

MEMORANDUM

TO: Judicial Council
FROM: Staff
DATE: May 12, 2000
RE: Juror Survey Analysis

The 2000 juror survey included approximately 4,500 jurors, who sat on trials before 30 judges in 1998 and 1999. Each juror received only one form, with no followup mailings. Of the 4,500 surveys mailed, 2,459 were returned (55%).¹ Council staff entered and analyzed the data from the surveys. Because the data were, as expected, predominantly positive for all variables and all judges, only simple frequencies and cross-tabulations were used for cleaning and analyzing the data. Comments were entered separately.

Table 1 shows that the distribution of jurors for each judge on civil or criminal cases tended to vary somewhat. Most jurors served 10 or fewer days, on a single case. In communities where jurors are called to serve for one to three months at a stretch, they may sit on several different juries.

¹ Two hundred seventy of the 2,459 returned surveys were for Judges Fuld, Jahnke, Hunt, and Souter and are not included in the calculations in the following tables.

Table 1: Distribution of Jurors 2000 Retention Juror Survey, AJC				
<i>Judge</i>	<i>Civil</i>	<i>Criminal</i>	<i>Both</i>	<i>No Answer</i>
Andrews	2	25	0	21
Ashman	17	52	0	19
Bolger	10	41	0	30
Brown	34	51	0	44
Curda	9	37	0	33
Erlich	6	12	0	9
Esch	6	46	0	22
Finn	2	13	1	8
Funk	15	68	0	43
Gonzalez	28	0	0	7
Greene	4	67	0	21
Hensley	39	1	0	16
Hopwood	14	40	0	27
Joannides	23	13	0	12
Link	39	54	0	46
Lombardi	12	26	0	21
Michalski	31	1	0	6
Sanders	6	93	0	36
Smith	37	69	0	27
Stewart	0	4	0	1
Tan	44	0	0	17
Torrisi	4	48	0	37
Wanamaker	11	36	0	21
Weeks	22	25	0	20
Wolverton	44	86	0	45

<i>Judge</i>	<i>Civil</i>	<i>Criminal</i>	<i>Both</i>	<i>No Answer</i>
Wood	23	62	0	36
Zervos	27	41	0	43

Table 2: Typical Days Served by Jurors 2000 Retention Juror Survey, AJC	
<i>Percentage</i>	<i>Value</i>
2%	No response
6%	less than 2 days
17%	2 days
17%	3 days
14%	4 days
15%	5 days
5%	6 days
5%	7 days
3%	8 days
1%	9 days
5%	10 days
10%	11-180 days
100%	

Survey Results

The comments from the juror surveys will be distributed to Judicial Council members and to each judge. This memorandum summarizes the findings from the survey, and will go to the Council and to all judges. Council publications about the overall evaluation of each judge will include juror survey ratings as well as the other evaluation information.

The survey results appear in tables below. Jurors used a five-point scale, with Excellent scored as five, and Unacceptable scored as one. The closer the jurors' scores were to five, the higher that judge's evaluation by the jurors. The mean score and number of responses appear for each variable. Virtually all mean scores fell between 4.4 and 5.0, indicating that the majority of the responses were either "excellent" or "good."

The number of responses also affects the reliability of the data. The smaller the number of responses, the more effect a single response will have.

Comments

Comments added a very useful qualitative dimension to the juror survey. Jurors were asked to comment on each of the individual variables, on ways the judge could improve, and for general comments. The 2,587 surveys returned contained approximately 165 pages of comments that covered everything from the quality of juror food and juror pay raises to the electronic equipment and sound system in the courtroom, to the full range of judicial performance.

Table 3: Mean Score for Each Variable and for "Overall Performance," by Judge
 2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Judge	Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	Did judge exercise appropriate control over the proceedings?	Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	Overall Performance	
									Mean	Total
Andrews	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.7	48
Ashman	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.9	88
Bolger	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	81
Brown	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7	129
Curda	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5	79
Erlich	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	27
Esch	4.6	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	74
Finn	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.7	24
Funk	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7	126
Gonzalez	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.9	35
Greene	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	92
Hensley	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	5.0	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.9	56
Hopwood	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	81

