

**Alaska Judicial Council
2010 Judicial Retention Performance Evaluation Materials
Judge Sharon L. Gleason
Anchorage Superior Court**

The Judicial Council finds Judge Gleason to be **Qualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote **"YES"** to retain her as a superior court judge.

Retention evaluation materials for this judge

1. Voter pamphlet page	2
This page will appear in the State of Alaska Election Pamphlet sent to each Alaskan household.	
2. Judge questionnaire	3
The judge's response to a Judicial Council questionnaire.	
3. Survey scores in 2010	
<i>(To view survey scores for all judges on the ballot go to main 2010 retention page.)</i>	
a. Attorney; Peace Officer; Social Worker/Guardians Ad Litem/CASA scores	11
b. Juror survey scores	18
c. Court employee survey scores	19
4. Survey scores in previous retention evaluations	20

Retention evaluation materials for all judges on the ballot

(To view these materials go to main 2010 retention page.)

- 1. Peremptory challenges**
Analysis of peremptory challenge rates for judges.
- 2. Recusals**
Evaluation of judge's record of self-disqualification from cases, or "recusals."
- 3. Appellate Affirmance Rates**
Analysis of appellate decisions involving each trial judge's cases.
- 4. Salary Warrant Withholdings**
Evaluation of judge's record of pay withholding for undecided or uncompleted decisions.

Alaska Judicial Council Recommendation

Judge Sharon L. Gleason, Superior Court, Anchorage

Judicial Council Recommendation 2010

The Alaska Judicial Council is a non-partisan citizens' commission established by the Alaska constitution. Alaskan law requires the Council to evaluate judges' performance and authorizes the Council to recommend to voters whether judges should be retained in office. The Judicial Council reviews judges' integrity, diligence, legal ability, fairness, demeanor, ability to manage their caseloads, and overall performance of their judicial responsibilities in and out of the courtroom. The Judicial Council finds Judge Gleason to be **Qualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote "YES" to retain her as a superior court judge.

Judicial Council Evaluation

The Judicial Council surveyed thousands of Alaskans including peace and probation officers, court employees, attorneys, jurors, social workers/guardians ad litem, and child advocates about the judges on the ballot. Respondents were asked to rate judicial performance and to submit comments. The Council also reviewed the ratings and observations of the Alaska Judicial Observers, independent community-based volunteers. The Council reviewed the judge's preemptory challenge, recusals, and appellate affirmance and reversal rates; any civil or criminal litigation involving the judge; APOC and court system conflict-of-interest statements; any disciplinary files involving the judge; and whether a judge's pay was withheld for an untimely decision. The Council reviewed other court records and investigated judicial conduct in specific cases. The Council interviewed some judges, attorneys, and court staff, and held a statewide public hearing to obtain comments about judges.

	Attorney Survey	Peace Officer Survey	Juror Survey	Court Employee Survey	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs
Legal Ability	4.4	---	---	---	---
Impartiality	4.4	4.4	4.8	4.5	4.7
Integrity	4.6	4.8	---	4.6	4.8
Temperament	4.5	4.4	5.0	4.5	4.7
Diligence	4.5	4.3	---	4.6	4.8
Overall	4.5	4.4	4.9	4.5	4.9

Ratings are based on a one to five scale. Five is the best rating and three is "acceptable."

Rating Scale

5.0 = Excellent
 4.0 = Good
 3.0 = Acceptable
 2.0 = Deficient
 1.0 = Poor

Summary of Survey Information

Survey respondents rated Judge Gleason on the categories summarized in the table above, using 5 as the highest rating possible. The attorney rating for Judge Gleason on overall performance was 4.5. Peace and probation officers gave Judge Gleason a rating of 4.4. Jurors rated her 4.9 overall, court employees gave her 4.5, and social workers, guardians ad litem and CASA volunteers rated her at 4.9. The Alaska Judicial Observers rated her 3.91.

