution. Alaskan law requires the Council to evaluate judges' performance and authorizes the Council to recommend to voters whether judges should be retained in office. The Judicial Council reviews judges' integrity, diligence, legal ability, fairness, demeanor, ability to manage their caseloads, and overall performance of their judicial responsibilities in and out of the courtroom. The Judicial Council finds Judge Kristiansen to be *Qualified* and recommends unanimously that the public vote "YES" to retain her as a superior court judge.

Judicial Council Evaluation

The Judicial Council surveyed thousands of Alaskans including peace and probation officers, court employees, attorneys, jurors, social workers/guardians ad litem, and child advocates about the judges on the ballot. Respondents were asked to rate judicial performance and to submit comments. The Council also reviewed the ratings and observations of the Alaska Judicial Observers, independent community-based volunteers. The Council reviewed the judge's peremptory challenge, recusals, and appellate affirmance and reversal rates; any civil or criminal litigation involving the judge; APOC and court system conflict-of-interest statements; any disciplinary files involving the judge; and whether a judge's pay was withheld for an untimely decision. The Council reviewed other court records and investigated judicial conduct in specific cases. The Council interviewed some judges, attorneys, and court staff, and held a statewide public hearing to obtain comments about judges.

	Attorney Survey	Peace Officer Survey	Juror Survey	Court Employee Survey	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs
Legal Ability	3.6				
Impartiality	3.7	2.6	4.8	4.4	3.8
Integrity	4.0	2.9		4.5	4.6
Temperament	3.7	2.7	4.9	4.4	4.0
Diligence	4.0	3.1		4.4	4.6
Overall	3.8	2.5	4.8	4.4	4.0

Ratings are based on a one to five scale. Five is the best rating and three is "acceptable."

Rating Scale

5.0 = Excellent

4.0 = Good

3.0 = Acceptable

2.0 = Deficient 1.0 = Poor

Summary of Survey Information

Survey respondents rated Judge Kristiansen on the categories summarized in the table above, using 5 as the highest rating possible. The attorney rating for Judge Kristiansen on overall performance was 3.8. Peace and probation officers gave Judge Kristiansen a rating of 2.5. Jurors rated her 4.8 overall, court employees gave her 4.4, and social workers, guardians ad litem and CASA volunteers rated her at 4.0.

Recommendation: Vote "YES" to retain Judge Kari Kristiansen

RECEIVED

NOV 3 0 2009

ALASKA JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Alaska Judicial Council Questionnaire

Trial Judge 2010 Candidates for Judicial Retention

October 22, 2009

	Kari Kristiansen		Palmer Superior Court
	Name		Court
1. Pleas	se estimate your workload during your	present	term.
a)	48 % civil cases 48 % criminal cases 4 % court administrative work 100 % Total	b)	# of jury trials/year # of non-jury trials/year # of administrative appeals/year
	se describe your participation on court/b	bar com	mittees or other administrative activities
access to the cannual 2-day to duties for Palm	court committees - the No Dark Courtroor court and to court decisions; and the New training curriculum for newer judges. I also ner court, facilitate school tours, and have urt for persons charged with Felony Drivin	Judges o serve a been lea	Training Committee which develops an as a mentor judge, maintain calendaring ading a working group to develop a

Alaska Judicial Council Trial Judge Questionnaire Retention

3. Please assess, in one or two paragraphs, your judicial performance during your present term. Appropriate areas of comment could include: satisfaction with your judicial role, specific contributions to the judiciary or the field of law, increases in legal knowledge and judicial skills, or other measures of judicial abilities that you believe to be important.

My goals are to improve my judicial performance, increase efficiency of judicial management, and promote public trust and confidence in the court. I strive to write orders that are clear and that adequately explain the decision, and that are based on the law and the facts. I am mindful of William Gladstone's famous quote "justice delayed is justice denied" and therefore make every attempt to schedule hearings and issue rulings in a timely fashion. For litigants without lawyers, I explain the court process and make available, when appropriate, copies of court system pamphlets to assist the litigants navigate the system.

