

ALASKA JUDICIAL COUNCIL

alaska judicial council

1029 W. Third Avenue, Suite 201, Anchorage, Alaska 99501-1969 http://www.ajc.state.ak.us

(907)279-2526 FAX (907)276-5046 E-mail: postmaster@ajc.state.ak.us

Alaska Judicial Council Trial Judge Questionnaire

2012 Candidates for Judicial Retention

October 2011

J. Patrick Hanley	Anchorage District Court					
Name	Court					
. Please estimate your workload during	your present term.					
a) 35 % civil cases 60 % criminal cases 5 % court administrative work 100 % Total	b) # of jury trials/year # of non-jury trials/year # of administrative appeals/year					
The non-jury trials includes small	claims.					
Please describe your participation on during your current term of office.	court/bar committees or other administrative activiti					
Court, which involved extensive adm:	luates the operation of district court and					

3. Please assess, in one or two paragraphs, your judicial performance during your present term. Appropriate areas of comment could include: satisfaction with your judicial role, specific contributions to the judiciary or the field of law, increases in legal knowledge and judicial skills, or other measures of judicial abilities that you believe to be important.

I have enjoyed my time as a district court judge in Anchorage, and look forward to going to work each day. Our court is a high volume court. We deal with the same type of cases repeatedly. This could lead to "burn-out," but I have found that the essence of my job is not dealing with cases but with people. Each person comes to court with different life experiences and challenges, and each hearing provides an opportunity for people to reflect on the choices they have made and make improvements in their lives. It is rewarding to assist people and be a part of that process.

Two years ago I attended a course at the National Judicial College dedicated to self represented litigants. We have many litigants in court who cannot afford an attorney, and the course caused me to improve my practices and accommodate the litigants while still honoring due process. I have also made legal presentations to my fellow judges at several judicial conferences and the statewide magistrates' conference on a variety of topics. Sharing knowledge with my fellow judicial

officers is rewarding, and my skills and base of knowledge have grown significantly in the process of researching, organizing, and presenting. I am always interested in evaluating my performance so that I can improve, and look forward to receiving

comments and suggestions provided during the retention process.

4.	Dι	uring your most recent term as a judge, have you:
	a)	had a tax lien filed or other collection procedure instituted against you by federal, state, or local authorities? ☐ Yes ✓ No
	b)	been involved in a non-judicial capacity in any legal proceeding whether as a party or otherwise? ✓ Yes □ No
	c)	engaged in the practice of law (other than as a judge)? Yes No
	d)	held office in any political party? ☐ Yes ☑ No
	e)	held any other local, state or federal office? ☐ Yes ✓ No
	f)	had any complaints, charges or grievances filed against you with the Alaska Commission on Judicial Conduct, the Alaska Bar Association, or with the Alaska Court System that
		resulted in public proceedings or sanctions? Yes No
5.		your answer to any of the questions above is "yes," please give full details, including dates, ets, case numbers and outcomes.
St Co in Ch Co se Po St wi	tate opmmiss adividual interest of the contract of the contrac	defendant in the federal case of "Richard W. Postma, Jr., Plaintiff v. of Alaska Court System, the Alaska Judicial Council, the Alaska sion on Judicial Conduct, and the following state actors in their dual capacities: J. Patrick Hanley, Jill Kolberg, Sharon Gleason, ine Johnson, Charles Christensen, Nancy Meade, Marla Greenstein and Larry Defendants." The case number was 3:10-CV-00249-HRH. Mr. Postma alleged causes of action in his amended complaint. On December 23, 2010, Mr. filed a motion to dismiss the action. On January 10, 2011, United District Judge H. Russell Holland signed the order dismissing the case rejudice. Mr. Postma filed a Rule 60(b) motion for relief from the sal order. I have not recently checked the status of that motion.
6.	con	ease provide any other information which you believe would assist the Council in inducting its evaluations and in preparing its recommendations for the 2012 retention ctions.

For questions 7 through 10 please do not list any cases that have pending issues in your court.