Recommendation: Vote "YES" to retain Judge Sharon L. Gleason

**Alaska Judicial Council
Questionnaire**

**Trial Judge
2010 Candidates for Judicial Retention**

October 22, 2009

Sharon L. Gleason

Name

Anchorage Superior Court

Court

1. Please estimate your workload during your present term.

a)	<u>65</u> % civil cases	b)	<u>3</u> # of jury trials/year
	<u>5</u> % criminal cases		<u>24</u> # of non-jury trials/year
	<u>30</u> % court administrative work		<u>6</u> # of administrative appeals/year
	100 % Total		

I became presiding judge for the Third Judicial District in April, 2009. Prior to that time, I spent less than 5% of my time on court administrative work.

2. Please describe your participation on court/bar committees or other administrative activities during your current term of office.

- Presiding Judge, Third Judicial District April '09 - present
- Newer Judge Training Committee (chair until 4/09)
- Family Rules Committee, Chair
- Family Law Self Help Center Advisory Committee (chair until 4/09)
- Regular speaker at court system conferences and Bar Association CLE's

*Alaska Judicial Council
Trial Judge Questionnaire
Retention*

3. Please assess, in one or two paragraphs, your judicial performance during your present term. Appropriate areas of comment could include: satisfaction with your judicial role, specific contributions to the judiciary or the field of law, increases in legal knowledge and judicial skills, or other measures of judicial abilities that you believe to be important.

The position of superior court judge is a role that I continue to find both immensely challenging and rewarding. The work load is particularly demanding in Anchorage, involving many long days and weekends. But I continue to love going to work just about every day, and am honored at the privilege of serving as a superior court judge. I strive to be the best judicial officer that I can be in every case that comes before me - cases which range from a weekend visitation dispute in a custody case to multi-million dollar oil and gas litigation.

I became Presiding Judge for the 3rd Judicial District in April 2009. This district comprises 70% of the case load in the state. The administrative tasks of this position represent a new challenge to me - and I am on a steep learning curve. But the position gives me the opportunity to travel to other court locations and learn about many other communities in this part of our state, which I find very rewarding.

Alaska Judicial Council
Trial Judge Questionnaire
Retention

4. During your most recent term as a judge, have you:
- a) had a tax lien filed or other collection procedure instituted against you by federal, state, or local authorities? Yes No
 - b) been involved in a non-judicial capacity in any legal proceeding whether as a party or otherwise? Yes No
 - c) engaged in the practice of law (other than as a judge)? Yes No
 - d) held office in any political party? Yes No
 - e) held any other local state or federal office? Yes No
 - f) had any complaints, charges or grievances filed against you with the Alaska Commission on Judicial Conduct, the Alaska Bar Association, or with the Alaska Court System that resulted in public proceedings or sanctions? Yes No

5. If your answer to any of the questions above is "yes," please give full details, including dates, facts, case numbers and outcomes.

Gleason, Sharon v Cotton, William, 3AN-06-13470 CI
Divorce - uncontested - granted January '07

6. Please provide any other information which you believe would assist the Council in conducting its evaluations and in preparing its recommendations for the 2010 retention elections.

Alaska Judicial Council
 Trial Judge Questionnaire
 Retention

7. Please list your three most recent jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: James Parson *Case Number:* 3AN-04-8383 CI
v. SOA, Department of Revenue

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>James Parson, pro se</u>	Name: <u>Jessica Srader, AAG</u>
Address: <u>1131 B St #1</u>	Address: <u>PO Box 110300</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Juneau, Alaska 99811</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 2

Case Name: Linda Walstrand, et al *Case Number:* 3AN-07-8769 CI
v. William Geragotelis, et al

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>George Kapolchok</u>	Name: <u>Frank Koziol</u>
Address: <u>360 K Street, Suite 100</u>	Address: <u>618 Christensen Drive</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>
Name: <u>Howard Meyer</u>	Name: _____
Address: <u>1029 West Third Avenue, Suite 250</u>	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 3