Table 4: Juror Survey Results for Elaine M. Andrews
 2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 48)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	78.7 (37)	17.0 (8)	4.3 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	47
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	78.7 (37)	19.1 (9)	2.1 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	47
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	74.5 (35)	23.4 (11)	2.1 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	47
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	77.8 (35)	20.0 (9)	2.2 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	45
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	75.0 (36)	20.8 (10)	4.2 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	48
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	89.1 (41)	8.7 (4)	2.2 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	46
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	80.0 (36)	17.8 (8)	2.2 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	45
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	84.1 (37)	13.6 (6)	2.3 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	44
Overall evaluation of judge	77.8 (35)	17.8 (8)	4.4 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	45

Table 5: Juror Survey Results for Peter G. Ashman
2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 88)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	82.4 (70)	17.6 (15)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	85
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	81.4 (70)	17.4 (15)	1.2 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	86
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	79.1 (68)	19.8 (17)	1.2 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	86
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	85.7 (72)	13.1 (11)	1.2 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	84
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	94.3 (82)	4.6 (4)	1.1 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	87
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	82.6 (71)	15.1 (13)	2.3 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	86
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	82.9 (68)	17.1 (14)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	82
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	80.2 (65)	18.5 (15)	1.2 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	81
Overall evaluation of judge	85.4 (70)	14.6 (12)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	82

Table 6: Juror Survey Results for Joel H. Bolger
 2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 81)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	65.0 (52)	33.8 (27)	1.3 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	80
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	69.1 (56)	29.6 (24)	1.2 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	81
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	66.7 (54)	32.1 (26)	0.0 (0)	1.2 (1)	0.0 (0)	81
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	70.4 (57)	28.4 (23)	1.2 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	81
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	74.1 (60)	24.7 (20)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	1.2 (1)	81
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	70.0 (56)	28.8 (23)	1.3 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	80
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	72.7 (56)	24.7 (19)	2.6 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	77
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	70.7 (53)	28.0 (21)	0.0 (0)	1.3 (1)	0.0 (0)	75
Overall evaluation of judge	64.9 (50)	33.8 (26)	0.0 (0)	1.3 (1)	0.0 (0)	77

Table 7: Juror Survey Results for Harold M. Brown
2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 129)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	70.6 (89)	27.0 (34)	2.4 (3)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	126
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	78.4 (98)	20.0 (25)	1.6 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	125
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	71.8 (89)	24.8 (32)	2.4 (3)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	124
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	84.6 (104)	13.8 (17)	1.6 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	123
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	84.8 (106)	15.2 (19)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	125
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	80.2 (101)	19.8 (25)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	126
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	74.2 (92)	25.0 (31)	0.8 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	124
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	74.2 (92)	24.2 (30)	1.6 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	124
Overall evaluation of judge	71.0 (88)	26.6 (33)	1.6 (2)	0.8 (1)	0.0 (0)	124

Table 8: Juror Survey Results for Dale O. Curda
 2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 79)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	59.2 (45)	35.5 (27)	5.3 (4)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	76
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	56.6 (43)	39.5 (30)	3.9 (3)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	76
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	47.4 (36)	47.4 (36)	5.3 (4)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	76
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	56.0 (42)	37.3 (28)	6.7 (5)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	75
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	64.9 (50)	29.9 (23)	5.2 (4)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	77
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	54.4 (43)	38.0 (30)	7.6 (6)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	79
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	61.4 (48)	34.6 (27)	3.8 (3)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	78
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	59.0 (46)	35.9 (28)	5.1 (4)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	78
Overall evaluation of judge	54.5 (42)	40.3 (31)	5.2 (4)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	77

Table 9: Juror Survey Results for Richard H. Erlich
2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 27)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	77.8 (21)	14.8 (4)	7.4 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	27
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	77.8 (21)	18.5 (5)	3.7 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	27
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	74.1 (20)	22.2 (6)	3.7 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	27
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	84.6 (22)	11.5 (3)	3.8 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	26
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	88.5 (23)	.7 (2)	3.8 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	26
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	74.1 (20)	22.2 (6)	3.7 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	27
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	77.8 (21)	18.5 (5)	3.7 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	27
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	77.8 (21)	18.5 (5)	3.7 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	27
Overall evaluation of judge	77.8 (21)	18.5 (5)	3.7 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	27

Table 10: Juror Survey Results for Ben Esch
 2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 74)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	63.5 (47)	31.1 (23)	5.4 (4)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	74
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	64.9 (48)	27.0 (20)	8.1 (6)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	74
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	58.9 (43)	34.2 (25)	6.8 (5)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	73
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	68.5 (50)	23.3 (17)	8.2 (6)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	73
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	71.2 (52)	23.3 (17)	5.5 (4)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	73
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	60.8 (45)	33.8 (25)	5.4 (4)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	74
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	67.6 (48)	28.2 (20)	4.2 (3)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	71
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	61.1 (44)	33.3 (24)	5.6 (4)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	72
Overall evaluation of judge	63.9 (46)	30.6 (22)	5.6 (4)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	72

