

**Alaska Judicial Council
2010 Judicial Retention Performance Evaluation Materials
Judge Kari Kristiansen
Palmer Superior Court**

The Judicial Council finds Judge Kristiansen to be **Qualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote **"YES"** to retain her as a superior court judge.

Retention evaluation materials for this judge

1. Voter pamphlet page.	2
<i>This page will appear in the State of Alaska Election Pamphlet sent to each Alaskan household.</i>	
2. Judge questionnaire.	3
<i>The judge's response to a Judicial Council questionnaire.</i>	
3. Survey scores in 2010	
<i>(To view survey scores for all judges on the ballot go to main 2010 retention page.)</i>	
a. Attorney; Peace Officer; Social Worker/Guardians Ad Litem/CASA scores.	10
b. Juror survey scores.	17
c. Court employee survey scores.	18
4. Survey scores in previous retention evaluations	
<i>(This judge is standing for retention for the first time)</i>	

Retention evaluation materials for all judges on the ballot

(To view these materials go to main 2010 retention page.)

1. Peremptory challenges	
<i>Analysis of peremptory challenge rates for judges.</i>	
2. Recusals	
<i>Evaluation of judge's record of self-disqualification from cases, or "recusals."</i>	
3. Appellate Affirmance Rates	
<i>Analysis of appellate decisions involving each trial judge's cases.</i>	
4. Salary Warrant Withholdings	
<i>Evaluation of judge's record of pay withholding for undecided or uncompleted decisions.</i>	

Alaska Judicial Council Recommendation

Judge Kari Kristiansen, Superior Court, Palmer

Judicial Council Recommendation 2010

The Alaska Judicial Council is a non-partisan citizens' commission established by the Alaska constitution. Alaskan law requires the Council to evaluate judges' performance and authorizes the Council to recommend to voters whether judges should be retained in office. The Judicial Council reviews judges' integrity, diligence, legal ability, fairness, demeanor, ability to manage their caseloads, and overall performance of their judicial responsibilities in and out of the courtroom. The Judicial Council finds Judge Kristiansen to be **Qualified** and recommends unanimously that the public vote "YES" to retain her as a superior court judge.

Judicial Council Evaluation

The Judicial Council surveyed thousands of Alaskans including peace and probation officers, court employees, attorneys, jurors, social workers/guardians ad litem, and child advocates about the judges on the ballot. Respondents were asked to rate judicial performance and to submit comments. The Council also reviewed the ratings and observations of the Alaska Judicial Observers, independent community-based volunteers. The Council reviewed the judge's preemptory challenge, recusals, and appellate affirmance and reversal rates; any civil or criminal litigation involving the judge; APOC and court system conflict-of-interest statements; any disciplinary files involving the judge; and whether a judge's pay was withheld for an untimely decision. The Council reviewed other court records and investigated judicial conduct in specific cases. The Council interviewed some judges, attorneys, and court staff, and held a statewide public hearing to obtain comments about judges.

	Attorney Survey	Peace Officer Survey	Juror Survey	Court Employee Survey	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs
Legal Ability	3.6	---	---	---	---
Impartiality	3.7	2.6	4.8	4.4	3.8
Integrity	4.0	2.9	---	4.5	4.6
Temperament	3.7	2.7	4.9	4.4	4.0
Diligence	4.0	3.1	---	4.4	4.6
Overall	3.8	2.5	4.8	4.4	4.0

Ratings are based on a one to five scale. Five is the best rating and three is "acceptable."

Rating Scale
 5.0 = Excellent
 4.0 = Good
 3.0 = Acceptable
 2.0 = Deficient
 1.0 = Poor

Summary of Survey Information

Survey respondents rated Judge Kristiansen on the categories summarized in the table above, using 5 as the highest rating possible. The attorney rating for Judge Kristiansen on overall performance was 3.8. Peace and probation officers gave Judge Kristiansen a rating of 2.5. Jurors rated her 4.8 overall, court employees gave her 4.4, and social workers, guardians ad litem and CASA volunteers rated her at 4.0.