Case Name: Patrick Metcalf *Case Number:* 3AN-06-11787 CI
v. Thomas Richerson

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>John Rice</u>	Name: <u>Wayne Watson</u>
Address: <u>19101 Glacier Highway</u>	Address: <u>310 K Street, Suite 306</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Juneau, Alaska 99801</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Additional Counsel for Case Number 1, BP, et al v SOA, et al
Page 5

Ralph Palumbo
Summit Law Group PLLC
315 Fifth Avenue South, Suite 1000
Seattle, Washington 98104

Michael R. Garatoni
Garatoni, Breen & Malone, Inc.
1777 N.E. Loop 410, Suite 850
San Antonio, Texas 78217

James Seedorf
3900 C Street, Suite 1001
Anchorage, Alaska 99503

Robert Johnson
900 W. 5th Avenue, Suite 600
Anchorage, Alaska 99501

Alaska Judicial Council
 Trial Judge Questionnaire
 Retention

8. Please list your three most recent non-jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in your three most recent non-jury trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: BP, et al **Case Number:** 3AN-06-8446 CI
 v. SOA, et al

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Robin Brena</u>	Name: <u>Kenneth Deimer, AAG</u>
Address: <u>810 N Street, Suite 100</u>	Address: <u>1031 West Fourth Avenue, Suite 200</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>
Name: <u>Steven Mahoney</u>	Name: <u>Mauri Long</u>
Address: <u>845 K Street</u>	Address: <u>1049 West Fifth Avenue, Suite 100</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>

Case Number 2

Case Name: In RE: A. B. **Case Number:** 3AN-09-469 PR
 v. _____

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Lisa Nelson</u>	Name: <u>Gayle Brown</u>
Address: <u>745 West Fourth Avenue, Suite 200</u>	Address: <u>750 West Second Avenue, Suite 207</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 3

Case Name: ITMO: M.A. children **Case Number:** 3AN-06-322/3/4/5, 07-149 CN
 v. _____

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Susan Wibker, AAG</u>	Name: <u>Kelly Gillian-Gibson</u>
Address: <u>1030 West Fourth Avenue, Suite 200</u>	Address: <u>900 West Fifth Avenue, Suite 200</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 995801</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>
Name: <u>Leann Reicks</u>	Name: <u>Robert Breckberg</u>
Address: <u>900 West Fifth Avenue, Suite 525</u>	Address: <u>900 West Fifth Avenue, Suite 702</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>

Alaska Judicial Council
 Trial Judge Questionnaire
 Retention

9. Please list your three most recent cases, including case names and numbers, which did not go to trial, but on which you did significant work (such as settlement conference, hearings, motion work, etc.). Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: Kristine Moore, et al **Case Number:** 3AN-04-9765 Ci
 v. SOA

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Howard Trickey</u>	Name: <u>Stephen Slotnick, AAG</u>
Address: <u>3000 A Street, Suite 300</u>	Address: <u>PO Box 110300</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99503</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Juneau, Alaska 99811</u>
Name: <u>Alex Bryner</u>	Name: <u>Dean Guaneli</u>
Address: <u>500 L Street, Fourth Floor</u>	Address: <u>2124 Second Street</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Douglas, Juneau 99824</u>

Case Number 2

Case Name: Alaska Spine Institute **Case Number:** 3AN-08-11613 CI
 v. SOA, DHHS

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Peter Maassen</u>	Name: <u>Elizabeth Bakalar, AAG</u>
Address: <u>813 West Third Avenue</u>	Address: <u>PO Box 110300</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Juneau, Alaska 99811</u>
Name: <u>Peter Gruenstein</u>	Name: <u>Stephen Rose</u>
Address: <u>1029 West Third Avenue, Suite 510</u>	Address: <u>1191 Second Avenue 18th Floor</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Seattle, Washington 98101</u>