I am working towards developing a pilot court project aimed at reducing recidivism in repeat DUI offenders, the Palmer Enhanced Probation Project. Traditional sentencing sanctions, including those for revocation of probation, have not been entirely effective for people caught driving while intoxicated. This is particularly true in cases involving repeat offenders. The project makes available additional resources for Felony DUI probations who fail on probation by employing best practices monitoring, fast track alcohol treatment, and immediate consequences for failure to comply. The hope is that this approach will reduce recidivism by detecting alcohol use quickly and imposing brief amounts of jail to encourage treatment compliance.

4.	Durin	g your most recent term as a judge, have you:
	a)	had a tax lien filed or other collection procedure instituted against you by federal, state, or local authorities?
	b)	been involved in a non-judicial capacity in any legal proceeding whether as a party or otherwise? ☐ Yes No
	c)	engaged in the practice of law (other than as a judge)? □ Yes ♥No
	d)	held office in any political party? ☐ Yes No
	e)	held any other local state or federal office? □ YesN
	f)	had any complaints, charges or grievances filed against you with the Alaska Commission on Judicial Conduct, the Alaska Bar Association, or with the Alaska Court System that resulted in public proceedings or sanctions?
5.		
5.	Please	provide any other information which you believe would assist the Council in
[,		been involved in a non-judicial capacity in any legal proceeding whether as a party or otherwise? Yes No engaged in the practice of law (other than as a judge)? Yes No held office in any political party? Yes No held any other local state or federal office? Yes No had any complaints, charges or grievances filed against you with the Alaska Commission on Judicial Conduct, the Alaska Bar Association, or with the Alaska
coord the co Color	linate schourtroom ourtroom of Justic	nool tours and encourage students and classes from local schools and organizations to observe , participate in mock trials, and meet with trial lawyers. I have previously participated in the ce program which provides legal career workshops to students of diversity and I encourage law

7. Please list your three most recent jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: State of Alaska Case Number: 3PA-09-204CR v. Kenneth Golsbury Attorneys Involved: Name: Sam Westergren (745-5660) Name: Kerry Corliss (745-5027) Address: 269 E. Fireweed Address: 11921 Palmer/Wasilla Hwy City, State, Zip: Palmer, AK 99645 City, State, Zip: Palmer, AK 99645 Name: Address: City, State, Zip: City, State, Zip: Case Number 2 Case Name: State of Alaska Case Number: 3PA-09-797CR v. Adam Cardwell Attorneys Involved: Name: Paul Roetman (745-5027) Name: Gregory Parvin (376-2800) Address: 11921 Palmer/Wasilla Hwy Address: 1075 S. Check Street, Ste.212 City, State, Zip: Wasilla, AK 99654 City, State, Zip: Palmer, AK 99645 Address: City, State, Zip: City, State, Zip: **Case Number 3** Case Name: State of Alaska Case Number: 3PA-06-2426/27 CR v. Michael Hamilton and John Shook Attorneys Involved: Name: Kerry Corliss (745-5027) Name: Paul Maslakowski (745-0436) Address: 11921 Palmer/Wasilla Hwy Address: 1517 Industrial Way, Ste.1 City, State, Zip: Palmer, AK 99645 City, State, Zip: Palmer, AK 99645 Name: Sam Westergren (745-5660) Address: 268 E. Fireweed City, State, Zip: Palmer, AK 99645 City, State, Zip:

8. Please list your three most recent non-jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in your three most recent non-jury trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Name:	TMO E.D.	_Case Number:	3PA-08-7CN
ν,	**************************************	-	
=	Attorneys	Involved:	
Name:	Shanna Johnston (745-8451)	Name:	Laurel Bennett (745-5660)
Address:	11921 Palmer/Wasilla Hwy Ste.100	- Address:	268 E. Fireweed
City, State, Zip:	Palmer, AK 99645	City, State, Zip:	Palmer, AK 99645
Name:	Krista Berghoff (746-0583)	Name:	
Address:	808 S. Bailey Street Ste.103		
City, State, Zip:	Palmer, AK 99645		
	Case N	umber 2	
Case Name:	Maxim Zidrashko	_Case Number:	3PA-09-1307CI
v. <u> </u>	Liliya Kurudimov	•	
	Attorneys	Involved:	
Name:	Marvin Clark (373-0121)	Name:	Carmen Spiropoulos (746-2346)
Address:	P.O. Box 874088	Address:	248 S. Alaska Street
City, State, Zip:	Wasilla, AK 99687	City, State, Zip:	Palmer, AK 99645
Name:		N.	
Address:			
City, State, Zip:			
City, State, Esp.		- City, State, Zip:	
	Case N	umber 3	
Case Name: <u>N</u>	/lichael Boyce	Case Number:	3PA-08-1864CI
v. <u>1</u>	Nadine Boyce		
	Attorneys		
	Kenneth Goldman (746-2346)	-	Karen Jennings (373-3722)
Address:	248 S. Alaska Street	•	1075 Check Street
City, State, Zip:	Palmer, AK 99645	City, State, Zip:	Wasilla, AK 99654
Name:		Name:	
Address:			
City, State, Zip:			