Table 11: Juror Survey Results for Natalie K. Finn
 2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 24)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	75.0 (18)	25.0 (6)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	24
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	83.3 (20)	16.7 (4)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	24
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	83.3 (20)	16.7 (4)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	24
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	83.3 (20)	16.7 (4)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	24
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	95.8 (23)	4.2 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	24
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	91.7 (22)	8.3 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	24
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	79.2 (19)	20.8 (5)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	24
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	70.8 (17)	29.2 (7)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	24
Overall evaluation of judge	70.8 (17)	29.2 (7)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	24

Table 12: Juror Survey Results for Raymond Funk
 2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 126)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	74.4 (93)	23.2 (29)	1.6 (2)	0.8 (1)	0.0 (0)	125
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	79.4 (100)	19.8 (25)	0.0 (0)	0.8 (1)	0.0 (0)	126
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	76.8 (96)	23.2 (29)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	125
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	83.1 (103)	16.1 (20)	0.8 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	124
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	88.8 (111)	11.2 (14)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	125
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	81.0 (102)	18.3 (23)	0.8 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	126
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	79.3 (96)	18.2 (22)	2.5 (3)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	121
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	75.8 (91)	21.7 (26)	2.5 (3)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	120
Overall evaluation of judge	76.7 (92)	20.8 (25)	1.7 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.8 (1)	120

Table 13: Juror Survey Results for Rene J. Gonzalez
 2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 35)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	85.7 (30)	11.4 (4)	2.9 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	35
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	82.9 (29)	14.3 (5)	2.9 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	35
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	77.1 (27)	20.0 (7)	2.9 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	35
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	82.9 (29)	14.3 (5)	2.9 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	35
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	85.7 (30)	11.4 (4)	0.0 (0)	2.9 (1)	0.0 (0)	35
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	85.7 (30)	11.4 (4)	2.9 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	35
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	93.8 (30)	3.1 (1)	3.1 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	32
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	93.8 (30)	3.1 (1)	0.0 (0)	3.1 (1)	0.0 (0)	32
Overall evaluation of judge	93.5 (29)	6.5 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	31

Table 14: Juror Survey Results for Mary E. Greene
 2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 92)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	73.6 (67)	24.2 (22)	2.2 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	91
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	67.0 (61)	30.8 (28)	2.2 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	91
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	65.6 (59)	32.2 (29)	2.2 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	90
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	72.2 (65)	25.6 (23)	2.2 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	90
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	78.9 (71)	18.9 (17)	2.2 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	90
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	74.7 (68)	20.9 (19)	3.3 (3)	1.1 (1)	0.0 (0)	91
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	78.0 (71)	18.7 (17)	3.3 (3)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	91
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	73.0 (65)	23.6 (21)	3.4 (3)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	89
Overall evaluation of judge	74.7 (68)	20.9 (19)	4.4 (4)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	91

Table 15: Juror Survey Results for Dan A. Hensley
 2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 56)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	91.1 (51)	8.9 (5)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	56
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	92.9 (52)	7.1 (4)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	56
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	89.1 (49)	10.9 (6)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	55
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	92.7 (51)	7.3 (4)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	55
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	98.2 (54)	1.8 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	55
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	92.9 (52)	7.1 (4)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	56
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	96.2 (51)	1.9 (1)	1.9 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	53
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	94.3 (50)	5.7 (3)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	53
Overall evaluation of judge	92.5 (49)	7.5 (4)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	53

Table 16: Juror Survey Results for Donald D. Hopwood
 2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 81)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	77.5 (62)	22.5 (18)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	80
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	77.5 (62)	22.5 (18)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	80
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	71.3 (57)	28.8 (23)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	80
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	77.5 (62)	21.3 (17)	1.3 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	80
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	80.0 (64)	20.0 (16)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	80
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	75.0 (60)	23.8 (18)	1.3 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	80
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	79.5 (62)	20.5 (16)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	78
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	80.5 (62)	19.5 (15)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	77
Overall evaluation of judge	75.6 (59)	23.1 (18)	1.3 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	78