7. Please list your three most recent jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, of each attorney involved in these trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

	Case N	umber 1	
Case Name:	Municipality of Anchorage	Case Number:	3AN-11-7932CR
	Raylene Ortega		
	Attorneys		
Name:	Fred Valdez		Max Holmquist
Address:	P.O. Box 196650	Address:	737 "M" Street
	Anchorage, AK 99519	City, State, Zip:	Anchorage, AK 99501
Name:		Name:	
Address:		Address:	
City, State, Zip:		City, State, Zip:	
		umber 2	
Case Name:	Municipality of Anchorage	Case Number: _	3AN-10-12456CR
v.	Robert Pinkston		
	Attorneys	Involved:	
Name:	Patrick James	Name:	Max Holmquist
Address:	P.O. Box 196650	Address:	737 "M" Street
City, State, Zip:	Anchorage, AK 99519	City, State, Zip:	Anchorage, AK 99501
Name:		Name:_	
Address:		Address:	
City, State, Zip:		City, State, Zip: _	
	Casa N	umber 3	
Case Name:	State of Alaska	Case Number: _	3AN-09-10869CR
v	Dylan Potterf	_	
	Attorneys	Involved:	
Name:	Ron Dupuis	Name:	John Bernitz
	310 "K" Street, Ste. 520	Address:	900 W. 5th Ave., Ste. 200
City, State, Zip:	Anchorage, AK 99501	City, State, Zip:	Anchorage, AK 99501
• •		.,	
Name:		Name: _	
Address:		Address:	

Attachment to p. 4 of 12 Trial Judge Questionnaire, Judge Hanley

My most recent jury trial was on November 18, 2011 in case number 3AN-11-9289CI. This was a presumptive death hearing, which by statute requires a jury. The case was not contested. I did not include it on page 4 of 12 due to the unusual nature of the hearing. However, feel free to contact the only attorney in the case, who was David Freeman. His address is 701 W. 8th Ave., Suite 700, Anchorage, AK, 99501.

8. Please list your three most recent non-jury trials including case names and numbers. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, of each attorney involved in these trials. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

	Case Nu	mber 1	
Case Name:	Rebecca Case	Case Number:	3AN-10-9735CI
ν.	Jodie Turner		
•	Attorneys I	nvolved:	
Name:	Ralph Ertz		Jed Van Loan
Address:	800 E. Dimond Blvd, St 3-520	Address:	517 W. Northern Lights Bvd
City, State, Zip:	Anchorage, AK 99515	City, State, Zip:	Anchorage, AK 99503
Name:		. Name:	
Address:		Address:	
City, State, Zip:		City, State, Zip:	
	Case Nu	mber 2	
Case Name:	Mark Rubbert	Case Number: _	3AN-10-7114CI
ν	Paul and Jeff Barney		
	Attorneys I	nvolved:	
Name:	Michelle Nesbett	Name:	Bill Ingaldson
Address:	745 W. 4th Ave., Ste. 250	Address:	813 W. 3rd Ave.
City, State, Zip:	Anchorage, AK 99501	City, State, Zip:	Anchorage, AK 99501
Name: _		Name: _	
Address:		Address:	
City, State, Zip:		City, State, Zip:	
	Case Nu	h 2	
Case Name: _	Daynight Construction	Case Number: _	3AN-09-10173CI
v	BCS		
	Attorneys I	nvolved:	
Name:	Justin Kalmbach	Name:	James Sarafin
Address:	745 W. 4th Ave., Ste. 425	Address:	901 Harbor Cir.
City, State, Zip:	Anchorage, AK 99501	City, State, Zip:	Anchorage, AK 99515
Name:		Name: _	
Address:		Address:_	
City, State, Zip:		City, State, Zip:	

Attachment to p. 5 of 12 Trial Judge Questionnaire, Judge Hanley

My most recent non-jury trials were:

- 1. Nathaneal Hall v. Qupqugiaq Inn, LLC, 3AN-11-1964SC
- 2. Doss v. June, 3AN-11-2871SC
- 3. Case v. Turner (listed on page 5 of 12).

The first two cases were small claims and did not involve attorneys. On page 5 of 12 I have listed my most recent non-jury trials involving attorneys, as the directions suggest.