9. Please list your three most recent cases, including case names and numbers, which did not go to trial, but on which you did significant work (such as settlement conference, hearings, motion work, etc.). Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case			
	Robert Lupo	Case Number:	3PA-07-2068CI
v. <u>F</u>	Palmer Lions Club		
	Attorneys		
Name:	Gregory Grebe (277-0077)	Name:	Sanford Gibbs (276-2050)
Address:	1400 W. Benson Blvd. Ste.120	Address:	821 N Street Ste.202
City, State, Zip:	Anchorage, AK 99503	City, State, Zip:	Anchorage, AK 99501
Name:		Name:	
Address:			
City, State, Zip:			MARKET STATE OF THE STATE OF TH
	Case Nu	ımber 2	
Case Name: V	Nolverine Supply, Inc.	Case Number	3PA-07-1790CI
_	Fireman's Fund Ins. Co.	Cuse Number.	
· · ·	Attorneys	Involved:	
Name:	L. Andrew Robinson (745-6332)		Ryan Stewart (279-4506)
Address	348 E. Elmwood Avenue	Address:	1029 W. 3rd Avenue Ste.300
City, State, Zip:	Palmer, AK 99645	City, State, Zip:	Anchorage, AK 99501
Name:	Brett von Gemmingen (278-5935)		Ronald Clark/Nicholas Dazer
	637 W. 3rd Avenue		888 WE 5th Avenue
Lity, State, Zip:	Anchorage, AK 99501	City, State, Zip:	Portland, OR 97204 (503-228-6251)
	Case Nu	ımber 3	
Case Name: S	State of Alaska	Case Number:	3PA-04-1557CR
v. (Carl Gage	•	
_	Attorneys	Involved:	
Name:	Kerry Corliss (745-5027)		Bruce Brown (745-5660)
Address:	11921 Palmer/Wasilla Hwy.	Address:	268 E. Fireweed
City, State, Zip:	Palmer, AK 99645	•	Palmer, AK 99645
Name:		N	
Address:			
City, State, Zip:			
•		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Alaska Judicial Council Trial Judge Questionnaire Retention

10. Optional: If you deem it helpful to the Council, please list up to three other cases during your past term in which you believe your work was particularly noteworthy. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

		Case Number 1	
Case Name:	State of Alaska	Case Number:	3PA-06-1957CR
ν	John Jemewouk		
		Attorneys Involved:	
Name	Suzanne Powell	Name:	Philip Weidner (276-1200)
Address	(deceased)	Address:	330 L Street Ste.200
City, State, Zip		City, State, Zip:	Anchorage, AK 99501
Name	:	Name	
Address			
City, State, Zip:	•		4.000
Casa Namas	Gary McWhorter	Case Number 2	3PA-08-1242CI
-	Francis Neitzey	Case Number.	
-		Attorneys Involved:	
Name:	David Edgren (272-3325)	· ·	Gregory Parvin (376-2800)
Address:	750 E. Fireweed Ln. #201		1075 S. Check Street Ste.212
City, State, Zip:	Anchorage, AK 99503	City, State, Zip:	Wasilla, AK 99654
Name:		Name:	•
Address:		Address:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
City, State, Zip:		City, State, Zip:	
		Case Number 3	
Case Name: 🛚	State of Alaska	Case Number:	3PA-08-309CR
v	Jammiean Kelly		
		Attorneys Involved:	
Name:	Paul Roetman (745-5027)	Name:	John Pharr (272-2525)
Address:	11921 Palmer/Wasilla Hwy.	Address:	733 W. 4th Avenue Ste.308
City, State, Zip:	Palmer, AK 99645	City, State, Zip:	Anchorage, AK 99501
Name:		V.	
Address:			
City, State, Zip:			
, , =, e(p.		City, State, Zip:	