Table 17: Juror Survey Results for Jonathan H. Link
 2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 139)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	82.6 (114)	16.7 (23)	0.7 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	138
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	84.1 (116)	15.9 (22)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	138
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	80.3 (110)	19.0 (26)	0.7 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	137
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	86.1 (118)	13.1 (18)	0.7 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	137
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	89.8 (123)	10.2 (14)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	137
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	79.0 (109)	19.6 (27)	1.4 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	138
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	85.5 (112)	14.5 (19)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	131
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	87.0 (114)	11.5 (15)	1.5 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	131
Overall evaluation of judge	86.3 (113)	13.0 (1)	0.8 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	131

Table 18: Juror Survey Results for Suzanne Lombardi
 2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 59)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	79.3 (46)	17.2 (10)	3.4 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	58
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	89.7 (52)	10.3 (6)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	58
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	86.2 (50)	13.8 (8)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	58
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	91.4 (53)	8.6 (5)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	58
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	94.8 (55)	5.2 (3)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	58
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	76.3 (45)	18.6 (11)	3.4 (2)	0.0 (0)	1.7 (1)	59
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	86.4 (51)	11.9 (7)	1.7 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	59
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	83.1 (49)	13.6 (8)	3.4 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	59
Overall evaluation of judge	84.7 (50)	13.6 (8)	0.0 (0)	1.7 (1)	0.0 (0)	59

Table 19: Juror Survey Results for Peter A. Michalski
 2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 38)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	81.6 (31)	13.2 (5)	5.3 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	38
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	81.6 (31)	13.2 (5)	5.3 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	38
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	78.4 (29)	16.2 (6)	5.4 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	37
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	86.5 (32)	13.5 (5)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	37
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	94.6 (35)	2.7 (1)	0.0 (0)	2.7 (1)	0.0 (0)	37
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	60.5 (23)	26.3 (10)	13.2 (5)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	38
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	73.0 (27)	21.6 (8)	5.4 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	37
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	73.0 (27)	24.3 (9)	2.7 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	37
Overall evaluation of judge	75.7 (28)	21.6 (8)	2.7 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	37

Table 20: Juror Survey Results for Eric Sanders
2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 135)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	91.8 (123)	8.2 (11)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	134
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	89.4 (118)	10.6 (14)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	132
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	84.7 (111)	14.5 (19)	0.8 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	131
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	93.1 (122)	6.9 (9)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	131
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	98.5 (130)	1.5 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	132
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	81.3 (109)	18.7 (25)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	134
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	93.2 (123)	6.8 (9)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	132
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	93.2 (123)	6.8 (9)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	132
Overall evaluation of judge	92.4 (122)	7.6 (10)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	132

Table 21: Juror Survey Results for Eric Smith
 2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 133)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	81.5 (106)	17.7 (23)	0.8 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	130
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	82.6 (109)	17.4 (23)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	132
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	80.5 (103)	19.5 (25)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	128
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	84.1 (106)	15.9 (20)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	126
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	91.5 (118)	8.5 (11)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	129
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	60.5 (78)	35.7 (46)	3.9 (5)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	129
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	78.3 (101)	20.9 (27)	0.8 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	129
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	80.3 (102)	17.3 (22)	2.4 (3)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	127
Overall evaluation of judge	79.1 (102)	20.2 (26)	0.8 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	129

Table 22: Juror Survey Results for David Stewart
 2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 5)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	40.0 (2)	60.0 (3)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	5
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	60.0 (3)	40.0 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	5
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	40.0 (2)	60.0 (3)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	5
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	60.0 (3)	40.0 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	5
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	80.0 (4)	20.0 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	5
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	40.0 (2)	60.0 (3)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	5
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	40.0 (2)	60.0 (3)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	5
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	60.0 (3)	40.0 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	5
Overall evaluation of judge	60.0 (3)	40.0 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	5

Table 23: Juror Survey Results for Sen K. Tan
 2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 61)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	80.3 (49)	18.0 (11)	1.6 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	61
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	85.2 (52)	13.1 (8)	1.6 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	61
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	83.6 (51)	14.8 (9)	1.6 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	61
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	86.9 (53)	11.5 (7)	1.6 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	61
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	88.5 (54)	9.8 (6)	1.6 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	61
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	73.8 (45)	21.3 (13)	4.9 (3)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	61
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	76.7 (46)	20.0 (12)	3.3 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	60
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	80.0 (48)	16.7 (10)	3.3 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	60
Overall evaluation of judge	80.0 (48)	16.7 (10)	3.3 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	60