Recommendation: Vote "YES" to retain Judge Kari Kristiansen

RECEIVED

NOV 30 2009

ALASKA JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Alaska Judicial Council Questionnaire

Trial Judge 2010 Candidates for Judicial Retention

October 22, 2009

Kari Kristiansen	Palmer Superior Court
Name	Court

1. Please estimate your workload during your present term.

a) <u>48</u> % civil cases <u>48</u> % criminal cases <u>4</u> % court administrative work <u>100</u> % Total	b) <u>6</u> # of jury trials/year <u>12</u> # of non-jury trials/year <u>2</u> # of administrative appeals/year
--	---

2. Please describe your participation on court/bar committees or other administrative activities during your current term of office.

I serve on two court committees - the No Dark Courtroom Committee which is aimed at providing better access to the court and to court decisions; and the New Judges Training Committee which develops an annual 2-day training curriculum for newer judges. I also serve as a mentor judge, maintain calendaring duties for Palmer court, facilitate school tours, and have been leading a working group to develop a therapeutic court for persons charged with Felony Driving Under the Influence.

*Alaska Judicial Council
Trial Judge Questionnaire
Retention*

3. Please assess, in one or two paragraphs, your judicial performance during your present term. Appropriate areas of comment could include: satisfaction with your judicial role, specific contributions to the judiciary or the field of law, increases in legal knowledge and judicial skills, or other measures of judicial abilities that you believe to be important.

My goals are to improve my judicial performance, increase efficiency of judicial management, and promote public trust and confidence in the court. I strive to write orders that are clear and that adequately explain the decision, and that are based on the law and the facts. I am mindful of William Gladstone's famous quote "justice delayed is justice denied" and therefore make every attempt to schedule hearings and issue rulings in a timely fashion. For litigants without lawyers, I explain the court process and make available, when appropriate, copies of court system pamphlets to assist the litigants navigate the system.

I am working towards developing a pilot court project aimed at reducing recidivism in repeat DUI offenders, the Palmer Enhanced Probation Project. Traditional sentencing sanctions, including those for revocation of probation, have not been entirely effective for people caught driving while intoxicated. This is particularly true in cases involving repeat offenders. The project makes available additional resources for Felony DUI probations who fail on probation by employing best practices monitoring, fast track alcohol treatment, and immediate consequences for failure to comply. The hope is that this approach will reduce recidivism by detecting alcohol use quickly and imposing brief amounts of jail to encourage treatment compliance.

Alaska Judicial Council
Trial Judge Questionnaire
Retention

4. During your most recent term as a judge, have you:
- a) had a tax lien filed or other collection procedure instituted against you by federal, state, or local authorities? Yes No
 - b) been involved in a non-judicial capacity in any legal proceeding whether as a party or otherwise? Yes No
 - c) engaged in the practice of law (other than as a judge)? Yes No
 - d) held office in any political party? Yes No
 - e) held any other local state or federal office? Yes No
 - f) had any complaints, charges or grievances filed against you with the Alaska Commission on Judicial Conduct, the Alaska Bar Association, or with the Alaska Court System that resulted in public proceedings or sanctions? Yes No

5. If your answer to any of the questions above is "yes," please give full details, including dates, facts, case numbers and outcomes.

6. Please provide any other information which you believe would assist the Council in conducting its evaluations and in preparing its recommendations for the 2010 retention elections.

I am passionate about providing judicial outreach to students interested in pursuing a legal career. I coordinate school tours and encourage students and classes from local schools and organizations to observe the courtroom, participate in mock trials, and meet with trial lawyers. I have previously participated in the Color of Justice program which provides legal career workshops to students of diversity and I encourage law clerks to provide additional mentoring for students enrolled in the program.

Alaska Judicial Council
 Trial Judge Questionnaire
 Retention

7. Please list your three most recent jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: State of Alaska *Case Number:* 3PA-09-204CR
 v. Kenneth Golsbury

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Kerry Corliss (745-5027)</u>	Name: <u>Sam Westergren (745-5660)</u>
Address: <u>11921 Palmer/Wasilla Hwy</u>	Address: <u>269 E. Fireweed</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Palmer, AK 99645</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Palmer, AK 99645</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 2

Case Name: State of Alaska *Case Number:* 3PA-09-797CR
 v. Adam Cardwell

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Paul Roetman (745-5027)</u>	Name: <u>Gregory Parvin (376-2800)</u>
Address: <u>11921 Palmer/Wasilla Hwy</u>	Address: <u>1075 S. Check Street, Ste.212</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Palmer, AK 99645</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Wasilla, AK 99654</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 3