Third Judicial District Kari Kristiansen - Palmer Superior Court

Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores

Summary of survey information

Judge Kristiansen's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated her 3.8 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated her 2.5 overall, and social workers, Guardians ad Litem and CASA volunteers rated her 4.0 overall.

	Attorney Survey N=84	Peace Officer Survey N=19	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs N=5
Legal Ability	3.6		
Impartiality	3.7	2.6	3.8
Integrity	4.0	2.9	4.6
Temperament	3.7	2.7	4.0
Diligence	4.0	3.1	4.6
Overall	3.8	2.5	4.0

The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, public comments, and the ratings by the independent citizen volunteers at Alaska Judicial Observers. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at www.ajc.state.ak.us.

Superior Court Judge Kari Kristiansen

A. Alaska Bar Association

Demographic Description

		N	%
Type of Practice			
	No Response	2	2.0%
	Private, Solo	23	23.0%
	Private, 2-5 Attorneys	17	17.0%
	Private, 6+ Attorneys	4	4.0%
	Private, Corporate Employee	3	3.0%
	Judge or Judicial Officer	17	17.0%
	Government	31	31.0%
	Public Service Agency or Organization	3	3.0%
	(Not Govt)		
	Other		0.0%
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No Response	4	4.0%
	5 Years or fewer	13	13.0%
	6 to 10 years	15	15.0%
	11 to 15 years	16	16.0%
	16 to 20 years	15	15.0%
	21 years or more	37	37.0%
Gender			
	No Response	2	2.0%
	Male	58	58.0%
	Female	40	40.0%
Cases Handled			
	No Response	2	2.0%
	Prosecution	7	7.0%
	Mainly Criminal	10	10.0%
	Mixed Criminal & Civil	30	30.0%
	Mainly Civil	48	48.0%
	Other	3	3.0%
Location of Practice			
	No Response	2	2.0%
	First District		0.0%
	Second District	1	1.0%
	Third District	94	94.0%
	Fourth District	3	3.0%
	Outside of Alaska		0.0%