Table 24: Juror Survey Results for Fred Torrisi
 2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 89)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	65.5 (57)	31.0 (27)	3.4 (3)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	87
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	73.3 (63)	24.4 (21)	2.3 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	86
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	72.1 (62)	24.4 (21)	3.5 (3)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	86
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	72.4 (63)	23.0 (20)	4.6 (4)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	87
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	79.3 (69)	19.5 (17)	1.1 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	87
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	73.9 (65)	23.9 (21)	2.3 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	88
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	71.1 (59)	25.3 (21)	3.6 (3)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	83
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	67.1 (55)	29.3 (24)	3.7 (3)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	82
Overall evaluation of judge	68.7 (57)	26.5 (22)	3.6 (3)	1.2 (1)	0.0 (0)	83

Table 25: Juror Survey Results for James N. Wanamaker
 2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 68)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	72.7 (48)	22.7 (15)	4.5 (3)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	66
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	80.6 (54)	19.4 (13)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	67
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	73.1 (49)	23.9 (16)	3.0 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	67
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	79.1 (53)	20.9 (14)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	67
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	85.1 (57)	13.4 (9)	0.0 (0)	1.5 (1)	0.0 (0)	67
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	73.1 (49)	25.4 (17)	1.5 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	67
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	76.1 (51)	23.9 (16)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	67
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	65.2 (43)	34.8 (23)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	66
Overall evaluation of judge	72.7 (48)	25.8 (17)	1.5 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	66

Table 26: Juror Survey Results for Larry Weeks
2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 67)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	82.1 (55)	16.4 (11)	1.5 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	67
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	83.6 (56)	14.9 (10)	1.5 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	67
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	78.8 (52)	21.2 (14)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	66
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	81.8 (54)	16.7 (11)	1.5 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	66
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	86.4 (57)	12.1 (8)	1.5 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	66
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	76.1 (51)	20.9 (14)	3.0 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	67
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	80.3 (53)	18.2 (12)	1.5 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	66
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	85.1 (57)	14.9 (10)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	67
Overall evaluation of judge	86.6 (58)	13.4 (9)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	67

Table 27: Juror Survey Results for Michael L. Wolverton
 2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 175)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	85.5 (147)	14.5 (25)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	172
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	87.4 (152)	12.6 (22)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	174
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	85.0 (147)	13.9 (24)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	173
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	85.5 (148)	14.5 (25)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	173
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	90.8 (157)	9.2 (16)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	173
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	85.6 (149)	13.2 (23)	1.1 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	174
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	86.2 (144)	13.2 (22)	0.6 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	167
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	89.2 (148)	10.8 (18)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	166
Overall evaluation of judge	88.6 (148)	11.4 (19)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	167

Table 28: Juror Survey Results for Mark I. Wood
 2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 121)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	81.5 (97)	18.5 (22)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	119
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	82.4 (98)	17.6 (21)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	119
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	79.8 (95)	19.3 (23)	0.8 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	119
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	81.5 (97)	17.6 (21)	0.8 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	119
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	89.1 (106)	10.1 (12)	0.8 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	119
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	84.9 (101)	15.1 (18)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	119
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	80.5 (95)	19.5 (23)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	118
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	86.3 (101)	13.7 (16)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	117
Overall evaluation of judge	82.2 (97)	17.8 (21)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	118

Table 29: Juror Survey Results for Larry C. Zervos
 2000 Retention Juror Survey: AJC

Question	Excellent % (n)	Good % (n)	Acceptable % (n)	Deficient % (n)	Unacceptable % (n)	Total Respondents (Total returned = 111)
Was judge fair and impartial to all sides?	76.6 (85)	22.5 (25)	0.9 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	111
Was judge respectful and courteous to parties?	79.3 (88)	20.7 (23)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	111
Was judge respectful and courteous to attorneys?	72.7 (80)	27.3 (30)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	110
Was judge respectful and courteous to witnesses?	78.2 (86)	20.9 (23)	0.9 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	110
Was judge respectful and courteous to jurors?	83.6 (92)	15.5 (17)	0.9 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	110
Was judge attentive during the proceedings?	81.1 (90)	18.0 (20)	0.9 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	111
Did judge exercise appropriate control over proceedings?	78.7 (85)	20.4 (22)	0.9 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	108
Evaluate the judge's intelligence and skill as a judge.	82.4 (89)	15.7 (17)	1.9 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	108
Overall evaluation of judge	79.4 (85)	19.6 (21)	0.9 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	107