9. Please list your three most recent cases, including case names and numbers, which did not go to trial, but on which you did significant work (such as settlement conference, hearings, motion work, etc.). Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, of each attorney involved in these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

	Case No	umber 1	
Case Name:	State of Alaska	Case Number:	3AN-93-4521CR
ν.	Eugene Phelps		
-	Attorneys	 Involved:	
Name:	Jay Fayette	Name:	Cynthia Strout
Address:	310 "K" St., Ste. 520	Address:	745 W. 4th Ave., Ste. 200
City, State, Zip:	Anchorage, AK 99501	City, State, Zip:	Anchorage, AK 99501
Name: _	[affirmed by the Court of	Name: _	
-	Appeals, see 236 P.3d 381	Address: _	
City, State, Zip:	(Alaska App. 2010)]	City, State, Zip: _	
	Case Ni	umber 2	
Case Name:	Municipality of Anchorage		3AN-06-4952CR
ν.	Brutus Weaver	-	
-	Attorneys	_ Involved:	
Name:	Hanley Smith	Name:	Michael Graper
Address:	900 W. 5th Ave., Ste. 200	Address:	737 "M" Street
City, State, Zip:	Anchorage, AK 99501	City, State, Zip:	Anchorage, AK 99501
_			
Name:		Name: _	
Address:		Address: _	
City, State, Zip:		City, State, Zip: _	
	Case No	umber 3	
Case Name:		Case Number: _	
ν.			
-	Attorneys	_ Involved:	
Name:	•	Name:	
Address:			
City, State, Zip:		City, State, Zip:	
_	3-	_	
Name: _		Name: _	
Address:			
City, State, Zip:		City, State, Zip: _	

10. Optional: If you deem it helpful to the Council, please list up to three other cases during your past term in which you believe your work was particularly noteworthy. Please list the names, current addresses, including zip codes and suite numbers where applicable, of each attorney involved in these cases. (Attach additional pages if necessary.)

Case Number 1

Case Name:	Case Number:	
ν.		
	Attorneys Involved:	
Name:	Name:	
Address:	Address:	
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:	
Name:	Name:	
Address:	Address:	
City Cant. Zin.	City, State, Zip:	
	Case Number 2	
Case Name:	Case Number:	
17		
	Attorneys Involved:	
Name:	Name:	
Address:	Addrage	
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:	······································
Name:	Name:	
Adduanas	4 delegans	
ar a ar	City, State, Zip:	
	Case Number 3	
Case Name:	Case Number:	
ν.		
	Attorneys Involved:	
Name:	Name:	
Address:	Addmaga	
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:	
Name:	Name:	
Address	Addraga	
City, State, Zip:	City, State, Zip:	

Alaska Judicial Council Recommendation

Judge J. Patrick Hanley, District Court, Anchorage

Judicial Council Recommendation 2012

The Alaska Judicial Council is a non-partisan citizens' commission established by the Alaska constitution. Alaskan law requires the Council to evaluate judges' performance and authorizes the Council to recommend to voters whether judges should be retained in office. The Judicial Council reviews judges' integrity, diligence, legal ability, fairness, demeanor, ability to manage their caseloads, and overall performance of their judicial responsibilities in and out of the courtroom. The Judicial Council finds Judge Hanley to be *Qualified* and recommends unanimously that the public vote "YES" to retain him as a district court judge.

Judicial Council Evaluation

The Judicial Council surveyed thousands of Alaskans including peace and probation officers, court employees, attorneys, jurors, social workers and guardians ad litem about the judges on the ballot. Respondents were asked to rate judicial performance and to submit comments. The Council also reviewed the ratings and observations of the Alaska Judicial Observers, independent community-based volunteers. The Council reviewed the judge's peremptory challenge, recusals, and appellate affirmance and reversal rates; any civil or criminal litigation involving the judge; APOC and court system conflict-of-interest statements; any disciplinary files involving the judge; and whether a judge's pay was withheld for an untimely decision. The Council reviewed other court records and investigated judicial conduct in specific cases. The Council interviewed some judges, attorneys, and court staff, and held a statewide public hearing to obtain comments about judges.

	Attorney Survey	Peace Officer Survey	Juror Survey	Court Employee Survey	Social Workers Guardians ad Litem
Legal Ability	4.5				
Impartiality	4.6	4.8	4.9	4.8	
Integrity	4.7	4.7		4.8	
Temperament	4.7	4.8	4.9	4.8	
Diligence	4.6	4.7		4.8	
Overall	4.6	4.8	5.0	4.8	

Ratings are based on a one to five scale. Five is the best rating and three is "acceptable."