Judge Kari Kristiansen: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal		Impartiality/			Judicial				Overall		
	Abili	-	Fairn		Integ	•	Temperament		Diligence		Evaluation	
Daving Company Comp	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation		0		2		2		2		~		1
No Response	2.6	0	2 =	2	4.0	2	2 =	2	4.0	5	2.0	1
Direct Professional	3.6	84	3.7	82	4.0	82	3.7	82	4.0	79	3.8	83
Professional Reputation	3.3	10	3.3	10	3.7	10	3.5	10	3.8	10	3.4	10
Other Personal Contacts	3.7	3	4.7	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	4.5	4
Type of Practice												
No Response		0		0		1		1		1		0
Private, Solo	4.0	22	3.9	22	4.3	22	4.1	22	4.2	22	4.0	21
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	3.1	15	3.4	14	3.7	14	3.2	15	3.3	13	3.3	15
Private, 6+ Attorneys	3.0	4	2.8	4	3.3	4	2.8	4	2.8	4	3.0	4
Private, Corporate Employee	2.0	2	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2	3.5	2	2.5	2
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.4	16	4.5	16	4.7	15	4.4	15	4.8	15	4.6	16
Government	3.3	21	3.5	20	3.7	21	3.4	20	3.8	19	3.5	21
Public Service Agency or Organization (Not Govt)	4.3	3	3.7	3	4.0	3	3.7	3	4.3	3	4.3	3
Other		0		0		0		0		0		0
Years of Practice in Alaska												
No Response		0		0		0		1		0		0
5 Years or fewer	3.3	12	3.6	11	3.9	11	3.3	12	3.7	11	3.2	12
6 to 10 years	2.9	12	3.3	12	3.3	12	3.2	12	3.6	12	3.3	11
11 to 15 years	4.1	15	3.9	15	4.4	14	3.9	15	4.4	12	4.1	15
16 to 20 years	3.7	13	3.9	12	4.1	13	3.8	12	4.1	12	3.9	13
21 years or more	3.9	29	3.8	29	4.2	29	3.9	28	4.1	29	4.0	29
Gender												
No Response		0		2		2		1		4		0
Male	3.4	51	3.6	49	3.9	49	3.6	50	3.8	47	3.6	51
Female	3.9	32	3.9	32	4.1	32	3.8	31	4.2	31	4.1	31
Cases Handled		-										
No Response		0		2		1		1		2		1
Prosecution	3.0	5	3.2	5	3.2	5	3.0	5	3.0	4	3.2	5
Mainly Criminal	3.2	9	3.4	9	3.7	9	3.2	9	3.9	8	3.4	9
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.0	25	3.8	25	4.3	24	3.8	24	4.3	24	4.0	25
Mainly Civil	3.6	41	3.7	39	4.0	40	3.7	40	3.9	39	3.7	40
Other	4.0	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.3	3	5.0	3	4.7	3
Location of Practice	7.0	3	4.7	3	7.7	3	4.5	3	5.0	5	т. /	3
No Response		0		2		2		2		5		1
First District		0		0		0		0		0		0
Second District	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	2.0	1	3.0	1
Third District	3.7	79	3.8	77	4.0	77	3.7	77	4.1	74	3.8	78
Fourth District	2.7	3	2.7	3	2.7	3	2.7	3	2.7	3	2.7	3
Outside of Alaska	2.7	0	Z.1 	0	۷.1	0	2.7	0	۷.1	0	2.1	0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Superior Court Judge Kari Kristiansen

B. Peace and Probation Officers

Demographic Description

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response		0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	7	33.3%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	3	14.3%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)		0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	11	52.4%
	Other		0.0%
Length of Alaska Experience	e		
	No Response		0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	5	23.8%
	6 to 10 years	10	47.6%
	11 to 15 years	2	9.5%
	16 to 20 years	2	9.5%
	21 years or more	2	9.5%
Gender			
	No Response		0.0%
	Male	12	57.1%
	Female	9	42.9%
Location of Work			
	No Response		0.0%
	First District		0.0%
	Second District		0.0%
	Third District	21	100%
	Fourth District		0.0%
	Outside of Alaska		0.0%
Community Population			
	No Response		0.0%
	Under 2,000		0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	6	28.6%
	Over 35,000	15	71.4%

Judge Kari Kristiansen Peace and Probation Officers

	Imparti Fairn		Integ	Integrity		ial ment	Diligence		Over Evalua	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation										
No Response		0		2		0		1		0
Direct Professional	2.6	19	2.9	17	2.7	19	3.1	18	2.5	19
Professional Reputation	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2
Other Personal Contacts		0		0		0		0		0
Type of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
State Law Enforcement Officer	2.5	6	3.2	6	2.3	6	3.0	5	2.3	6
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	3.5	2	5.0	1	4.0	2	3.5	2	3.5	2
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)		0		0		0		0		0
Probation/Parole Officer	2.5	11	2.6	10	2.6	11	3.0	11	2.5	11
Other		0		0		0		0		0
Length of Experience										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
5 Years or fewer	1.6	5	2.3	4	2.0	5	2.4	5	1.8	5
6 to 10 years	2.7	9	3.0	8	2.8	9	3.1	9	2.6	9
11 to 15 years	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
16 to 20 years	2.5	2	2.0	2	3.0	2	3.0	1	2.0	2
21 years or more	3.5	2	4.0	2	3.0	2	3.5	2	3.5	2
Gender										
No Response		0		1		0		1		0
Male	2.5	11	3.0	10	2.55	11	2.9	10	2.4	11
Female	2.8	8	2.9	7	2.9	8	3.3	8	2.8	8
Location of Work										
No Response		0		2		0		1		0
First District		0		0		0		0		0
Second District		0		0		0		0		0
Third District	2.6	19	2.9	17	2.7	19	3.1	18	2.5	19
Fourth District		0		0		0		0		0
Outside of Alaska		0		0		0		0		0
Community Population										
No Response		0		1		0		0		0
Under 2,000		0		0		0		0		0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2.8	6	3.4	5	3.3	6	3.0	6	2.8	6
Over 35,000	2.5	13	2.8	12	2.4	13	3.1	12	2.4	13