Case Number 3

Case Name: Exxon Mobil Corporation, et al **Case Number:** 3AN-06-13751 CI
 v. SOA

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Douglas Serdahely</u>	Name: <u>Mark Ashburn</u>
Address: <u>601 West Fifth Avenue, Suite 700</u>	Address: <u>1227 West Ninth Avenue, Suite 200</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>
Name: <u>Susan Orlansky</u>	Name: <u>Richard Todd, AAG</u>
Address: <u>500 L Street, Fourth Floor</u>	Address: <u>1031 West Fourth Avenue, Suite 200</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, Alaska 99501</u>

Alaska Judicial Council
Trial Judge Questionnaire
Retention

10. *Optional:* If you deem it helpful to the Council, please list up to three other cases during your past term in which you believe your work was particularly noteworthy. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: _____ *Case Number:* _____
v. _____

Attorneys Involved:

Name: _____ Name: _____
Address: _____ Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____ City, State, Zip: _____

Name: _____ Name: _____
Address: _____ Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____ City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 2

Case Name: _____ *Case Number:* _____
v. _____

Attorneys Involved:

Name: _____ Name: _____
Address: _____ Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____ City, State, Zip: _____

Name: _____ Name: _____
Address: _____ Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____ City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 3

Case Name: _____ *Case Number:* _____
v. _____

Attorneys Involved:

Name: _____ Name: _____
Address: _____ Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____ City, State, Zip: _____

Name: _____ Name: _____
Address: _____ Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____ City, State, Zip: _____

Third Judicial District Sharon L. Gleason - Anchorage Superior Court

Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores

Summary of survey information

Judge Gleason's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated her 4.5 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated her 4.4 overall, and social workers, Guardians ad Litem and CASA volunteers rated her 4.9 overall.

	Attorney Survey N=261	Peace Officer Survey N=8	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs N=10
Legal Ability	4.4	--	--
Impartiality	4.4	4.4	4.7
Integrity	4.6	4.8	4.8
Temperament	4.5	4.4	4.7
Diligence	4.5	4.3	4.8
Overall	4.5	4.4	4.9

The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, public comments, and the ratings by the independent citizen volunteers at Alaska Judicial Observers. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at www.ajc.state.ak.us.

Superior Court Judge Sharon L. Gleason**A. Alaska Bar Association****Demographic Description**

		N	%
Type of Practice			
	No Response	6	1.3%
	Private, Solo	84	18.8%
	Private, 2-5 Attorneys	72	16.1%
	Private, 6+ Attorneys	61	13.7%
	Private, Corporate Employee	9	2.0%
	Judge or Judicial Officer	73	16.4%
	Government	124	27.8%
	Public Service Agency or Organization (Not Govt)	6	1.3%
	Other	11	2.5%
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No Response	11	2.5%
	5 Years or fewer	42	9.4%
	6 to 10 years	40	9.0%
	11 to 15 years	46	10.3%
	16 to 20 years	49	11.0%
	21 years or more	258	57.8%
Gender			
	No Response	10	2.2%
	Male	287	64.3%
	Female	149	33.4%
Cases Handled			
	No Response	5	1.1%
	Prosecution	25	5.6%
	Mainly Criminal	39	8.7%
	Mixed Criminal & Civil	114	25.6%
	Mainly Civil	243	54.5%
	Other	20	4.5%
Location of Practice			
	No Response	4	.9%
	First District	76	17.0%
	Second District	5	1.1%
	Third District	341	76.5%
	Fourth District	17	3.8%
	Outside of Alaska	3	.7%

