

## 2016 Mean Ratings of Pro Tem Judges

Attorneys were asked to rate pro tem judges on the following scale.

1. Poor
2. Deficient
3. Acceptable
4. Good
5. Excellent

*Table 1*  
*Mean Ratings of Judges*

	<i>n</i>	<b>Legal Ability</b> <i>M</i>	<b>Impartiality/ Fairness</b> <i>M</i>	<b>Integrity</b> <i>M</i>	<b>Judicial Temperament</b> <i>M</i>	<b>Diligence</b> <i>M</i>	<b>Overall Rating</b> <i>M</i>
<b>Elaine Andrews</b>	196	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.7	4.7
<b>Robert Coats</b>	56	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
<b>Dale Curda</b>	34	3.9	4.3	4.6	4.6	4.3	4.2
<b>Beverly Cutler</b>	105	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.1
<b>Robert Eastaugh</b>	77	4.7	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.6
<b>Natalie Finn</b>	65	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.3
<b>William Fuld</b>	66	3.8	4.1	4.2	3.9	3.9	3.9
<b>Donald Hopwood</b>	145	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.5	4.5	4.5
<b>Michael I. Jeffery</b>	87	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.5	4.5
<b>Stephanie E. Joannides</b>	123	4.2	4.4	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.3
<b>John R. Lohff</b>	63	4.0	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.0
<b>Warren Matthews</b>	89	4.7	4.4	4.7	4.3	4.5	4.5
<b>Nancy Nolan</b>	63	4.5	4.7	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.5
<b>Michael R. Spaan</b>	101	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.3	4.3
<b>Niesje Steinkruger</b>	57	4.3	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.4	4.3

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.