Case Name: State of Alaska *Case Number:* 3PA-06-2426/27 CR
 v. Michael Hamilton and John Shook

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Kerry Corliss (745-5027)</u>	Name: <u>Paul Maslakowski (745-0436)</u>
Address: <u>11921 Palmer/Wasilla Hwy</u>	Address: <u>1517 Industrial Way, Ste.1</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Palmer, AK 99645</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Palmer, AK 99645</u>
Name: <u>Sam Westergren (745-5660)</u>	Name: _____
Address: <u>268 E. Fireweed</u>	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: <u>Palmer, AK 99645</u>	City, State, Zip: _____

Alaska Judicial Council
 Trial Judge Questionnaire
 Retention

8. Please list your three most recent non-jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in your three most recent non-jury trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: ITMO E.D. *Case Number:* 3PA-08-7CN
 v. _____

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Shanna Johnston (745-8451)</u>	Name: <u>Laurel Bennett (745-5660)</u>
Address: <u>11921 Palmer/Wasilla Hwy Ste.100</u>	Address: <u>268 E. Fireweed</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Palmer, AK 99645</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Palmer, AK 99645</u>
Name: <u>Krista Berghoff (746-0583)</u>	Name: _____
Address: <u>808 S. Bailey Street Ste.103</u>	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: <u>Palmer, AK 99645</u>	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 2

Case Name: Maxim Zidrashko *Case Number:* 3PA-09-1307CI
 v. Liliya Kurudimov

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Marvin Clark (373-0121)</u>	Name: <u>Carmen Spiropoulos (746-2346)</u>
Address: <u>P.O. Box 874088</u>	Address: <u>248 S. Alaska Street</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Wasilla, AK 99687</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Palmer, AK 99645</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 3

Case Name: Michael Boyce *Case Number:* 3PA-08-1864CI
 v. Nadine Boyce

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Kenneth Goldman (746-2346)</u>	Name: <u>Karen Jennings (373-3722)</u>
Address: <u>248 S. Alaska Street</u>	Address: <u>1075 Check Street</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Palmer, AK 99645</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Wasilla, AK 99654</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Alaska Judicial Council
 Trial Judge Questionnaire
 Retention

9. Please list your three most recent cases, including case names and numbers, which did not go to trial, but on which you did significant work (such as settlement conference, hearings, motion work, etc.). Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: Robert Lupo *Case Number:* 3PA-07-2068CI
 v. Palmer Lions Club

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Gregory Grebe (277-0077)</u>	Name: <u>Sanford Gibbs (276-2050)</u>
Address: <u>1400 W. Benson Blvd. Ste.120</u>	Address: <u>821 N Street Ste.202</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99503</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 2

Case Name: Wolverine Supply, Inc. *Case Number:* 3PA-07-1790CI
 v. Fireman's Fund Ins. Co.

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>L. Andrew Robinson (745-6332)</u>	Name: <u>Ryan Stewart (279-4506)</u>
Address: <u>348 E. Elmwood Avenue</u>	Address: <u>1029 W. 3rd Avenue Ste.300</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Palmer, AK 99645</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>
Name: <u>Brett von Gemmingen (278-5935)</u>	Name: <u>Ronald Clark/Nicholas Dazer</u>
Address: <u>637 W. 3rd Avenue</u>	Address: <u>888 WE 5th Avenue</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Portland, OR 97204 (503-228-6251)</u>

Case Number 3

Case Name: State of Alaska *Case Number:* 3PA-04-1557CR
 v. Carl Gage

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Kerry Corliss (745-5027)</u>	Name: <u>Bruce Brown (745-5660)</u>
Address: <u>11921 Palmer/Wasilla Hwy.</u>	Address: <u>268 E. Fireweed</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Palmer, AK 99645</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Palmer, AK 99645</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Alaska Judicial Council
 Trial Judge Questionnaire
 Retention

10. *Optional:* If you deem it helpful to the Council, please list up to three other cases during your past term in which you believe your work was particularly noteworthy. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, and phone numbers of each attorney involved in these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name: State of Alaska *Case Number:* 3PA-06-1957CR
 v. John Jemewouk