Judge Sharon L. Gleason: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation												
No Response		1		3		5		7		7		1
Direct Professional	4.4	261	4.4	259	4.6	257	4.5	255	4.5	255	4.5	261
Professional Reputation	4.2	32	4.3	32	4.4	31	4.4	31	4.3	29	4.2	32
Other Personal Contacts	4.6	9	4.8	8	4.7	9	4.8	9	4.6	8	4.8	9
Type of Practice												
No Response		0		0		2		1		3		0
Private, Solo	4.4	55	4.2	55	4.5	55	4.4	55	4.4	54	4.4	56
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	4.2	42	4.3	42	4.4	40	4.4	41	4.2	39	4.2	42
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.2	41	4.3	41	4.6	40	4.4	40	4.4	40	4.3	41
Private, Corporate Employee	5.0	5	5.0	5	5.0	5	4.8	5	4.8	5	5.0	5
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.7	49	4.7	48	4.8	49	4.7	47	4.7	49	4.7	48
Government	4.5	60	4.5	59	4.7	59	4.6	58	4.5	59	4.6	60
Public Service Agency or Organization (Not Govt)	5.0	3	5.0	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.7	3
Other	4.4	5	4.6	5	4.8	5	4.4	5	4.6	5	4.4	5
Years of Practice in Alaska												
No Response		1		1		2		3		5		1
5 Years or fewer	4.4	17	4.4	17	4.7	16	4.6	16	4.4	17	4.4	17
6 to 10 years	4.6	20	4.6	20	4.7	19	4.7	19	4.6	20	4.6	20
11 to 15 years	4.2	31	4.2	30	4.5	31	4.4	30	4.3	31	4.4	31
16 to 20 years	4.3	24	4.2	23	4.6	24	4.5	23	4.3	24	4.3	24
21 years or more	4.5	163	4.5	163	4.7	162	4.5	161	4.5	159	4.5	163
Gender												
No Response		1		3		3		5		5		1
Male	4.3	163	4.4	161	4.6	161	4.5	159	4.4	159	4.4	163
Female	4.6	93	4.6	93	4.7	91	4.6	91	4.6	91	4.6	93
Cases Handled												
No Response		1		2		4		4		5		0
Prosecution	5.0	4	5.0	4	4.8	4	4.7	3	5.0	4	5.0	4
Mainly Criminal	4.7	11	4.8	11	4.9	11	4.9	10	4.9	11	4.7	11
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.4	66	4.4	65	4.6	66	4.5	65	4.4	65	4.4	65
Mainly Civil	4.4	170	4.4	169	4.7	167	4.5	167	4.5	166	4.5	171
Other	4.0	8	4.0	8	4.3	7	4.1	8	4.0	7	4.1	8
Location of Practice												
No Response		1		3		4		7		6		1
First District	4.7	11	4.6	11	4.7	10	4.7	11	4.7	10	4.6	11
Second District	5.0	2	4.5	2	5.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	5.0	2
Third District	4.4	238	4.4	236	4.6	235	4.5	232	4.5	233	4.5	238
Fourth District	4.4	8	4.4	8	4.6	8	4.4	8	4.3	8	4.5	8
Outside of Alaska	4.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Superior Court Judge Sharon L. Gleason**B. Peace and Probation Officers****Demographic Description**

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	9	64.3%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	2	14.3%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	3	21.4%
	Other	--	0.0%
Length of Alaska Experience			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	--	0.0%
	6 to 10 years	1	7.1%
	11 to 15 years	2	14.3%
	16 to 20 years	4	28.6%
	21 years or more	7	50.0%
Gender			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	11	78.6%
	Female	3	21.4%
Location of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	1	7.1%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	13	92.9%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
	Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%
Community Population			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	4	28.6%
	Over 35,000	10	71.4%