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Superior Court Judge Kari Kristiansen

C. Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers

Demographic Description

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response		0.0%
	Social Worker	2	33.3%
	Guardian ad Litem	1	16.7%
	CASA Volunteer	3	50.0%
	Other		0.0%
Length of Alaska Experien	nce		
_	No Response		0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	2	33.3%
	6 to 10 years	3	50.0%
	11 to 15 years		0.0%
	16 to 20 years		0.0%
	21 years or more	1	16.7%
Gender	·		
	No Response	1	16.7%
	Male		0.0%
	Female	5	83.3%
Location of Work			
	No Response		0.0%
	First District		0.0%
	Second District		0.0%
	Third District	6	100%
	Fourth District		0.0%
	Outside of Alaska		0.0%
Community Population			
V	No Response		0.0%
	Under 2,000		0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	3	50.0%
	Over 35,000	3	50.0%

Judge Kari Kristiansen Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers

	Impartia			Judici	al			Overall		
	Fairness		Integr	ity	Tempera	ment	Diligence		Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Direct Professional	3.8	5	4.6	5	4.0	5	4.6	5	4.0	5
Professional Reputation	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Other Personal Contacts		0		0		0		0		0
Type of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	3.0	2	4.5	2	3.5	2	5.0	2	3.5	2
Guardian ad Litem	4.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
CASA Volunteer	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
Length of Experience										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
6 to 10 years	3.0	2	4.5	2	3.5	2	5.0	2	3.5	2
11 to 15 years		0		0		0		0		0
16 to 20 years		0		0		0		0		0
21 years or more	4.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Gender										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male		0		0		0		0		0
Female	4.0	4	4.8	4	4.3	4	4.5	4	4.3	4
Location of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District		0		0		0		0		0
Second District		0		0		0		0		0
Third District	3.8	5	4.6	5	4.0	5	4.6	5	4.0	5
Fourth District		0		0		0		0		0
Outside of Alaska		0		0		0		0		0
Community Population										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000		0		0		0		0		0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.0	3	4.3	3	4.0	3	4.7	3	4.0	3
Over 35,000	3.5	2	5.0	2	4.0	2	4.5	2	4.0	2

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Juror Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation Kari Kristiansen

		Distribution of Ratings										
Survey Category	Mean	Excellent % (n)		Good % (n)		Acceptable % (n)		Deficient % (n)		Poor % (n)		Total Responses
Impartiality/Fairness	4.8	85%	99	12%	14	3%	3	0%	0	0%	0	116
Respectful/Courteous	4.9	90%	104	9%	10	2%	2	0%	0	0%	0	116
Attentive during Proceedings	4.8	84%	97	13%	15	3%	4	0%	0	0%	0	116
Control over Proceedings	4.9	86%	100	13%	15	1%	1	0%	0	0%	0	116
Intelligence/Skill as a Judge	4.8	84%	97	15%	17	2%	2	0%	0	0%	0	116
Overall Evaluation	4.8	86%	100	12%	14	2%	2	0%	0	0%	0	116

Court Employee Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation Kari Kristiansen

Tan Marie II.												
Question	Exce	llent (n)	Go %	od (n)	Acce	ptable (n)	Defic	cient (n)	P:	oor (n)	Mean	Total Responses
Impartiality/Fairness	50%	13	35%	9	15%	4	0%	0	0%	0	4.4	26
Integrity	59%	16	30%	8	11%	3	0%	0	0%	0	4.5	27
Judicial Temperament	54%	15	32%	9	14%	4	0%	0	0%	0	4.4	28
Diligence	56%	14	28%	7	16%	4	0%	0	0%	0	4.4	25
Overall Evaluation	54%	15	32%	9	14%	4	0%	0	0%	0	4.4	28