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Suzanne Powell</u>	Name: <u>Philip Weidner (276-1200)</u>
Address: <u>(deceased)</u>	Address: <u>330 L Street Ste.200</u>
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 2

Case Name: Gary McWhorter *Case Number:* 3PA-08-1242CI
 v. Francis Neitzey

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>David Edgren (272-3325)</u>	Name: <u>Gregory Parvin (376-2800)</u>
Address: <u>750 E. Fireweed Ln. #201</u>	Address: <u>1075 S. Check Street Ste.212</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99503</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Wasilla, AK 99654</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Case Number 3

Case Name: State of Alaska *Case Number:* 3PA-08-309CR
 v. Jammiean Kelly

Attorneys Involved:

Name: <u>Paul Roetman (745-5027)</u>	Name: <u>John Pharr (272-2525)</u>
Address: <u>11921 Palmer/Wasilla Hwy.</u>	Address: <u>733 W. 4th Avenue Ste.308</u>
City, State, Zip: <u>Palmer, AK 99645</u>	City, State, Zip: <u>Anchorage, AK 99501</u>
Name: _____	Name: _____
Address: _____	Address: _____
City, State, Zip: _____	City, State, Zip: _____

Third Judicial District Kari Kristiansen - Palmer Superior Court

Summary Sheet and Detailed Survey Scores

Summary of survey information

Judge Kristiansen's detailed survey scores follow. Attorneys rated her 3.8 on overall performance. Peace and probation officers rated her 2.5 overall, and social workers, Guardians ad Litem and CASA volunteers rated her 4.0 overall.

	Attorney Survey N=84	Peace Officer Survey N=19	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem CASAs N=5
Legal Ability	3.6	--	--
Impartiality	3.7	2.6	3.8
Integrity	4.0	2.9	4.6
Temperament	3.7	2.7	4.0
Diligence	4.0	3.1	4.6
Overall	3.8	2.5	4.0

The Judicial Council's Evaluation Process

State law requires the Judicial Council to evaluate each judge standing for retention, and to report its evaluations to the voters. The three surveys reported here are an important part of the Council's evaluations. The Council also considers survey ratings by jurors and court employees, public comments, and the ratings by the independent citizen volunteers at Alaska Judicial Observers. Along with the personal observations of the hundreds of people who had direct professional experience with the judge, the Council reviews any litigation involving the judge, conflict of interest records, public disciplinary files, and indicators of judicial performance such as appellate affirmances and reversals, and peremptory challenges and recusals. All of the evaluation information about the judge is on the Council's website at www.ajc.state.ak.us.

Superior Court Judge Kari Kristiansen**A. Alaska Bar Association****Demographic Description**

		N	%
Type of Practice			
	No Response	2	2.0%
	Private, Solo	23	23.0%
	Private, 2-5 Attorneys	17	17.0%
	Private, 6+ Attorneys	4	4.0%
	Private, Corporate Employee	3	3.0%
	Judge or Judicial Officer	17	17.0%
	Government	31	31.0%
	Public Service Agency or Organization (Not Govt)	3	3.0%
	Other	--	0.0%
Length of Alaska Practice			
	No Response	4	4.0%
	5 Years or fewer	13	13.0%
	6 to 10 years	15	15.0%
	11 to 15 years	16	16.0%
	16 to 20 years	15	15.0%
	21 years or more	37	37.0%
Gender			
	No Response	2	2.0%
	Male	58	58.0%
	Female	40	40.0%
Cases Handled			
	No Response	2	2.0%
	Prosecution	7	7.0%
	Mainly Criminal	10	10.0%
	Mixed Criminal & Civil	30	30.0%
	Mainly Civil	48	48.0%
	Other	3	3.0%
Location of Practice			
	No Response	2	2.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	1	1.0%
	Third District	94	94.0%
	Fourth District	3	3.0%
	Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%