**Judge Sharon L. Gleason
Peace and Probation Officers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Direct Professional	4.4	8	4.8	8	4.4	8	4.3	8	4.4	8
Professional Reputation	3.7	3	3.7	3	4.0	3	4.0	3	3.7	3
Other Personal Contacts	1.5	2	2.0	2	2.0	2	2.0	2	2.0	2
Type of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
State Law Enforcement Officer	4.3	6	4.7	6	4.7	6	4.3	6	4.5	6
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.0	1	5.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Length of Experience										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
6 to 10 years	4.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	4.0	1
11 to 15 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
16 to 20 years	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.3	3	4.7	3	4.7	3
21 years or more	4.3	4	4.8	4	4.5	4	4.3	4	4.3	4
Gender										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	4.4	8	4.8	8	4.4	8	4.3	8	4.4	8
Female	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Location of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	4.3	7	4.7	7	4.4	7	4.1	7	4.3	7
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Community Population										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.5	2	5.0	2	3.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2
Over 35,000	4.3	6	4.7	6	4.7	6	4.3	6	4.5	6

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Superior Court Judge Sharon L. Gleason**C. Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers****Demographic Description**

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	4	26.7%
	Guardian ad Litem	3	20.0%
	CASA Volunteer	8	53.3%
	Other	--	0.0%
Length of Alaska Experience			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	4	26.7%
	6 to 10 years	5	33.3%
	11 to 15 years	3	20.0%
	16 to 20 years	--	0.0%
	21 years or more	3	20.0%
Gender			
	No Response	1	6.7%
	Male	2	13.3%
	Female	12	80.0%
Location of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	1	6.7%
	Third District	14	93.3%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
	Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%
Community Population			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0.0%
	Over 35,000	15	100%

Judge Sharon L. Gleason
Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Direct Professional	4.7	10	4.8	10	4.7	10	4.8	10	4.9	10
Professional Reputation	4.6	5	4.8	5	4.6	5	4.6	5	4.6	5
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Type of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
Guardian ad Litem	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
CASA Volunteer	4.7	6	4.8	6	4.7	6	4.8	6	5.0	6
Length of Experience										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
6 to 10 years	4.3	4	4.5	4	4.5	4	4.8	4	4.8	4
11 to 15 years	5.0	2	5.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	5.0	2
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
Gender										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Female	4.6	8	4.8	8	4.6	8	4.8	8	4.9	8
Location of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Third District	4.7	9	4.8	9	4.7	9	4.8	9	4.9	9
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Community Population										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Over 35,000	4.7	10	4.8	10	4.7	10	4.8	10	4.9	10

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

<p style="text-align: center;">Juror Survey Results 2008 Retention Evaluation Sharon L. Gleason</p>												
		Distribution of Ratings										
Survey Category	Mean	Excellent		Good		Acceptable		Deficient		Poor		Total Responses
		%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	
Impartiality/Fairness	4.8	83%	40	17%	8	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	48
Respectful/Courteous	5.0	98%	47	2%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	48
Attentive during Proceedings	4.8	85%	41	13%	6	2%	1	0%	0	0%	0	48
Control over Proceedings	4.9	85%	41	15%	7	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	48
Intelligence/Skill as a Judge	4.9	90%	43	10%	5	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	48
Overall Evaluation	4.9	88%	42	13%	6	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	48

<p align="center">Court Employee Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation Sharon L. Gleason</p>											
Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Poor % (n)	Mean	Total Responses				
Impartiality/Fairness	66% 61	23% 21	7% 6	5% 5	0% 0	4.5	93				
Integrity	73% 73	18% 18	6% 6	2% 2	1% 1	4.6	100				
Judicial Temperament	67% 66	20% 20	7% 7	5% 5	1% 1	4.5	99				
Diligence	70% 69	20% 20	10% 10	0% 0	0% 0	4.6	99				
Overall Evaluation	68% 68	21% 21	7% 7	3% 3	1% 1	4.5	100				

Prior Retention Scores
 Judge Sharon L. Gleason
 Anchorage Superior Court: Retention 2010
 Appointed to Anchorage Superior Court 2001

Bar Survey						
2010 Retention	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.5	4.5	4.5
2004 Retention	4.1	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.2
	Legal Ability	Impartiality	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Performance
Peace & Probation Officer Survey						
2010 Retention	4.4	4.8	4.4	4.3	4.4	
2004 Retention	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.4	
	Impartiality	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall Performance	