Rating Scale

5.0 = Excellent

4.0 = Good

3.0 = Acceptable

2.0 = Deficient

1.0 = Poor

Summary of Survey Information

Survey respondents rated Judge Hanley on the categories summarized in the table above, using 5 as the highest rating possible. The attorney rating for Judge Hanley on overall performance was 4.6. Peace and probation officers gave Judge Hanley a rating of 4.8. Jurors rated him 5.0 overall and court employees gave him 4.8. No social workers or guardians ad litem rated Judge Hanley. The Alaska Judicial Observers rated him 3.26.

Recommendation: Vote "YES" to retain Judge J. Patrick Hanley

For more information go to www.knowyouralaskajudges.com

Judge J. Patrick Hanley: Detailed Information Responses Alaska Bar Association Members

	Leg Abil		Impartiality/ Fairness		Integ	rity	Judi Temper		Diligo	ence	Ove Evalu	
	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N	Mean	N
Basis for Evaluation												
No Response		11		9		9		8		18		9
Direct Professional	4.5	146	4.6	147	4.7	147	4.7	148	4.6	141	4.6	147
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.6	137	4.6	138	4.7	137	4.7	138	4.6	132	4.7	137
Experience not in last 5 yrs	4.3	7	4.3	7	4.1	8	4.3	8	4.6	7	4.1	8
Professional Reputation	4.1	16	4.2	16	4.3	16	4.1	17	4.2	14	4.1	17
Other Personal Contacts	4.5	4	4.5	5	4.8	5	4.8	4	4.8	4	4.8	4
Type of Practice												
No Response		3		2		2		1		8		2
Private, Solo	4.5	38	4.5	39	4.6	39	4.7	39	4.5	37	4.5	39
Private, 2-5 Attorneys	4.4	26	4.4	26	4.5	26	4.5	27	4.4	25	4.4	27
Private, 6+ Attorneys	4.7	9	4.9	9	4.9	9	4.9	9	4.8	9	4.9	9
Private, Corporate Employee	4.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2	3.5	2	4.0	2	4.0	2
Judge or Judicial Officer	4.7	32	4.8	32	4.8	32	4.8	32	4.7	30	4.8	32
Government	4.6	35	4.6	35	4.8	35	4.7	35	4.7	34	4.8	34
Public Service Agency/Org		0		0		0		0		0		0
Other	4.7	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3	5.0	3
Years of Practice in Alaska												
No Response		3		2		2		1		8		2
5 Years or fewer	4.7	19	4.7	19	4.9	19	4.8	20	4.7	18	4.9	18
6 to 10 years	4.4	12	4.4	13	4.7	13	4.6	12	4.6	12	4.6	13
11 to 15 years	4.8	15	4.9	15	4.9	15	4.7	15	4.9	13	4.9	15
16 to 20 years	4.4	20	4.6	20	4.5	21	4.5	21	4.6	20	4.4	21
21 years or more	4.5	77	4.6	77	4.6	76	4.7	77	4.6	75	4.6	77
Gender												
No Response		3		2		2		1		8		2
Male	4.5	102	4.6	103	4.8	102	4.7	102	4.6	98	4.7	103
Female	4.6	43	4.6	43	4.6	44	4.6	45	4.6	42	4.6	43
Cases Handled												
No Response		3		2		2		1		8		2
Prosecution	4.7	18	4.6	18	4.9	18	4.9	18	4.8	18	4.9	18
Mainly Criminal	4.5	18	4.7	18	4.7	18	4.6	18	4.5	16	4.7	17
Mixed Criminal & Civil	4.4	55	4.5	55	4.6	55	4.6	55	4.6	53	4.5	55
Mainly Civil	4.6	51	4.7	52	4.7	52	4.7	53	4.6	50	4.7	53
Other	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
Location of Practice												
No Response		3		2		2		1		8		2
First District	4.7	6	4.8	6	4.8	6	4.8	6	4.8	5	4.8	6
Second District	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2
Third District	4.5	125	4.6	126	4.7	126	4.6	127	4.6	121	4.6	126
Fourth District	4.7	7	4.7	7	4.7	7	4.7	7	4.9	7	4.9	7
Outside of Alaska	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2	5.0	2

.Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Juror Survey Results 2012 Retention Evaluation J. Patrick Hanley

Survey Category	Mean	Excellent	Good	Acceptable	Deficient	Poor	Total Responses
Impartiality/Fairness	4.9	75	6	0	0	0	81
Respectful/Courteous	4.9	76	5	0	0	0	81
Attentive during Proceedings	4.9	72	8	1	0	0	81
Control over Proceedings	4.9	75	5	1	0	0	81
Intelligence/ Skill as a Judge	4.9	74	7	0	0	0	81
Overall Evaluation	5.0	77	4	0	0	0	81

Distribution of Court Employee Ratings* 2012 Retention Evaluation J. Patrick Hanley

	Number of Responses								
Survey Category	Total	Excellent	Good	Acceptable	Deficient	Poor	Mean		
Impartiality/Fairness	46	39	5	2	0	0	4.8		
Integrity	46	39	5	2	0	0	4.8		
Judicial Temperament	46	39	5	2	0	0	4.8		
Diligence	45	37	5	3	0	0	4.8		
Overall Evaluation	46	39	5	2	0	0	4.8		

^{*} Ratings are based on direct professional experience.

Judge J. Patrick Hanley Peace and Probation Officers

	Imparti		T 4	•,	Judic		D.111		Ovei	
	Fairn Mean	Fairness Mean N		rity N	Temperament Mean N		Diligence Mean N		Evalua Mean	ation N
Basis for Evaluation			Mean							
No Response		4		4		3		8		3
Direct Professional	4.8	23	4.7	23	4.8	24	4.7	19	4.8	24
Experience in last 5 yrs	4.8	22	4.8	22	4.7	23	4.7	18	4.7	23
Experience not in last 5 yrs	5.0	1	4.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
Professional Reputation	3.8	9	3.8	9	3.8	9	3.8	9	3.8	9
Other Personal Contacts		0		0		0		0		0
Type of Work										
No Response		3		3		2		7		2
State Law Enforcement Officer	4.8	11	4.8	11	4.7	11	4.9	9	4.8	11
Municipal/Borough Law Enforcement Officer	4.8	12	4.7	12	4.8	13	4.6	10	4.7	13
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)		0		0		0		0		0
Probation/Parole Officer		0		0		0		0		0
Other		0		0		0		0		0
Length of Experience										
No Response		3		3		2		7		2
5 Years or fewer	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1	5.0	1
6 to 10 years	5.0	7	5.0	7	4.8	8	4.9	7	4.9	8
11 to 15 years	4.6	7	4.6	7	4.6	7	4.5	6	4.6	7
16 to 20 years	4.8	6	4.7	6	5.0	6	4.8	4	4.8	6
21 years or more	4.5	2	4.5	2	4.5	2	5.0	1	4.5	2
Gender										
No Response		3		3		2		7		2
Male	4.8	18	4.7	18	4.7	19	4.8	14	4.7	19
Female	4.8	5	4.8	5	4.8	5	4.6	5	4.8	5
Location of Work										
No Response		3		3		2		7		2
First District		0		0		0		0		0
Second District		0		0		0		0		0
Third District	4.8	23	4.7	23	4.8	24	4.7	19	4.8	24
Fourth District		0		0		0		0		0
Community Population										
No Response		3		3		2		7		2
Under 2,000		0		0		0		0		0
Between 2,000 and 35,000		0		0		0		0		0
Over 35,000	4.8	23	4.7	23	4.8	24	4.7	19	4.8	24

Note: Ratings for only those respondents who reported direct professional experience with the judge.

Information Insights, Inc. Retention 2012 |139

Current and Prior Retention Scores

J. Patrick Hanley

Appointed	Name of Court
1/14/2005	Anchorage District Ct

Retention Attorney Bar Scores

Year	Legal Ability	Impartiality	Integrity	Temperament	Dilligence	Special Skills	Overall Performance
2008	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.5	4.5		4.5
2012	4.5	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6		4.6

Peace and Probation Officer Survey Scores

Year	Impartiality	Integrity	Temperament	Diligence	Special Skills	Overall Performance
2008	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.8		4.8
2012	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.7		4.8