Judge Kari Kristiansen: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Legal Ability		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation												
No Response		0		2		2		2		5		1
Direct Professional	3.6	84	3.7	82	4.0	82	3.7	82	4.0	79	3.8	83
Professional Reputation	3.3	10	3.3	10	3.7	10	3.5	10	3.8	10	3.4	10
Other Personal Contacts	3.7	3	4.7	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	4.5	4
Type of Practice												
No Response		0		0		1		1		1		0
Private, Solo	4.0	22	3.9	22	4.3	22	4.1	22	4.2	22	4.0	21
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	3.1	15	3.4	14	3.7	14	3.2	15	3.3	13	3.3	15
Private, 6+ Attorneys	3.0	4	2.8	4	3.3	4	2.8	4	2.8	4	3.0	4
Private, Corporate Employee	2.0	2	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2	3.5	2	2.5	2
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.4	16	4.5	16	4.7	15	4.4	15	4.8	15	4.6	16
Government	3.3	21	3.5	20	3.7	21	3.4	20	3.8	19	3.5	21
Public Service Agency or Organization (Not Govt)	4.3	3	3.7	3	4.0	3	3.7	3	4.3	3	4.3	3
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Years of Practice in Alaska												
No Response		0		0		0		1		0		0
5 Years or fewer	3.3	12	3.6	11	3.9	11	3.3	12	3.7	11	3.2	12
6 to 10 years	2.9	12	3.3	12	3.3	12	3.2	12	3.6	12	3.3	11
11 to 15 years	4.1	15	3.9	15	4.4	14	3.9	15	4.4	12	4.1	15
16 to 20 years	3.7	13	3.9	12	4.1	13	3.8	12	4.1	12	3.9	13
21 years or more	3.9	29	3.8	29	4.2	29	3.9	28	4.1	29	4.0	29
Gender												
No Response		0		2		2		1		4		0
Male	3.4	51	3.6	49	3.9	49	3.6	50	3.8	47	3.6	51
Female	3.9	32	3.9	32	4.1	32	3.8	31	4.2	31	4.1	31
Cases Handled												
No Response		0		2		1		1		2		1
Prosecution	3.0	5	3.2	5	3.2	5	3.0	5	3.0	4	3.2	5
Mainly Criminal	3.2	9	3.4	9	3.7	9	3.2	9	3.9	8	3.4	9
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.0	25	3.8	25	4.3	24	3.8	24	4.3	24	4.0	25
Mainly Civil	3.6	41	3.7	39	4.0	40	3.7	40	3.9	39	3.7	40
Other	4.0	3	4.7	3	4.7	3	4.3	3	5.0	3	4.7	3
Location of Practice												
No Response		0		2		2		2		5		1
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	3.0	1	2.0	1	3.0	1
Third District	3.7	79	3.8	77	4.1	77	3.7	77	4.1	74	3.8	78
Fourth District	2.7	3	2.7	3	2.7	3	2.7	3	2.7	3	2.7	3
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Superior Court Judge Kari Kristiansen**B. Peace and Probation Officers****Demographic Description**

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	State Law Enforcement Officer	7	33.3%
	Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	3	14.3%
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0.0%
	Probation/Parole Officer	11	52.4%
	Other	--	0.0%
Length of Alaska Experience			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	5	23.8%
	6 to 10 years	10	47.6%
	11 to 15 years	2	9.5%
	16 to 20 years	2	9.5%
	21 years or more	2	9.5%
Gender			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Male	12	57.1%
	Female	9	42.9%
Location of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	21	100%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
	Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%
Community Population			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	6	28.6%
	Over 35,000	15	71.4%

**Judge Kari Kristiansen
Peace and Probation Officers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation										
No Response		0		2		0		1		0
Direct Professional	2.6	19	2.9	17	2.7	19	3.1	18	2.5	19
Professional Reputation	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2	2.5	2
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Type of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
State Law Enforcement Officer	2.5	6	3.2	6	2.3	6	3.0	5	2.3	6
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	3.5	2	5.0	1	4.0	2	3.5	2	3.5	2
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Probation/Parole Officer	2.5	11	2.6	10	2.6	11	3.0	11	2.5	11
Other	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Length of Experience										
No Response		0		0		0		1		0
5 Years or fewer	1.6	5	2.3	4	2.0	5	2.4	5	1.8	5
6 to 10 years	2.7	9	3.0	8	2.8	9	3.1	9	2.6	9
11 to 15 years	5.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
16 to 20 years	2.5	2	2.0	2	3.0	2	3.0	1	2.0	2
21 years or more	3.5	2	4.0	2	3.0	2	3.5	2	3.5	2
Gender										
No Response		0		1		0		1		0
Male	2.5	11	3.0	10	2.55	11	2.9	10	2.4	11
Female	2.8	8	2.9	7	2.9	8	3.3	8	2.8	8
Location of Work										
No Response		0		2		0		1		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	2.6	19	2.9	17	2.7	19	3.1	18	2.5	19
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Community Population										
No Response		0		1		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2.8	6	3.4	5	3.3	6	3.0	6	2.8	6
Over 35,000	2.5	13	2.8	12	2.4	13	3.1	12	2.4	13

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Superior Court Judge Kari Kristiansen**C. Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers****Demographic Description**

		N	%
Type of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Social Worker	2	33.3%
	Guardian ad Litem	1	16.7%
	CASA Volunteer	3	50.0%
	Other	--	0.0%
Length of Alaska Experience			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	5 Years or fewer	2	33.3%
	6 to 10 years	3	50.0%
	11 to 15 years	--	0.0%
	16 to 20 years	--	0.0%
	21 years or more	1	16.7%
Gender			
	No Response	1	16.7%
	Male	--	0.0%
	Female	5	83.3%
Location of Work			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	First District	--	0.0%
	Second District	--	0.0%
	Third District	6	100%
	Fourth District	--	0.0%
	Outside of Alaska	--	0.0%
Community Population			
	No Response	--	0.0%
	Under 2,000	--	0.0%
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	3	50.0%
	Over 35,000	3	50.0%

**Judge Kari Kristiansen
Social Workers, Guardians ad Litem, and CASA Volunteers**

	Impartiality/ Fairness		Integrity		Judicial Temperament		Diligence		Overall Evaluation	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Direct Professional	3.8	5	4.6	5	4.0	5	4.6	5	4.0	5
Professional Reputation	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1	3.0	1
Other Personal Contacts	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Type of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Social Worker	3.0	2	4.5	2	3.5	2	5.0	2	3.5	2
Guardian ad Litem	4.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
CASA Volunteer	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
Length of Experience										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
5 Years or fewer	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2
6 to 10 years	3.0	2	4.5	2	3.5	2	5.0	2	3.5	2
11 to 15 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
16 to 20 years	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
21 years or more	4.0	1	5.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1	4.0	1
Gender										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Male	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Female	4.0	4	4.8	4	4.3	4	4.5	4	4.3	4
Location of Work										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
First District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Second District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Third District	3.8	5	4.6	5	4.0	5	4.6	5	4.0	5
Fourth District	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Outside of Alaska	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Community Population										
No Response		0		0		0		0		0
Under 2,000	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4.0	3	4.3	3	4.0	3	4.7	3	4.0	3
Over 35,000	3.5	2	5.0	2	4.0	2	4.5	2	4.0	2

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Juror Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation Kari Kristiansen												
		Distribution of Ratings										
Survey Category	Mean	Excellent		Good		Acceptable		Deficient		Poor		Total Responses
		%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	
Impartiality/Fairness	4.8	85%	99	12%	14	3%	3	0%	0	0%	0	116
Respectful/Courteous	4.9	90%	104	9%	10	2%	2	0%	0	0%	0	116
Attentive during Proceedings	4.8	84%	97	13%	15	3%	4	0%	0	0%	0	116
Control over Proceedings	4.9	86%	100	13%	15	1%	1	0%	0	0%	0	116
Intelligence/Skill as a Judge	4.8	84%	97	15%	17	2%	2	0%	0	0%	0	116
Overall Evaluation	4.8	86%	100	12%	14	2%	2	0%	0	0%	0	116

Court Employee Survey Results 2010 Retention Evaluation Kari Kristiansen												
Question	Excellent		Good		Acceptable		Deficient		Poor		Mean	Total Responses
	%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)	%	(n)		
Impartiality/Fairness	50%	13	35%	9	15%	4	0%	0	0%	0	4.4	26
Integrity	59%	16	30%	8	11%	3	0%	0	0%	0	4.5	27
Judicial Temperament	54%	15	32%	9	14%	4	0%	0	0%	0	4.4	28
Diligence	56%	14	28%	7	16%	4	0%	0	0%	0	4.4	25
Overall Evaluation	54%	15	32%	9	14%	4	0%	0	0%	0	4.4	28